

21st International Investors' Day



London, 18 October 2018

Content

1	Ι	Creating value through reinsurance	Ulrich Wallin
2	Ι	From the CFO's desk	Roland Vogel
3	Ι	Capital position and risk profile	Dr. Andreas Märkert
4	Ι	US Mortality Solutions	Dr. Klaus Miller
5	Ι	Insights into life and health reinsurance: 25' about L&H excluding North America!	Claude Chèvre
6	Ι	The relevance of cash flow in P&C reinsurance	Jürgen Gräber
7	Ι	Concluding remarks and outlook	Ulrich Wallin



Creating value through reinsurance

Ulrich Wallin, CEO

21st International Investors' Day London, 18 October 2018



Reinsurance market and outlook



Growing Property and Casualty reinsurance market Hannover Re outperforms the market



Source: own research as at May 2018 Top 10 in 2017: Munich Re, Swiss Re, Lloyd's, Hannover Re, Berkshire Hathaway (excl. AIG deal), SCOR, Everest Re, XL Catlin, GIC India, Alleghany Top 10 ranking for each year * F/x adjusted (2015 rates)

ILS market: more than catastrophe bonds Strong growth of Collateralised Reinsurance



Collateralised Reinsurance

Outstanding catastrophe bond volume excl. new issuances

- New issuances

* Source: A.M. Best data and research working in conjunction with Guy Carpenter; ILS market volumes: own analysis

Riding hard market cycles is becoming more difficult Recent rate increases have been less pronounced after large loss occurrence

Development of return on equity (RoE) and Guy Carpenter Global Property Cat RoL index



Returns must be achieved in any time of the cycle

Life and Health reinsurance in a global perspective Concentrated market due to high entry barriers



Source: own research as at May 2018 Top 10 in 2017: Munich Re, Swiss Re, RGA, Great-West Lifeco, SCOR, Hannover Re, China Re, Berkshire Hathaway, Korean Re, Pacific Life Top 10 ranking for each year * F/x adjusted (2015 rates)

The reinsurance business is an attractive market offering us the opportunity to create value for our clients

Primary insurance								
New risks need to be covered: cyber, BI, telematics etc.	Earnings volatility needs to be managed	Cost of capital needs to be competitive	Capital requirements are challenging					
We provide services to assess new risks/to move into new markets	We provide risk- mitigating instruments/ capacity for individual or aggregated risks	We provide risk- transfer solutions to reduce cost of capital	We provide solutions to meet regulatory/ capital needs					
Reinsurance								

Hannover Re's positioning in the R/I market

Successful as pure play reinsurer



Our competitive advantages enable us to increase market share





We have a lower expense ratio than our competitors





Low expense ratio is an important competitive advantage

Administrative expense ratio



* Peers: Munich Re, Swiss Re, Scor, Everest Re, RGA; own calculation

Our competitive advantages enable us to increase market share



Lower expense ratio than our competitors



Consistent U/W approach (no surprises for our clients) and long-dated client relationships



We ensure a consistent and no-surprises U/W approach in P&C and L&H reinsurance

Our NatCat capacity to our clients has not been reduced



Very short decision-making processes combined with a high speed of execution

Our margins are predictable/stable and transparent



Exceptional expertise in financial solutions and longevity combined with a high certainty of execution

Our clients have long-lasting relationships with our underwriters due to our high staff retention Focus on value-adding services such as automated underwriting systems



Our competitive advantages enable us to increase market share



Lower expense ratio than our competitors



Consistent U/W approach (no surprises for our clients) and long-dated client relationships



Top tier reinsurer with benefit from diversification by line of business and global reach



High diversification benefit due to global reinsurance portfolio Well diversified within each risk category

Risk capital for the 99.5% VaR (according to economic capital model)



Our competitive advantages enable us to increase market share



Lower expense ratio than our competitors



Consistent U/W approach (no surprises for our clients) and long-dated client relationships

Top tier reinsurer with benefit from diversification by line of business and global reach

Efficient offering of tailor-made solutions with short time to market and high deal certainty



Growing EBIT contribution from tailor-made solutions Successful development driven by high expertise and efficient execution





EBIT

Our competitive advantages enable us to increase market share





Consistent U/W approach (no surprises for our clients) and long-dated client relationships

Top tier reinsurer with benefit from diversification by line of business and global reach

Efficient offering of tailor-made solutions with short time to market and high deal certainty



Strategic focus on reinsurance to avoid conflict of interests with primary insurers



Our strategic focus is on reinsurance

New joint venture to establish a focused provider in an attractive market





Avoid conflict of interests between primary insurance and reinsurance within Hannover Re viewed with an increasingly critical eye by clients



Access to HDI Global network and associated unlocking/freeing up of growth potential



Stronger participation in primary insurance premium growth



Benefit from HDI Global's excellent claims management

Continued access to profitable earnings via our core competence – reinsurance



Our competitive advantages enable us to increase market share





Consistent U/W approach (no surprises for our clients) and long-dated client relationships

Top tier reinsurer with benefit from diversification by line of business and global reach

Efficient offering of tailor-made solutions with short time to market and high deal certainty

Strategic focus on reinsurance to avoid conflict of interests with primary insurers

We are a Top-Tier player growing stronger than the market



Update on US mortality business



Resolving the issue of legacy US mortality business... ... will have a significant positive effect on L&H EBIT in the future



* Excluding EUR 38.7 m. positive one-off from termination fee for Financial Solutions treaty

Expectation for US mortality business

- Rate increase notification sent out on 1 May 2018
- Recaptures by cedants will result in a significant EBIT burden in 2018 (USD 264 m. already advised after Q2/2018)
- Reserve sufficiency for US mortality business has improved
 - → unlocking of IFRS reserves has become unlikely
- Significantly improved profitability from 2019 onwards

somewhat diµerent

Value creation and distribution



Hannover Re outperformed its target of 6.5% value creation 5y CAGR: +9.8 %; 10y CAGR: +11.4%



As at 31 December

Superior return with relatively low volatility Return on Equity: average and standard deviation



Hannover Re

- Highest average RoE throughout all observed periods
- Volatility of RoE is decreasing in both absolute terms and relative to peers





Target payout ratio for ordinary dividend increased to 35 - 45%



Creating value through reinsurance is our strategic driver Three profit sources play their part in fuelling our future success

P&C reinsurance

- Market growth in line with or slightly below primary P&C market
- Structurally competitive due to low entrance hurdle resulting in supply and demand imbalance, however competition is rational because participants are disciplined
- We are confident of growing our market share top and bottom line based on our competitive advantages

L&H reinsurance

- We enjoy good profitability on our US Financial Solutions business and our business outside the US
- US mortality has masked the good underlying profitability
- We expect significantly increased EBIT growth from 2019 onwards as we are resolving the problems with US mortality legacy book

Investments

- AuM are expected to rise further due to continued positive cash flow from operations
- Return on investment will be flat in the medium term due to low interest rate environment; further rise in US interest rates will depress our ability to realise gains from valuation reserves
- Rising interest rates will contribute to increasing investment income in the medium to long term

Higher Net Investment Income

Positioned to outperform

Growing EBIT contribution



Disclaimer

This presentation does not address the investment objectives or financial situation of any particular person or legal entity. Investors should seek independent professional advice and perform their own analysis regarding the appropriateness of investing in any of our securities.

While Hannover Re has endeavoured to include in this presentation information it believes to be reliable, complete and up-to-date, the company does not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness or updated status of such information.

Some of the statements in this presentation may be forward-looking statements or statements of future expectations based on currently available information. Such statements naturally are subject to risks and uncertainties. Factors such as the development of general economic conditions, future market conditions, unusual catastrophic loss events, changes in the capital markets and other circumstances may cause the actual events or results to be materially different from those anticipated by such statements.

This presentation serves information purposes only and does not constitute or form part of an offer or solicitation to acquire, subscribe to or dispose of, any of the securities of Hannover Re.

© Hannover Rück SE. All rights reserved. Hannover Re is the registered service mark of Hannover Rück SE.



From the CFO's desk

Roland Vogel, Chief Financial Officer

21st International Investors' Day London, 18 October 2018



Main changes between IFRS 4 and IFRS 17 Our perspective

- IFRS 17 allows less flexibility in preparation of financial statements due to …
 - stricter regulations under the standard, more granular disclosures and
 - process-related restrictions, esp. driven by the more granular accounting on the level of GICs (Groups of Insurance Contracts)
- The accounting guidance of IFRS 17 partially enables benchmarking with Solvency II figures such that flexibility is also limited in this regard
 - Measures taken under one regime influence handling under the other regime
- Nevertheless, IFRS 17 requires accounting decisions which apply on or after transition (from IFRS 4 to IFRS 17)
 - A (quantitative) comprehensive impact assessment will be available in 2019, with lots of single analysis on the way, qualitative analysis has been considered up to now – but perhaps the target is still moving

Transition: IFRS 17 accounting decisions

Potential future decision-making principles and steering options

Accounting decision	Pro's & Con's					
More or less equity? e.g. impacted by transition approach chosen, measurement of cash flows	 + High equity: higher equity ratio, buffer for onerous business at inception - Higher equity means lower CSM* going forward, less buffer for onerous contracts - High equity leads to lower RoE 					
High profits after transition? e.g. impacted by determination of risk adjustment, amount of CSM at transition, coverage units	 + High profits from already existing business - High pressure on required profit from new business to show attractive future return 					
Presentation of onerous contracts? e.g. use of conservative options (?)	 Profits can be shifted into the future by showing more onerous contracts at inception Onerous contracts issued will be disclosed in the annual report 					
High discount rates?	 + High CSM due to high discounting effect + Lower fulfillment CF (for LRC* compensated by the higher CSM; see above) - High insurance finance expenses (hence, primarily a shift within P&L = higher insurance service result, lower insurance finance result) 					
IFRS 17 reserves similar to Solvency II?	 Solvency II reserves are a known measure and may facilitate the understanding of IFRS reserves Link to Solvency II reserve prevents exploitation of potential positive effects of IFRS 17 May result in higher volatility of future P&C earnings due to loss of smoothing redundancy cushion 					
P&L or OCI*?	 OCI* smoothens P&L High ALM requirements dependent on IFRS 9 Operationally highly complex 					
Disclosure granularity – IFRS 8 segments?	 + IFRS 8 segments are determined and known - Disclosure of very detailed information 					

* Contractual Service Margin (CSM); Liability for Remaining Coverage (LRC); Other Comprehensive Income (OCI)

Future emergence of earnings Release of the Contractual Service Margin (CSM)

- No predetermined technique in IFRS 17 regarding the release of the CSM
- The release pattern (front-ended or back-ended) will influence the expected emergence of earnings
- A strong front-ended approach could increase the likelihood of a cohort of contracts becoming onerous in case of adverse changes due to experience or assumption changes in subsequent years



Example 1: CSM release pattern for mortality business Use of claims or Net Amount at Risk (NAR) results in different earnings emergence



Potential CSM release patterns

- Use of NAR as CSM run-off driver appears to be too aggressive, relatively small portion of CSM remains in the later years to provide buffer against claims experience volatility
 - Likewise, RoE is front-loaded when using Net Amount at Risk (NAR) to run off CSM

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2027	2032	2037	2042	2047	2052
RoE – Claims	12.60%	12.70%	12.74%	12.77%	12.77%	12.95%	13.21%	13.55%	14.37%	15.13%	16.05%
RoE - NAR	13.59%	13.49%	13.36%	13.23%	13.09%	12.71%	12.54%	12.45%	12.97%	13.43%	14.14%
Example 2: CSM release pattern for longevity business





- Assumption: SII profit shown here equals the current IFRS 4 technical result (net of administration expenses)
- CSM release excludes the future explicit risk adjustment release
- Preliminary assessment implies use of the present value claims as a CSM run-off pattern to ensure an emergence of earnings comparable with current IFRS 4

IFRS 17 political developments Identified conceptual weaknesses - challenges for EU endorsement



- Complex transition/implementation
- High implementation costs

- EFRAG has identified the following issues meriting further consideration and informed the IASB accordingly on 3 September 2018
 - Acquisition costs (for costs incurred in expectation of contract renewals)
 - CSM amortization (impact on contracts that include investment services)
 - Reinsurance (several inconsistencies)
 - Transition

 (extent of relief offered by modified retrospective approach and challenges in applying fair value approach)
 - Annual cohorts (cost-benefit trade-off, including for VFA contracts)
 - Balance sheet presentation (cost-benefit trade-off of separate disclosure of groups in an asset position and groups in a liability position and non-separation of receivables and/or payables)

IFRS 17 reinsurance inconsistencies

Main concern: onerous underlying contracts - what is the issue?

At initial recognition, mismatches arise from different treatment of reinsurance gains vs. losses on underlying contracts

Current IFRS 17	as a consequence
 Loss on onerous underlying insurance contract issued is recognised immediately in P&L, but 	Relief from reinsurance contract is delayed, which tends not to represent appropriately the insurer's - i. e. cedant's - economic net risk position
Corresponding gain on the related outwards reinsurance contract must be recognised as a CSM (i.e. over the coverage period)	 Corresponding effects for our own retrocession Contradictory to the subsequent measurement as set out in IFRS 17.66(c)(ii)
ac a com (nor or or and coverage period)	 Whereby: If the underlying contract becomes onerous after initial recognition because of adverse changes in estimates relating to future service, the corresponding changes in reinsurance cash flows can be recognized in P&L to "offset" the loss

somewhat diµerent

Our IFRS cash flow statement

Valuable source of information or just formality?



Why is cash flow an important financial indicator? In the long run only cash counts

- 1. Liquidity is an important survival condition for enterprises
- 2. Not debt overload but illiquidity is main reason for bankruptcy
- 3. Significant differences between insurers and non-financial industries
- 4. Direct vs. indirect methodology to calculate and present

Liquidity at all times is a 'Must'

Insurance company's cash flow characteristics Investing cash flow as a residual



Cash flow derived indirectly from net income as starting point Operating cash flow in 1H/2018 once again very strong

in EUR thousand	1.130.6.2018	
Net premium earned	8,345,642	
Ordinary investment income	632,476	
Profit/loss from investments in associated companies	1,792	
Realised gains and losses on investments	53,380	-
Change in fair value of financial instruments	19,561	-
Total depreciation, impairments and appreciation of investments	21,060	/
Other investment expenses	56,347	
Net income from investments under own management	629,802	
Income/expense on funds withheld and contract deposits	113,796	
Net investment income	743,598	
Other technical income	76	
Total revenues	9,089,316	
Total technical expenses	8,245,146	1
Other income and expenses	63,111	1
Operating profit (EBIT)	907,281	
Financing costs	37,684	
Net income before taxes	869,597	
Taxes	273,373	
Net income	596,224	1

in EUR thousand		1.130.6.2018
I. Cash flow from operating	activities	
Net income		596,224
Appreciation/depreciation		31,638
Net realised gains and loss	es on investme <i>r</i> its	(53,380)
Change in fair value of finance	ncial instruments (th	(19,561)
Realised gains and losses o	n deconsolidation	(2,978)
Amortisation of investment	s /	5,521
Changes in funds withheld		(230,649)
Net changes in contract de	posits	(69,445)
Changes in prepaid reinsur	ance premium (net)	775,540
Changes in tax assets/prov	isions for taxes	5,748
Changes in benefit reserve	(net)	(21,356)
Changes in claims reserves	(net)	677,797
Changes in deferred acquis	ition costs	(201,089)
Changes in other technical	provisions	67,573
Changes in clearing balance	es	(741,473)
Changes in other assets and	liabilities (net)	197,124
Cash flow from operating	activities	1,017,234

A peer review: 10-year development of operating cash flows Also on a cash flow basis we compare favourably



Strong cash flow fuels growth in invested assets

Development of assets under own management (incl. cash)



Much better development of investments at HR

Update on IFRS 17 | Cash flow statement | Investments

Contribution of operating cash flow to our AuM

in m. EUR



Increasing investments as well as net investment income (NII) despite slightly decreasing Rol



somewhat diµerent

Investment update

Barbell targets achieved, start to "diversify"

Stabilise liquidity, reducing spread duration, geo-shifting and lower credit risks

- Increase group-wide liquid assets
- Barbell credit risks
 - either AAA
 - or BBB and lower
- Increase spread durations
- Invest in credit structures at lower end
- Avoid the middle segment of ratings
- Increase real estate funds
- Develop private equities in line with portfolio growth
- Use volatility in listed equity opportunistically

Barbell hances

iversi

- Keep group-wide liquid assets stable
- Diversify credit risks across rating spectrum
 - Lower spread durations from increased level
 - Increase rating quality of credit structures and loan portfolios
 - Diversify credits into whole world (EM)
 - Stabilise, slightly increase real estates
 - Stabilise, slightly increase private equity
- Use volatility in listed equity opportunistically

Large differences between currencies EUR still at unhealthy low yields

Current analysis per currency of fixed-income portfolio*



Hence, fixed-income allocation varies significantly per currency



* Analysis as at 30 Jun 2018, excluding short-term investments and cash

Maturity profile per currency varies remarkably ... faster turnover in USD compared to EUR

Maturities of fixed-income portfolio* per currency Modified duration Other 2.9 CAD 5.4 AUD 5.1 7.1 GBP USD 4.4 **EUR** 6.1 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028

* Analysis as at 30 Jun 2018, excluding short-term investments and cash

Ordinary fixed-income return stabilises, even with strategic shift Decrease in credit exposure should affect ordinary by <10bps p.a.

Projection of fixed-income portfolio maturing vs. re-investment yield*



Re investment yield per maturity

* As at Jun 2018, excluding short-term investments and cash

Disclaimer

This presentation does not address the investment objectives or financial situation of any particular person or legal entity. Investors should seek independent professional advice and perform their own analysis regarding the appropriateness of investing in any of our securities.

While Hannover Re has endeavoured to include in this presentation information it believes to be reliable, complete and up-to-date, the company does not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness or updated status of such information.

Some of the statements in this presentation may be forward-looking statements or statements of future expectations based on currently available information. Such statements naturally are subject to risks and uncertainties. Factors such as the development of general economic conditions, future market conditions, unusual catastrophic loss events, changes in the capital markets and other circumstances may cause the actual events or results to be materially different from those anticipated by such statements.

This presentation serves information purposes only and does not constitute or form part of an offer or solicitation to acquire, subscribe to or dispose of, any of the securities of Hannover Re.

© Hannover Rück SE. All rights reserved. Hannover Re is the registered service mark of Hannover Rück SE.



Capital position and risk profile

Incl. development of Property & Casualty claim reserves

Dr. Andreas Märkert

Chief Risk Officer, Managing Director of Group Risk Management

21st International Investors' Day London, 18 October 2018



Agenda

- Solvency ratio and movement analysis
- Risk profile, stress tests and sensitivities
- Development of Property & Casualty claim reserves
- Recent regulatory developments

Solvency II capital generation: review 2017 Solvency II eligible own funds and SCR movement analysis



Figures in m. EUR. SCR – Solvency Capital Requirements according to Solvency II internal model

1) Changes due to changes of foreign exchange rates, interest rates, credit spreads and other financial market indicators; pre-tax

2) Model changes, main effect from regulatory approval of operational risk model; pre-tax

3) Operating earnings and variances in assumptions; pre-tax

4) Incl. tax payments and changes in deferred taxes

5) Incl. minor changes in foreseeable dividends

Stable buffer above Solvency II capital targets Despite significant changes in economic environment



Composition of Solvency II eligible capital*



2018: Decrease in Solvency II ratio driven by widening of credit spreads and increase of risk margin due to restructuring following the US tax reform

Figures in m. EUR * As at Q2/2018

Stable outlook above Solvency II capital targets Despite substantial business development



Eligible own funds = Solvency Capital Requirements (SCR)

- Outlook:
 - Restructuring of direct insurance (Inter Hannover) with very limited impact on solvency ratio (<1%p).
 - The solvency ratio is not particularly sensitive to the level of recaptures of US mortality business in 2018.
 - Capital growth in line with business growth.
 - Outlook based on the assumption of a stable economic environment and expected results / large losses.

hannover re®

Figures in m. EUR

Volatility adjustment as a crisis management tool Application for use starting year end 2018

- Reason for application:
 - Hannover Re has applied for the use of the volatility adjustment starting year end 2018 in order to mitigate the volatility of the own funds in case of significant market stresses
 - Reflects Hannover Re hold-to-maturity asset mgmt. strategy and stable cash flow profile

Impact of application:

- · Moderate impact when spreads are moderate
- Impact on SII ratio in a 2008-like scenario approx. 45%p
- However, significant basis risk exists due to differences between Hannover Re asset portfolio and reference portfolio for the calculation of the Volatility Adjuster (VA)
- Transparency: continue to report Solvency II
 ratio incl. and excl. VA

Figures in m. EUR * As at Q4/2017

Impact of volatility adjustment on solvency ratio*



Solvency Capital Requirements (SCR)

Substantial excess capital to withstand shocks Comfortable capital position above targets

- We monitor internal and Solvency II metrics on a quarterly basis, upon significant transactions and as a component of our planning process
- Further side constraints
 - Solvency II ratio of Hannover Rück SE: 267%
 - Rating targets
 - Standard & Poor's rating AA-
 - A.M. Best rating A+
 - includes adherence to rating agencies' capital requirements
 - Available capital / Eligible own funds
 Required capital
 - Excess capital



Capital adequacy ratios, internal and Solvency II metrics

- All figures as at 31 December 2017 and in m. EUR
- 1) Available capital vs. Value-at-Risk (VaR) at confidence level 99.97%, minimum capital ratio 100%
- 2) Available capital vs. VaR at confidence level 99.5%, minimum capital ratio 180%
- 3) Solvency II eligible own funds vs. VaR at confidence level 99.5%
- 4) Non-available minority interests mainly consist of non-controlling interests in E+S Rückversicherung AG

Capital efficiency supported by high diversification Breakdown of Solvency II capital requirements



As at 30 June 2018

1) SCR - Solvency Capital Requirements according to Solvency II internal model

2) Including minority interest

3) Allocation based on Euler principle and Tail-Value-at-Risk at confidence level 99%

Hannover Re is well diversified within each risk category and has a well balanced asset and liability portfolio



hannover **re**°

* SCR - Solvency Capital Requirements according to Solvency II internal model

Individual risks with limited impact on own funds Solvency ratio above targets for all sensitivities

Sensitivities and stress tests



As at 31 December 2017, in m. EUR; post-tax

1) A return period of 250 years is equivalent to an occurrence probability of 0.4%; based on the aggregate annual loss. Car - Caribbean

2) Approx. 3 week of power outage in a larger area of a developed country

3) Distributed denial of service attack on main DNS provider

Reconcilliation IFRS vs. Solvency II Solvency II valuation based on current assumptions

IFRS shareholders' equity vs. Solvency II eligible own funds

in m. EUR



L&H technical provision: IFRS vs. Solvency II

P&C technical provision: IFRS vs. Solvency II



As at 31 December 2017; according to Solvency II year-end reporting, incl. minority interests, in m. EUR

P&C book diversified over regions and lines of business More than 50% of loss and loss adjustment reserves are additional IBNR*

Cedents' residence / additional IBNR* Lines of business Marine & Rest of Others Aviation World USA 2% 10% 20% 35% Credit, Surety & Pol. Risk General 5% Liability 40% Motor Additional IBNR 53% NonLiab Rest of 2% Europe Cedent-Case 10% Reserves 47% France Property 8% 22% UK/Ireland Germany 14% 13% Motor Liability 19% **Property & Casualty gross loss reserves***

EUR 24,130 m.

* As at 31 December 2017, consolidated, IFRS, IBNR - Incurred but not reported

Stable P&C reserving level in challenging markets Independent external review confirms reserving level

Year end ¹⁾	Redundancy ²⁾	Change of redundancy	Impact on loss ratio	Net earned premium (P&C)
2009	867	276	5.3%	5,230
2010	956	89	1.6%	5,394
2011	1,117	162	2.7%	5,961
2012	1,308	190	2.8%	6,854
2013	1,517	209	3.1%	6,866
2014	1,546	29	0.4%	7,011
2015	1,887	341	4.2%	8,100
2016	1,865	-22	-0.3%	7,985
2017	1,813	-52	-0.1%	9,159

Figures in m. EUR and unadjusted for changes in foreign exchange rate, i.e. based on actual exchange rates at respective year end.
 Redundancy of loss and loss adjustment expense reserve for P&C against held IFRS reserves, before tax and minority participations.
 WillisTowersWatson reviewed these estimates - more details shown in appendix.

Average impact on loss ratio: 2% in the past 9 years (not f/x-adjusted)

Extended coverage of reported loss triangles

Now including the vast majority of reinsurance subsidiaries and branches

		ļ	Extended scope *			
Line of business	Reserves U/Y 1979-2005	Reserves U/Y 2006-2017	U/Y 1979 - 2017 in % of total	Reserves U/Y 1979-2005	Reserves U/Y 2006-2017	U/Y 1979 - 2017 in % of total
General liability non-prop.	785	4,595	31.8%	799	5,350	27.8%
Motor non-prop.	592	2,114	16.0%	609	2,479	14.0%
General liability prop.	140	1,951	12.4%	242	2,233	11.2%
Motor prop.	190	953	6.8%	194	1,966	9.8%
Property prop.	27	1,375	8.3%	31	2,195	10.1%
Property non-prop.	16	1,289	7.7%	20	2,760	12.6%
Marine	31	962	5.9%	35	1,163	5.4%
Aviation	24	737	4.5%	33	768	3.6%
Credit/surety	48	1,068	6.6%	52	1,198	5.7%
Total*	1,854	15,044	100.0%	2,014	20,112	100.0%

As at 31 December 2017, consolidated, IFRS figures in m. EUR

* Extended Scope includes Property & Casualty business from Hannover Rück SE incl. its 8 branches, E+S Rückversicherung AG, HR Bermuda, HR Ireland and HR Takaful. Business from primary insurance (Inter Hannover and Argenta), Inter Hannover No. 1 and HR South Africa as well as business written prior to underwriting year (UY) 1979 and a fraction of group internal retrocession are not included. The excluded reserves amount to 2,005 m. EUR.

Hannover Re financial year better reflects our cash flow profile Extended scope and reporting now based on Hannover Re's financial year

Future reporting based on Hannover Re's financial year and extended scope

			Hannover Re financial year										Booked data				
U/W	Earned	12	24	36	48	60	72	84	96	108	120	132	144	Ultimate	Paid	Case	IBNR
year	premium	12	24	30	40	60	12	04	90	100	120	132	144	loss ratio	losses	reserves	balance
2006	4,662	13.3%	35.1%	38.8%	41.0%	42.4%	43.5%	43.8%	44.3%	44.4%	44.9%	45.3%	45.6%	49.3%	41.8%	3.8%	3.6%
2007	4,399	18.5%	43.1%	49.5%	52.9%	54.9%	56.0%	57.7%	59.6%	60.5%	60.9%	60.6%		66.2%	54.2%	5.6%	6.4%
2008	4,838	17.8%	46.8%	53.6%	56.9%	58.0%	59.8%	60.6%	61.4%	61.7%	61.7%			68.7%	55.4%	6.1%	7.2%
2009	5,050	14.5%	41.0%	47.9%	49.8%	52.1%	53.5%	55.0%	55.7%	56.0%				65.0%	49.0%	6.5%	9.5%
2010	5,132	19.1%	55.1%	62.8%	66.6%	69.3%	72.0%	72.7%	73.5%					86.9%	65.8%	7.5%	13.5%
2011	5,757	19.3%	46.5%	54.1%	58.5%	59.9%	61.8%	62.7%						77.5%	54.8%	7.6%	15.1%
2012	6,431	13.3%	42.5%	49.3%	52.8%	55.1%	56.9%							70.0%	47.7%	9.2%	13.1%
2013	6,724	15.2%	44.4%	51.1%	53.2%	55.3%								70.6%	45.6%	9.6%	15.4%
2014	7,088	12.2%	41.1%	47.7%	51.6%									67.6%	40.5%	11.3%	15.9%
2015	7,383	12.2%	43.7%	55.3%										77.2%	42.9%	14.2%	20.0%
2016	7,262	14.2%	42.4%											72.1%	24.7%	20.5%	26.9%
2017	5,555	37.6%												98.4%	19.5%	23.5%	55.5%

Previous reporting based on cedents' financial year and excluding subsidiaries and branches

		Ŭ					Cedent fina	ancial year							Booke	ed data	
U/W	Earned	12	24	36	48	60	72	84	96	108	120	132	144	Ultimate	Paid	Case	IBNR
year	premium													loss ratio	losses	reserves	balance
2006	3,628	29.7%	38.3%	41.4%	44.0%	45.4%	46.8%	47.3%	47.7%	47.9%	48.5%	49.0%	49.2%	53.8%	44.6%	4.7%	4.5%
2007	3,581	34.9%	48.2%	53.0%	56.2%	58.6%	60.3%	62.2%	64.0%	65.1%	65.3%	65.3%		71.1%	57.8%	6.4%	6.9%
2008	3,656	35.8%	51.3%	56.7%	59.2%	61.0%	63.0%	63.9%	64.7%	65.3%	65.4%			73.8%	57.5%	7.6%	8.7%
2009	3,841	30.0%	43.3%	48.0%	50.6%	51.7%	53.2%	54.6%	55.1%	55.6%				66.5%	47.3%	7.7%	11.5%
2010	4,050	33.1%	47.7%	51.5%	54.6%	58.1%	59.8%	60.3%	61.1%					75.3%	52.8%	8.1%	14.4%
2011	4,383	34.0%	48.5%	53.5%	56.5%	58.7%	60.8%	61.6%						78.5%	52.4%	8.8%	17.3%
2012	4,668	34.5%	50.6%	55.4%	58.9%	61.3%	63.0%							78.7%	51.7%	11.3%	15.6%
2013	4,819	34.2%	48.5%	52.6%	54.6%	56.8%								75.0%	45.9%	10.8%	18.4%
2014	4,680	29.8%	45.8%	51.1%	54.5%									74.0%	40.5%	14.1%	19.5%
2015	4,763	32.3%	49.1%	55.1%										80.9%	41.1%	16.4%	23.4%
2016	4,525	35.4%	49.0%											82.0%	30.1%	20.7%	31.3%
2017	2,905	35.4%												98.9%	17.3%	22.8%	58.7%

* As at 31 Dec 2017 (in m. EUR), consolidated, IFRS, development in months

Hannover Re financial year better reflects our cash flow profile Extended scope and reporting now based on Hannover Re's financial year

U/W year	Earned premium	12	24	36	48	60	72	84	96	108	120	132	144	Ultimate loss ratio	Paid losses	Case reserves	IBNR balance
2006	4,662	13.3%	35.1%	38.8%	41.0%	42.4%	43.5%	43.8%	44.3%	44.4%	44.9%	45.3%	45.6%	49.3%	41.8%	3.8%	3.6%
2007	4,399	18.5%	43.1%	49.5%	52.9%	54.9%	56.0%	57.7%	59.6%	60.5%	60.9%	60.6%		66.2%	54.2%	5.6%	6.4%
2008	4,838	17.8%	46.8%	53.6%	56.9%	58.0%	59.8%	60.6%	61.4%	61.7%	61.7%			68.7%	55.4%	6.1%	7.2%
2009	5,050	14.5%	41.0%	47.9%	49.8%	52.1%	53.5%	55.0%	55.7%	56.0%				65.0%	49.0%	6.5%	9.5%
2010	5,132	19.1%	55.1%	62.8%	66.6%	69.3%	72.0%	72.7%	73.5%					86.9%	65.8%	7.5%	13.5%
2011	5,757	19.3%	46.5%	54.1%	58.5%	59.9%	61.8%	62.7%						77.5%	54.8%	7.6%	15.1%
2012	6,431	13.3%	42.5%	49.3%	52.8%	55.1%	56.9%							70.0%	47.7%	9.2%	13.1%
2013	6,724	15.2%	44.4%	51.1%	53.2%	55.3%								70.6%	45.6%	9.6%	15.4%
2014	7,088	12.2%	41.1%	47.7%	51.6%									67.6%	40.5%	11.3%	15.9%
2015	7,383	12.2%	43.7%	55.3%										77.2%	42.9%	14.2%	20.0%
2016	7,262	14.2%	42.4%											72.1%	24.7%	20.5%	26.9%
2017	5,555	37.6%												98.4%	19.5%	23.5%	55.5%





We cope with a challenging regulatory environment Recent developments

Data protection	IT and cyber security	Solvency II review				
 EU General data protection regulation (GDPR) International data protection regulation (e.g. PIPA in South Africa) 	 Requirements on IT security in (re-) insurance (VAIT) EIOPA cyber risk initiative 	 Level 2 review in 2018 Review of the Solvency II directive (level 1) in 2020 				
AIS common framework for insurance regulation (ComFrame)	 Conduct and sustainability regulation Insurance distribution directive Sustainability reporting 	 Disruption Brexit US tax reform (Base erosion and abuse tax on affiliate premium) 				
EIOPA initiativ	nchmark study					

somewhat diµerent

Appendix
Details on reserve review by Willis Towers Watson

- The scope of Willis Towers Watson's work was to review certain parts of the held loss and loss adjustment expense reserve, net of outwards reinsurance, from Hannover Rück SE's consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS as at each 31 December from 2009 to 2017, and the implicit redundancy margin, for the non-life business of Hannover Rück SE. Willis Towers Watson concludes that the reviewed loss and loss adjustment expense reserve, net of reinsurance, less the redundancy margin is reasonable in that it falls within Towers Watson's range of reasonable estimates.
 - · Life reinsurance and health reinsurance business are excluded from the scope of this review.
 - Towers Watson's review of non-life reserves as at 31 December 2017 covered 97.3% / 98.4% of the gross and net held non-life reserves of €24.1 billion and € 22.7 billion respectively. Together with life reserves of gross €4.2 billion and net €4.0 billion, the total balance sheet reserves amount to €28.4 billion gross and €26.7 billion net.
 - The results shown in this presentation are based on a series of assumptions as to the future. It should be recognised that actual future claim experience is likely to deviate, perhaps materially, from Willis Towers Watson's estimates. This is because the ultimate liability for claims will be affected by future external events; for example, the likelihood of claimants bringing suit, the size of judicial awards, changes in standards of liability, and the attitudes of claimants towards the settlement of their claims.
 - The results shown in Willis Towers Watson's reports are not intended to represent an opinion of market value and should not be interpreted in that manner. The reports do not
 purport to encompass all of the many factors that may bear upon a market value.
 - Willis Towers Watson's analysis was carried out based on data as at evaluation dates for each 31 December from 2009 to 2017. Willis Towers Watson's analysis may not reflect
 development or information that became available after the valuation dates and Willis Towers Watson's results, opinions and conclusions presented herein may be rendered
 inaccurate by developments after the valuation dates.
 - As is typical for reinsurance companies, claims reporting can be delayed due to late notifications by some cedents. This increases the uncertainty in the estimates.
 - Hannover Rück SE has asbestos, environmental and other health hazard (APH) exposures which are subject to greater uncertainty than other general liability exposures. Willis
 Towers Watson's analysis of the APH exposures assumes that the reporting and handling of APH claims is consistent with industry benchmarks. However, there is wide variation in
 estimates based on these benchmarks. Thus, although Hannover Rück SE's held reserves show some redundancy compared to the indications, the actual losses could prove to be
 significantly different to both the held and indicated amounts.
 - Willis Towers Watson has not anticipated any extraordinary changes to the legal, social, inflationary or economic environment, or to the interpretation of policy language, that might
 affect the cost, frequency, or future reporting of claims. In addition, Towers Watson's estimates make no provision for potential future claims arising from causes not substantially
 recognised in the historical data (such as new types of mass torts or latent injuries, terrorist acts), except in so far as claims of these types are included incidentally in the reported
 claims and are implicitly developed.
 - In accordance with its scope Willis Towers Watson's estimates are on the basis that all of Hannover Rück SE's reinsurance protection will be valid and collectable. Further liability
 may exist for any reinsurance that proves to be irrecoverable.
 - Willis Towers Watson's estimates are in Euros based on the exchange rates provided by Hannover Rück SE as at each 31 December evaluation date. However, a substantial proportion of the liabilities is denominated in foreign currencies. To the extent that the assets backing the reserves are not held in matching currencies, future changes in exchange rates may lead to significant exchange gains or losses.
 - Willis Towers Watson has not attempted to determine the quality of Hannover Rück SE's current asset portfolio, nor has Willis Towers Watson reviewed the adequacy of the balance sheet provisions except as otherwise disclosed herein.
- In its review, Willis Towers Watson has relied on audited and unaudited data and financial information supplied by Hannover Rück SE and its subsidiaries, including information provided orally. Willis Towers Watson relied on the accuracy and completeness of this information without independent verification.
- Except for any agreed responsibilities Willis Towers Watson may have to Hannover Rück SE, Willis Towers Watson does not assume any responsibility and will not accept any liability to any person for any damages suffered by such person arising out of this commentary or references to Willis Towers Watson in this document.

Disclaimer

This presentation does not address the investment objectives or financial situation of any particular person or legal entity. Investors should seek independent professional advice and perform their own analysis regarding the appropriateness of investing in any of our securities.

While Hannover Re has endeavoured to include in this presentation information it believes to be reliable, complete and up-to-date, the company does not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness or updated status of such information.

Some of the statements in this presentation may be forward-looking statements or statements of future expectations based on currently available information. Such statements naturally are subject to risks and uncertainties. Factors such as the development of general economic conditions, future market conditions, unusual catastrophic loss events, changes in the capital markets and other circumstances may cause the actual events or results to be materially different from those anticipated by such statements.

This presentation serves information purposes only and does not constitute or form part of an offer or solicitation to acquire, subscribe to or dispose of, any of the securities of Hannover Re.

© Hannover Rück SE. All rights reserved. Hannover Re is the registered service mark of Hannover Rück SE.



US Mortality Solutions

Acquired block management

Dr. Klaus Miller, Member of the Executive Board





Historical results Hannover Re US - L&H

- Hannover Re: a top-tier provider of
 - financial
 - mortality

1

• and health risk solutions

in the US life and health R/I market

- EBIT US business group
 2015 1H/2018: USD 450 m.
- EBIT contribution 2015 1H/2018 without the block of business acquired in 2009 (ING Re portfolio): ~ USD 1 bn.



Historical results of US Mortality Solutions US L&H business

- US Mortality Solutions business unit: organically written business, the ING Re portfolio, and other block acquisitions incl. the pre-2009 legacy business
- Organic business and other acquisitions outside of the ING Re portfolio have met our profitability targets
- Shift from ING Re portfolio to organic business



Historical results of US Mortality Solutions cont'd US L&H business

- ING Re portfolio acquired via retrocession from ING (now Voya); legal entity: Security Life of Denver (SLD)
- 2009: positive results; since 2010: EBIT losses every year
- Total EBIT contribution: loss of ~USD 500 m.
 - excl. allocated mgmt. expenses from inception of transaction

ING Re portfolio EBIT x B36 x alloc. exp.



- Losses reduced through active steering
 - Current annual collateral costs reduced to ~USD 1 m. from >USD 30 m. USD 665 m. savings on PV basis
 - First targeted rate actions in 2013. Worth ~USD 500 m. on PV basis
 - Organic new business written since 2009 contributing USD 240 m. of EBIT excl. allocated mgmt. expenses from 2009 through 1H/2018. PV of profit embedded in organic business as at Q2/2018: USD 1.3 bn.

hannover **re**°

in m. USD

Historical results of US Mortality Solutions cont'd US L&H business

- ING Re portfolio includes:
 - Coinsurance
 - Yearly renewable term (YRT) business originally reinsured by ING Re in the late 1990's through 2004
- YRT business:
 - Most significant portion of losses
 - Greatest exposure to older ages, permanent products, and long-term population trends



* Value of business acquired



What went wrong? US L&H business

US market dynamics

- Late 1990's and early 2000's: high cession rates and lower quality of medical UW compared to today
- Selective lapses, turnover due to preferred UW and declining prices
- Higher realised cost of options such as conversions
- "Table shaving"

Aggregate mortality improvements

- Lower than expected; driven by socio-economic effects
- Heart and stroke improvements have slowed materially
- Opioid misuse and accidental deaths have increased
- Top income groups fare materially better, but less at the oldest ages

Result

Higher prospective mortality and rate deficiencies, largest at oldest ages

All SLD YRT rate increases US L&H business

- 1st May: rate increase notifications sent to all YRT treaties by Hannover Re US as 3rd party administrator of Security Life of Denver (SLD). SLD belongs to Voya group and is the entity facing clients for ING Re portfolio
- Rate increases targeted to address prospective deficiencies. Significant increase on …
 - ... single life business attained ages 80 and above
 - ... all joint life business
- Contractual limitations apply on some treaties
 - For example, rate increase will automatically take effect for certain treaties if or when the ceding company has raised cost of insurance charges on underlying policies
- Recapture options included as part of rate increases with 90 days for the ceding company to notify of their decision

All SLD YRT rate increases - structure US L&H business

Long-term commitment Developing mutually profitable relationships in the US market, even through challenging times

Alternative options

Extra-contractual recapture options provided to demonstrate seeking appropriate overall rate increase rather than 'windfall' profit recouping past losses

Clear communication

Rate increases based off of recent credible cash experience as reported directly by ceding companies



2

Contractual requirements & best legal advice

Fully compliant with our legal and contractual rights, duties and obligations following best legal advice at every stage

Manageable administrative complexity

Rate increases structured to minimise ceding company implementation issues

Consistency with all ceding companies

Requirements to raise rates consistently across all ING Re YRT business pervasive in treaties from this era. Increases carefully applied consistently to meet all contractual requirements

hannover **re**®

3

All SLD YRT rate increases - timelines US L&H business

- Notifications: 767 treaties with 101 company groups
- Confirmed receipt: all company groups



All SLD YRT rate increases - dynamics US L&H business

- Economic outcome is improved in aggregate whether the ceding company chooses to:
 - recapture when the block becomes smaller, or
 - leave business with us when the prospective rates are more appropriate



 Recaptures can lead to IFRS losses even though economic results improve because ING Re portfolio has to be accounted for under the lock-in principle (Purchase GAAP Accounting)

2018 recapture charges and size of remaining block US L&H business



Forward-looking expectations US L&H business

▶ We expect USD 372 m. of adverse recapture charges, included in 2018 projected below





Risks US L&H business

Risks associated with IFRS income and IFRS/Solvency II balance sheet valuation of the subject block of business and current rate actions:



- Individual ceding company decisions to recapture or accept the rate increases cannot be predicted with certainty
- Some ceding companies may pursue arbitration as a route to resolve; based on legal advice received we are confident in our contractual rights
- Forward-looking statements depend on future mortality assumptions including population improvement trends, which are inherently uncertain

Disclaimer

This presentation does not address the investment objectives or financial situation of any particular person or legal entity. Investors should seek independent professional advice and perform their own analysis regarding the appropriateness of investing in any of our securities.

While Hannover Re has endeavoured to include in this presentation information it believes to be reliable, complete and up-to-date, the company does not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness or updated status of such information.

Some of the statements in this presentation may be forward-looking statements or statements of future expectations based on currently available information. Such statements naturally are subject to risks and uncertainties. Factors such as the development of general economic conditions, future market conditions, unusual catastrophic loss events, changes in the capital markets and other circumstances may cause the actual events or results to be materially different from those anticipated by such statements.

This presentation serves information purposes only and does not constitute or form part of an offer or solicitation to acquire, subscribe to or dispose of, any of the securities of Hannover Re.

© Hannover Rück SE. All rights reserved. Hannover Re is the registered service mark of Hannover Rück SE.



Insights into life and health reinsurance

25' about L&H ... excluding North America!

Claude Chèvre, Member of the Executive Board

21st International Investors' Day London, 18 October 2018



At a glance L&H excluding North America



Financials L&H excluding North America



	20	15	20)16	2017	
Per region	Premium	EBIT margin	Premium	EBIT margin	Premium	EBIT margin
Australia	842	6%	682	6%	705	6%
Europe	3,079	4%	2,894	5%	2,652	3%
Asia	1,150	10%	917	8%	1,008	6%
Afrika	208	17%	193	13%	216	14%
Latam	394	7%	431	3%	478	3%
Total	5,673	6%	5,116	6%	5,059	5%



Gross Written Premium. Mortality / Morbidity includes financial solution and risk solution business

Volatility of 1 & 2 treaties Uncorrelated



3 Insights into life and health reinsurance

Volatility of 2 treaties

Various correlations



For illustration only

Volatility of thousands of treaties Various correlations

No. 5,500 6 7 8 9 10 2,000 1,000 500 250 100 50 10 2 0.25 0.5 0.75 0 Correlation

95% confidence interval

- The more lines of business from different regions, the smaller the mutual correlation of the underlying treaties
- The smaller the mutual correlation and the more treaties, the smaller the confidence interval
- The smaller the confidence interval, the more predictive the result

For illustration only

Contestable premiums L&H excluding North America and longevity



Expected yearly new business growth as at 2020 L&H excluding North America and longevity



■ Total ■ Hannover Re Figures p.a.

Outlook: Gross written premium & EBIT as at 2020 L&H excluding North America



Disclaimer

This presentation does not address the investment objectives or financial situation of any particular person or legal entity. Investors should seek independent professional advice and perform their own analysis regarding the appropriateness of investing in any of our securities.

While Hannover Re has endeavoured to include in this presentation information it believes to be reliable, complete and up-to-date, the company does not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness or updated status of such information.

Some of the statements in this presentation may be forward-looking statements or statements of future expectations based on currently available information. Such statements naturally are subject to risks and uncertainties. Factors such as the development of general economic conditions, future market conditions, unusual catastrophic loss events, changes in the capital markets and other circumstances may cause the actual events or results to be materially different from those anticipated by such statements.

This presentation serves information purposes only and does not constitute or form part of an offer or solicitation to acquire, subscribe to or dispose of, any of the securities of Hannover Re.

© Hannover Rück SE. All rights reserved. Hannover Re is the registered service mark of Hannover Rück SE.



The relevance of cash flow in P&C reinsurance

Jürgen Gräber, Member of the Executive Board

21st International Investors' Day London, 18 October 2018



Cash flow affects the reinsurance price calculation

	NPE	+	Economic revaluation	-	Capital margin	=	MtCR
	Net premium earned	+	Discount effect on P&C net loss reserves (% of NPE)	-	Capital margin above risk free (pre-tax)	=	Maximum tolerable Combined Ratio
2018:	100%	+	3.6%	-	7.4%	=	96.2%
2017:	100%	+	3.8%	-	7.7%	=	96.1%
2016:	100%	+	3.4%	-	7.4%	=	96.0%
2015:	100%	+	3.8%	-	7.6%	=	96.3%

As at March 2018

MtCR varies substantially by line of business 2018

Net premium earned (100%) + Ec	onomic revaluation	- Capital margin =	MtCR
North America*	7.1%	12.0%	95.1%
Continental Europe*	3.4%	7.5%	95.9%
Marine	3.2%	13.6%	89.6%
Aviation	5.5%	8.4%	97.0%
Credit, surety and political risks	3.1%	9.0%	94.1%
UK, Ireland, London market and direct	4.9%	6.8%	98.1%
Facultative R/I	5.0%	9.2%	95.8%
Worldwide Treaty R/I*	3.3%	7.5%	95.8%
Cat XL	4.0%	15.5%	88.6%
Structured R/I and ILS	0.7%	2.2%	98.5%
Total Property & Casualty R/I	3.6%	7.4%	96.2%

As at March 2018

* All lines of Property & Casualty reinsurance except those stated separately

How we calculate the technical P&C reinsurance cash flow



A typical single contract cash flow development



Development of cash flow in the reinsurance market



Development of Hannover Re's P&C reinsurance cash flow



1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017

Hannover Re's P&C reinsurance cash flow by type of contract



Average P&C cash flow over the cycles

P&C cash flow vs. EBIT (FY)

(average) in m. EUR



The largest contributors to the P&C cash flow 2002 - 1H/2018 by treaty divisions


Taking a deeper look at the US US treaty division

US property and casualty cash flow vs. GWP (FY)



hannover **re**°

in m. EUR

Extreme hard market in aviation after WTC Aviation treaty division

Aviation cash flow vs. GWP (FY)

in m. EUR



Gross written premium Cash flow

NatCat XL, an above-average cash flow contributor NatCat XL treaty divsion



P&C reinsurance cash flow from an underwriting-year angle In absolute terms



P&C reinsurance cash flow from an underwriting-year angle In relative terms



Sample: treaty North America In relative terms



Sample: treaty Asia In relative terms

Asia cash flow build-up (UY)



Sample: treaty Europe (excl. UK) In relative terms

Europe (excl. UK) cash flow build-up (UY) in % 120% 100% 80% 60% 40% 20% 0%

Sample: treaty NatCat XL In relative terms

NatCat XL cash flow build-up (UY)

UY in %



A balance sheet made of concrete P&C balance sheet structure of Hannover Rück SE

in EUR thousand Assets Intangible assets Investments Receivables	2017 69,384 34,460,839 2,894,030		Investments: EUR 34 bn.
Other assets Prepayments and accrued income Total assets	2,374,030 292,824 165,263 37,882,340	_	
Liabilities Subscribed capital Capital reserve Retained earnings	120,597 880,608 630,511		
Disposable profit Capital and reserves Subordinated liabilities Technical provisions	1,274,000 2,905,716 1,500,000 30,226,694		Technical provisions: EUR 30 bn.
Provisions for other risks and charges Deposits received from retrocessionaires Other liabilities Accruals and deferred income Total liabilities	371,949 1,907,577 970,404 - 37,882,340		

Our liabilities are secured by sound assets

Conclusion

- Strong balance sheet
- Cash flow covers EBIT + costs (incl. increase in future claims provisions, if any)
- Non-proportional business creates desired cash flow
- Appropriate mix of proportional and non-proportional business allows the financing of increasing liabilities
- Cycle management is critical for cash flow build-up
- "Cash flow underwriting" is important and is becoming even more important in the years to come
- Translates into funds available for investment



Disclaimer

This presentation does not address the investment objectives or financial situation of any particular person or legal entity. Investors should seek independent professional advice and perform their own analysis regarding the appropriateness of investing in any of our securities.

While Hannover Re has endeavoured to include in this presentation information it believes to be reliable, complete and up-to-date, the company does not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness or updated status of such information.

Some of the statements in this presentation may be forward-looking statements or statements of future expectations based on currently available information. Such statements naturally are subject to risks and uncertainties. Factors such as the development of general economic conditions, future market conditions, unusual catastrophic loss events, changes in the capital markets and other circumstances may cause the actual events or results to be materially different from those anticipated by such statements.

This presentation serves information purposes only and does not constitute or form part of an offer or solicitation to acquire, subscribe to or dispose of, any of the securities of Hannover Re.

© Hannover Rück SE. All right reserved.

Hannover Re is the registered service mark of Hannover Rück SE.



Concluding remarks and outlook

Ulrich Wallin, Chief Executive Officer

21st International Investors' Day London, 18 October 2018



Key takeaways from our 21st Investors' Day

- Hannover Re is well positioned to continue its positive development in an attractive reinsurance market
- Hannover Re is well capitalised and has flexibility to manage its capital position
- High level of reserve redundancies safeguards profitability of our P&C business
- We are confident to grow our P&C reinsurance market share top and bottom line based on our competitive advantages
- P&C reinsurance is a strong contributor of cash flow, fuelling further growth in AuM
- Stabilising Rol and growing AuM will lead to an increasing investment income in the medium term
- We expect significantly increased EBIT growth from 2019 onwards in L&H reinsurance
 - based on good underlying profitability and solving the problems with US mortality legacy book

We create value for clients, shareholders and employees



Disclaimer

This presentation does not address the investment objectives or financial situation of any particular person or legal entity. Investors should seek independent professional advice and perform their own analysis regarding the appropriateness of investing in any of our securities.

While Hannover Re has endeavoured to include in this presentation information it believes to be reliable, complete and up-to-date, the company does not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy, completeness or updated status of such information.

Some of the statements in this presentation may be forward-looking statements or statements of future expectations based on currently available information. Such statements naturally are subject to risks and uncertainties. Factors such as the development of general economic conditions, future market conditions, unusual catastrophic loss events, changes in the capital markets and other circumstances may cause the actual events or results to be materially different from those anticipated by such statements.

This presentation serves information purposes only and does not constitute or form part of an offer or solicitation to acquire, subscribe to or dispose of, any of the securities of Hannover Re.

© Hannover Rück SE. All rights reserved. Hannover Re is the registered service mark of Hannover Rück SE.