

Hannover Rück SE 2019

Solvency and Financial Condition Report



# Contents

Exe	cuti	ve Su	immary	5
A.	Bu	sines	s and Performance	12
А	.1	Bus	iness	12
	A.1	.1	Business model	12
	A.1	.2	Income and key transactions	13
	A.1	.3	Headquarters, supervisors and auditors	13
	A.1	.4	Group structure	15
	A.1	.5	Material related undertakings	16
А	.2	Und	lerwriting performance	17
А	.3	Inve	estment Performance	20
А	.4	Per	formance of other activities	24
	A.4	.1	Other income and expenses	24
	A.4	.2	Significant leasing agreements	25
A	5	Any	other information	25
В.	Sys	stem	of Governance	26
В	.1	Ger	neral information on the System of Governance	26
	B.1	.1	Governance structure	26
	B.1	.2	Remuneration policy	30
	B.1	.3	Related party transactions	31
В	.2	Fit a	and proper requirements	32
	B.2	.1	Requirements	32
	B.2	2.2	Description of requirements	32
	B.2	.3	Evaluation process	33
В	.3	Risł	A Management System including the Own Risk and Solvency Assessment	34
	B.3	5.1	Risk management system including risk management function	34
	B.3	.2	Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA)	39
В	.4	Inte	rnal Control System	40
	B.4	.1	Elements of the internal control system	40
	B.4	.2	Compliance function	40
В	.5	Inte	rnal Audit Function	43
В	.6	Actu	uarial Function	44
В	.7	Out	sourcing	45

E	8.8	Any	other information	45
	B.8	.1	Evaluating the appropriateness of the system of governance	45
	B.8	.2	Other information	46
C.	R	lisk P	Profile	47
C	2.1	Und	erwriting risk	48
	C.1	.1	Underwriting risk Property and Casualty	48
	C.1	.2	Reserve risk	50
	C.1	.3	Risk mitigation techniques Property & Casualty	51
	C.1	.4	Underwriting risk Life and Health	53
C	2.2	Mar	ket risk	55
C	2.3	Cree	dit risk	59
C	2.4	Liqu	iidity risk	60
C	2.5	Оре	erational risk	60
C	2.6	Oth	er material risks	62
	C.6	.1	Emerging risks	62
	C.6	.2	Strategic risks	63
	C.6	.3	Reputational risks	63
	C.6	.4	Important developments	63
	C.6	.5	Contagion risks	68
C	C.7	Any	other information	68
D.	V	'aluat	ion for Solvency Purposes	69
C	0.1	Ass	ets	73
	D.1	.1	Intangible assets R0030	73
	D.1	.2	Deferred tax assets R0040	73
	D.1	.3	Property, plant & equipment held for own use R0060	74
	D.1	.4	Property (other than for own use) R0080	75
	D.1	.5	Participations and related undertakings R0090	75
	D.1	.6	Equities R0100	76
	D.1	.7	Bonds R0130	77
	D.1	.8	Collective Investments Undertakings R0180	81
	D.1	.9	Derivatives R0190	82
	D.1	.10	Deposits other than cash equivalents R0200	83
	D.1	.11	Other investments R0210	84
	D.1	.12	Reinsurance recoverables R0270	84

	D.1.13	Deposits to cedants R0350	85
	D.1.14	Insurance and intermediaries receivables R0360	86
	D.1.15	Reinsurance receivables R0370	87
	D.1.16	Receivables (trade, not insurance) R0380	87
	D.1.17	Cash and cash equivalents R0410	88
	D.1.18	Any other assets, not elsewhere shown R0420	88
C	).2 Te	chnical Provisions	89
	D.2.1	Technical provisions Property & Casualty	91
	D.2.2	Technical provisions Life & Health	95
C	0.3 Ot	ner Liabilities	100
	D.3.1	Contingent liabilities R0740	100
	D.3.2	Provisions other than technical provisions R0750	101
	D.3.3	Pension benefit obligations R0760	102
	D.3.4	Deposits from reinsurers R0770	102
	D.3.5	Deferred tax liabilities R0780	103
	D.3.6	Derivatives R0790	104
	D.3.7	Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions R0810	104
	D.3.8	Insurance & intermediaries payable R0820	105
	D.3.9	Reinsurance payables R0830	106
	D.3.10	Payables (trade, not insurance) R0840	106
	D.3.11	Subordinated liabilities R0850	107
	D.3.12	Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown R0880	108
C	0.4 Alt	ernative methods for valuation	108
	D.4.1	Gross Rental Method	109
	D.4.2	Projected Unit Credit Method	109
	D.4.3	Market value determination for assets which are not listed on a stock exchange .	109
C	).5 An	y other information	111
E.	Capital	Management	112
E	E.1 Ov	/n Funds	112
	E.1.1	Management of own funds	112
	E.1.2	Tiering	112
	E.1.3	Basic own funds	113
	E.1.4	Transferability	115
E	E.2 So	Ivency Capital Requirement and Minimum Capital Requirement	116

E.2.	.1 Solvency Capital Requirement per Risk Category	116
E.2.	.2 Minimum Capital Requirement	118
E.3 Requii	Use of the duration-based equity risk sub-module in the calculation of the Solv rement	• •
E.4	Differences between the standard formula and any internal model used	118
E.4.	.1 The internal model	118
E.4. form	.2 Calculation techniques for the purposes of integrating results into the star nula	
E.4.	.3 Comparison between the internal model and the standard formula	121
E.5 Solver	Non-compliance with the Minimum Capital Requirement and non-compliance ncy Capital Requirement	
E.6	Any other information	122
Abbrevia	ations and glossary	123
Quantita	ative Reporting Templates	125

## **Executive Summary**

## **Key figures**

in TEUR	2019	2018
Solvency II Balance Sheet		
Assets	50,836,868	40,093,303
Technical Provisions	27,752,619	21,732,792
Other Liabilities	10,588,388	6,964,691
Excess of Assets over Liabilities	12,495,861	11,395,820
Eligible Own Funds		
Tier 1 Basic Own Funds (unrestricted)	11,812,933	10,717,073
Tier 1 Basic Own Funds (restricted)	546,522	538,136
Tier 2 Basic Own Funds	1,830,027	1,104,995
Tier 3 Basic Own Funds	19,643	45,612
Eligible Own Funds (SCR)	14,209,126	12,405,816
Capital requirements		
Solvency Capital Requirement	5,505,652	4,940,892
Minimum Capital Requirement	2,477,543	2,223,401
Coverage Ratio		
Ratio of Eligible Own Funds to SCR (Solvency Ratio)	258%	251%
Ratio of Eligible Own Funds to MCR	519%	526%

Hannover Rück SE (hereinafter referred to as "Hannover Rück" or "the company") fulfils the minimum and solvency capital requirements (hereinafter referred to as MCR and SCR) stipulated by the supervisory authorities as at the reporting date of 31 December 2019 and in the financial year 2019. The solvency ratio was above the internal threshold of 200% during the entire financial year.

The principles used to determine the solvency ratio are explained in this document. Chapter D describes the valuation principles used to determine the eligible own funds, and Chapter E those used to determine the SCR, in particular with regard to the use of the internal capital model.

According to legal requirements, the Solvency II balance sheet was audited by the auditing firm.

This report constitutes a mandatory publication pursuant to Section 40 of the Insurance Supervision Act (VAG). Please note that, for the larger part, the information contained herein is already included in the Hannover Re-Group Annual Report and in the Hannover Rück Individual Annual Report caused by the overlapping regulatory requirements.

Please note that rounding differences can occur in the presented tables. Values below TEUR 0.5 are displayed as "0". Empty cells or cells with "-" represent a value of EUR 0.00.



## A. Business and Performance

Hannover Rück transacts all lines of Property & Casualty and Life & Health reinsurance. Its global presence and activities across all lines of reinsurance business allows the company to achieve an efficient risk diversification. Since 1 January 1997 Hannover Rück SE has written active reinsurance for the Group – with few exceptions – solely in foreign markets. Responsibility within the Hannover Re Group for German business rests with the subsidiary E+S Rückversicherung AG. (hereinafter "E+S Rück").

The 2019 financial year passed off satisfactorily for Hannover Rück. The gross premium in total business grew by TEUR 3,432,116 to TEUR 18,072,869. The level of retained premium decreased from 71.8% to 69.7%. Net premium earned increased, climbing by 17.4% to TEUR 12,226,552 (2018: TEUR 10,412,941).

Technical income of TEUR 12,364,012 (TEUR 10,616,717) is opposed by technical expenses of TEUR 12,387,157 (TEUR 10,616,913). The total technical result (before equalisation reserve) booked in accordance with the German Commercial Code amounts to TEUR -23,145. In the previous year it amounted to TEUR -196.

The profit (under commercial law, HGB) on ordinary activities declined year-on-year to TEUR 799,754 (TEUR 869,708). The year under review closed with a profit for the year of TEUR 674,493 (TEUR 665,355).

Measured in terms of premium volume and the total technical result in the 2019 financial year, the significant lines are workers' compensation insurance (TEUR 34,390), marine, aviation and transport insurance (TEUR 92,574), fire and other damage to property insurance (TEUR -237,312), general liability insurance (TEUR -19,311), credit and suretyship insurance (TEUR 36,257), health reinsurance (TEUR 29,705) as well as life reinsurance (TEUR 42,769).

In workers' compensation insurance net premium earned increased due to the termination of an internal retrocession arrangement within the Group. The establishment of reduced reserves improved the technical result. In view of the fact that both premiums and claims incurred were slightly higher in marine, aviation and transport insurance, the technical result was virtually unchanged. New treaties written in the Advanced Solutions business segment boosted premiums in the line of fire and other damage to property insurance. The result was adversely impacted by the losses associated with typhoon "Hagibis", uprisings and protests in Chile as well as a fire at an oil refinery in the United States. The termination of a Group-internal retrocession arrangement caused net premium earned in general liability insurance to rise sharply. Against the backdrop of a corresponding development in expenses and lower reserve allocations than in 2018, the result showed a positive performance. The Thomas Cook insolvency was a strain on credit and suretyship insurance. This contrasted with a positive experience in US business, as a consequence of which a modestly improved technical result is recognised.

The health reinsurance business shows a stable premium volume for the reporting period (TEUR 1,279,451, previous year: TEUR 1,271,210). The increase in the technical underwriting result (TEUR 29,705) is mainly due to an improvement in the portfolio of Australian DII business and growth in Asia.

The technical underwriting result for the Life reinsurance line has reduced significantly compared to the previous period. The main driver for this significant decline is the effect of the internal restructuring of parts of our US mortality business, which became necessary in the past reporting period due to the US tax reform. This affected underlying retrocessions – inter alia to

Hannover Rück. Without this one-off effect, the technical underwriting result now returned back to the normal level.

We are very satisfied with the development of our investments during the year under review. Although it has been another challenging year with continuously low interest rate levels and a global economic situation which is more and more affected by numerous uncertainties and risks, we managed to excel in achieving our goals.

Ordinary income, including interests from funds withheld was slightly below the previous year's level, mainly due to lower dividends from our participation holding companies. However, this was partly compensated by increased ordinary income. In particular, the net gains from the disposal of investments rose significantly, mainly due to the realization of hidden reserves in connection with the restructuring of our stake in Viridium. Realisation gains from investment funds, on the other hand, were down compared to the high prior-year figures. Write-downs on investments had to be made only to a limited extent. In view of the higher market values, these write-downs were compensated by substantial write-ups on investments which had been written down in previous periods.

In response to the increasingly difficult search for an appropriate risk/return ratio for reinvestments and new investments as a result of low interest rates, we slightly adjusted the allocation of the portfolio by expanding our portfolio of emerging market and high yield bonds as well as collateralised securities. Our real estate portfolio was further strengthened as part of our strategic expansion through the acquisition of four properties in the USA and Asia. Additionally, we took advantage of very attractive market opportunities in Eastern Europe and sold two properties. All other investment categories saw only limited adjustments as part of the regular portfolio maintenance programme.

Overall, our investment portfolio increased significantly in the year under review. In addition to the positive operating cash flow, this also reflects the issue of a bond in the third quarter.

With economic effect from 1 January 2019, Hannover Rück sold 50.22% of the shares in the wholly-owned International Insurance Company of Hannover SE ("Inter Hannover") to HDI Global SE, a subsidiary of Talanx AG. Inter Hannover was subsequently rebranded as HDI Global Specialty SE.

Details on the Business and Performance and be found in section A.

## **B. System of Governance**

Hannover Rück has an effective system of governance, which provides for sound and prudent management. Written guidelines are in place for all significant business events. The key functions pursuant to Section 26 and Sections 29-31 of the Insurance Supervision Act (VAG) have been set up, entrusted with the tasks described and equipped with appropriate resources.

In the reporting year, a focus of the work of the Compliance Function was the further improvement of the Compliance Management System in combination with the revision of the Compliance Handbook. In addition, the Compliance Risk assessment was improved. Furthermore, a new methodology for the assessment of adequacy and effectiveness of mitigating measures for the Compliance Risk was introduced. Another focus of the Compliance activities lay again with the further implementation of sanction audit processes and their ongoing improvement.



The Executive Board has established a committee, which supports the assessment of the system of governance. Based on the assessment conducted by the committee, the Executive Board has reached the conclusion that the system of governance of Hannover Rück is appropriate considering the scope and complexity of its business activities and the inherent risks.

Hannover Rück has established an outsourcing management process that covers all process steps of an outsourcing and involves all relevant stakeholder groups. Currently, there is only one important outsourcing to Ampega Asset Management GmbH, covering the asset and investment management.

The individual elements of the system of governance of Hannover Rück are explained in section B.

## C. Risk Profile

In the context of its business operations Hannover Re enters into a broad variety of risks. These risks are deliberately accepted, steered and monitored. They specifically concern underwriting risks pertaining to Property & Casualty and Life & Health as well as capital market risks, liquidity risks and counterparty default risks. Operational, strategic and reputational risks also arise in the course of business operations. In Section C, we describe the sources and management of those risks. We also explain how we handle potential future risks (emerging risks).





Hannover Rück received approval from the regulatory authorities to calculate its solvency requirements using an internal capital model. Since year-end 2018 Hannover Rück applies the volatility adjustment according to § 82 VAG. This is intended to mitigate the effect of value fluctuations on the bond market. For year-end 2019 Hannover Rück has received approval from BaFin for a dynamic modelling of the volatility adjustment. By this the effect of the volatility adjustment is captured in the calculation of the required capital more adequately.

The solvency capital requirements (SCR) as of 31 December 2019 are shown in the following table. The SCR as per 31 December 2019 includes the impact from the dynamic volatility adjustment. The

dynamic volatility adjustment reduces market risk, however, this effect is overcompensated by business growth. The impact of the volatility adjustment is displayed separately in section D.2 as well as in the annex QRT S.22.01.22.

Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR) – Risk categories
in TEUR

Underwriting risk - Property & Casualty Underwriting risk - Life & Health	4,221,301	
Underwriting risk - Life & Health	7,221,001	3,633,720
	2,732,988	2,206,374
Market risk	3,943,049	3,649,419
Counterparty default risk	419,990	308,132
Operational risk	520,355	562,623
Diversification	-4,235,781	-3,530,805
Total risk (pre-tax)	7,601,902	6,829,463
Deferred tax	2,096,250	1,888,571
Total risk (post-tax)	5,505,652	4,940,892

The required capital is calculated based on the approved internal model. At present, our most significant risks are the default and spread risks within the market risks, the reserving and catastrophe risks within the underwriting risks of property and casualty reinsurance and the risk of changes in mortality within the underwriting risks of life and health reinsurance. In general, annuity portfolios are adversely impacted by improvements in mortality while death benefit portfolios are adversely affected by deteriorations in mortality.

Overall, the required capital increased in the course of the year. This was driven mainly by the larger business volumes, which have led to an increase in market risks and underwriting risks. In addition, the weaker euro compared to our main currencies contributes to a rise in volumes denominated in foreign currencies and an increase in all risk categories, as does the lower level of interest rates.

The increase in market risk mainly reflects the larger volume of assets under own management, in particular also higher volumes in the private equity sector. Further factors are an increased duration and slightly riskier investment in fixed-income securities. An opposing effect results from the first time application of the dynamic volatility adjustment, which leads to a decrease in the spread risk.

The underwriting risks in property and casualty reinsurance increased primarily as a consequence of higher premium and reserve volumes as well as larger underwriting capacities for natural perils. The increased volumes are the result of interest rate and exchange rate effects along with business growth as well as the large loss expenditure and the associated higher reserves. Moreover, in the area of catastrophe risks the modelling approach used for cyber risks was refined, leading to an increase in required capital.

The underwriting risks in life and health reinsurance increased primarily as a consequence of the business growth in the area of longevity and morbidity risks as well as low interest rates. In addition, adjustments made in the calibration of mortality risks gave rise to an increase in capital requirements.

The increase in counterparty default risks can be attributed to a higher volume of receivables due from ceding companies and retrocessionaires as well as changes in credit ratings.

The decrease in operational risks can be attributed above all to an updated expert assessment regarding the impact of individual scenarios.

The risk monitoring and control mechanisms are presented in section C.

On the basis of regulatory requirements, this report has a strong focus on the developments in the financial year 2019. Since year-end 2019, we have experienced the emergence of the new COVID-19 virus that has been declared a pandemic by the world health organization. As part of Hannover Rück's routine business continuity management and as a response to the emergence of the crisis, Hannover Rück has taken significant measures to ensure business continuity. In addition, to protect Hannover Rück's financial strength in times of financial market volatility, we have implemented strict asset-liability measures including the use of the volatility adjustments. Based on these measures, we are confident to operate at a capital level above our limit of 180% in 2020 and we are confident that we can ensure substantial operational continuity. It must be acknowledged, however, that current estimates are and will remain uncertain for some time as they depend on the further emergence of the crisis and the effectiveness and efficiency of countermeasures.

## D. Valuation for Solvency Purposes

For the purposes of calculating the eligible own funds, Hannover Re values the assets and liabilities pursuant to the provisions of Sections 74 et seq. of the Insurance Supervision Act (VAG), i.e. in accordance with Solvency II. The valuation method is described in detail in Section D. In the first part, the valuation of assets and liabilies other than technical provisions is covered. The second part is broken down into two sub-sections, in which the valuation of the technical provisions for Property & Casualty reinsurance and Life & Health reinsurance are explained separately.

The valuation for Solvency purposes is set in principle at the fair value (market value). Insofar as IFRS values appropriately reflect the fair value of individual assets or liabilities, they are applied.

Technical provisions pursuant to Solvency II differentiate significantly from the definition of provisions pursuant to the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), both in terms of structure and in relation to the calculation rules. A comparison of IFRS and Solvency II technical provisions is shown as well as a comparison of current technical provisions under Solvency II and those calculated last year.

According to the updated guidance published by BaFin in January 2019 on the treatment of deposits to cedants, cash flows in connection with deposits of the underlying business are usually no longer netted against the liability cash flows. This change is just of presentational nature (extension of the balance sheet) with no impact on the Solvency II Own Funds.

In addition, according to the updated guidance published by BaFin in January 2019 on the treatment of payables and receivables, the undue balances of accounts payables and receivables were allocated to the technical provisions. This change is just of presentational nature with no impact on the Solvency II Own Funds.

Section D explains the details of the valuation for solvency purposes.



## **E. Capital Management**

Hannover Rück endeavours at all times to maintain a solvency ratio of at least 180%, and thus exceeds the requirements of 100% stipulated by the supervisory authority. In addition, a threshold value of 200% has been defined. If the solvency ratio falls below this threshold value Hannover Rück will adopt capital measures aimed at either strengthening the company's equity or reducing the risk, or both.

The solvency satio with and without application of the volatility adjustment is continuously monitored. Any changes are taken into account as part of planning, and potential changes in the solvency ratio, which can be caused by larger transactions, are examined in advance. During the financial year 2019, there was no breach of the threshold value of 200%. Further information on the calculation of the solvency ratio can be found in Section E.

Own funds in the Solvency II balance sheet consist of basic own funds, which comprise the excess of assets over liabilities and subordinated loans. Ancillary own funds were not in use by Hannover Rück as at 31 December 2019.

The available economic capital increased by TEUR 1,803,310 to TEUR 14,209,126 as at 31 December 2019. The increase comprises the development of the equalisation reserve (tier 1), which results from the surplus of assets over liabilities less hybrid capital and the foreseeable dividend, and the emission of a subordinated bond which is classified as tier 2. In total, 87% of all available capital is assigned to the highest quality level (tier 1).

Hannover Rück uses an approved full internal model for the purposes of calculating the Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR). The individual risk categories are aligned with the risk modules of the standard formula. The internal model is applied in a broad range of management and decision-making processes. The future development of Solvency- and Minimum Capital Requirements are forecast at regular intervals as part of the planning process.

Section E explains the details of capital management.

## A. Business and Performance

## A.1 Business

## A.1.1 Business model

With a gross premium volume of more than TEUR 22,597,640, the Hannover Re Group is the thirdlargest reinsurer in the world. Hannover Rück SE is a European Company, Societas Europaea (SE), based in Hannover, Germany. We transact reinsurance in our Property & Casualty and Life & Health business groups.

The strategy pursued in both property & casualty and life & health reinsurance supports our Group's paramount mission, namely: "Creating value through reinsurance". Our entire business operations are geared to our goal of being the best option for our business partners when they come to choose their reinsurance provider. It is for this reason that our clients and their concerns form the focus of our activities.

We generate competitive advantages to the benefit of our clients and shareholders by conducting our reinsurance business with lower administrative expenses than our peers. In this way we deliver above-average profitability while at the same time being able to offer our customers reinsurance protection on competitive terms.

We also strive for the broadest possible diversification and hence an efficient risk balance. This is achieved by accepting reinsurance risks with significant diversification in our Property & Casualty and Life & Health business groups across all lines of business as well as by maintaining a global presence. In conjunction with our capital management, this is the key to our comparatively low cost of capital.

Guided by a clearly defined risk appetite, the Executive Board steers the company using risk management techniques so as to be able to act on business opportunities while securing our financial strength on a lasting basis.

In the Property & Casualty reinsurance business group we consider ourselves to be a reliable, flexible and innovative market player that ranks among the best in any given market. Cost leadership, effective cycle management and superlative risk management are the key elements of our competitive positioning.

In the Life & Health reinsurance business group we are recognized – as customer surveys confirm – as one of the top players and a leading provider of structured solutions. We achieve this standing by opening up new markets for our company and by identifying trends in order to anticipate the future needs of our customers.

Through its global presence and activities Hannover Rück is directly or via affiliates affected by various foreign fiscal and regulatory developments.



## A.1.2 Income and key transactions

In this and the following sections of Chapter A, the values indicated were determined in accordance with the German Commercial Code (HGB), as required by Art. 293 (2) DVO. Please note that the accounting rules under HGB differ significantly from those under Solvency II.

The 2019 financial year was a pleasing one for Hannover Rück. The gross premium in total business increased by 23.4% to TEUR 18,072,869. The level of retained premium dropped from 71.8% to 69.7%. Net premium earned grew by 17.4% to TEUR 12,226,552.

The underwriting result (before changes in the equalisation reserve) decreased in the year under review from TEUR -196 to TEUR -23,145. Following a withdrawal of TEUR 25,270 in the previous year, an amount of TEUR -210,561 was allocated to the equalisation reserve and similar provisions in the year under review.

The 2019 financial year, just like the two previous years, was adversely impacted by large losses that exceeded our expectations. While the first six months again recorded a thoroughly moderate major loss experience, the volume of losses incurred in the second half of the year was significantly higher than anticipated. The total net expenditure on major losses for Hannover Rück amounted to TEUR 606,347.

Ordinary investment income including deposit interest fell short of the previous year's level at TEUR 1,110,774, primarily due to reduced distributions from our investment holding companies. To some extent this was offset by stronger ordinary income from fixed-income securities. Driven by the substantial asset volume, the latter rose to a pleasing TEUR 508,211 despite the continued low level of interest rates. Net gains of TEUR 220,902 were realised on disposals. The sharp increase here derived in large measure from the release to income of hidden reserves that resulted from restructuring of a participating interest. The gains realised on investment fund certificates were, however, lower than the comparatively strong earnings booked in the previous year. Write-downs of TEUR 11,419 were taken on investments, for the most part on bearer debt securities held as current assets. The write-downs contrasted with write-ups of TEUR 50,259 that were made on assets written down in previous periods in order to reflect increased fair values.

All in all, the net investment result increased to TEUR 1,325,821. The balance of other income and charges changed from TEUR -182,581 to TEUR -148,904.

The profit on ordinary activities contracted to TEUR 799,754. The year under review closed with a profit for the year of TEUR 674,493.

## A.1.3 Headquarters, supervisors and auditors

Hannover Rück is a European stock corporation, Societas Europaea (SE), with its headquarters located in Karl-Wiechert-Allee 50, 30625 Hannover, Germany and has been entered in the Commercial Register of the District Court of Hannover under the number HR Hannover B 6778. A rounded 50.2% of Hannover Rück shares are held by Talanx AG, Hannover, which in turn is majority-owned – with an interest of 79.0% – by HDI Haftpflichtverband der Deutschen Industrie V.a.G. (HDI), Hannover.

### Shareholders, subsidiaries and branches



\* Majority shareholder HDI V.a.G.

Shareholder Subsidiaries, branches

Hannover Rück as well as Talanx and HDI are subject to the

Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin) Graurheindorfer Straße 108 53117 Bonn Germany

Postbox 1253 53002 Bonn Germany

Phone +049 22 8 / 41 08-0 Fax +049 22 8 / 41 08-15 50

E-mail poststelle@bafin.de

De-Mail poststelle@bafin.de-mail.de

Talanx AG is located in Riethorst 2, 30659 Hannover, Germany.

The Group auditor appointed for Hannover Rück within the meaning of Section 318 of the German Commercial Code (HGB) is PricewaterhouseCoopers GmbH, Wirtschaftsprüfungsgesellschaft, Fuhrberger Straße 5, 30625 Hannover, Germany.



## A.1.4 Group structure

This report refers to Hannover Rück SE on a stand-alone basis. As Hannover Rück SE also operates as the parent company of a group, we also provide information in this section about the group structure.

The company's network consists of more than 100 subsidiaries, affiliates, branches and representative offices worldwide with of 3,083 staff.

Subsidiaries and branches of Hannover Rück SE are presented in the following charts.

#### Subsidiaries of Hannover Rück SE



\* Unless otherwise stated, the shareholding is 100%.

Reinsurance or Insurance companies Non-insurance companies

## A.1.5 Material related undertakings

Our major shares in affiliated companies and participations are listed below.

List of major shareholdings
Hannover Rück Beteiligung Verwaltungs-GmbH, Hannover / Germany
E+S Rückversicherung AG, Hannover / Germany
Hannover Re (Bermuda) Ltd., Hamilton / Bermuda
Hannover ReTakaful B.S.C. (c), Manama / Bahrain
Hannover Life Reassurance Bermuda Ltd. Hamilton / Bermuda
Hannover Life Reassurance Company of America, Orlando / USA
Hannover Life Reassurance Company of America (Bermuda) Ltd., Hamilton / Bermuda
Hannover Life Re of Australasia Ltd, Sydney / Australia
Hannover Re (Ireland) Designated Activity Company, Dublin / Ireland
Hannover Finance (Luxembourg) S.A., Luxemburg / Luxemburg
Sureify Labs Inc., Wilmington / USA
Inter Hannover (No.1) Limited, London / UK
International Mining Industry Underwriters Limited, London / UK
Hannover Finance (UK) Limited, London / UK
Hannover Services (UK) Limited, London / UK
Hannover Finance, Inc.,Wilmington / USA
Glencar Insurance Company, Orlando / USA
Kubera Insurance (SAC) Ltd., Hamilton / Bermuda
Hannover Reinsurance Group Africa (Pty) Ltd., Johannesburg / South Africa
Hannover Reinsurance Group Africa (Pty) Ltd prepares its own subgroup financial statements which includes the following
companies:
Hannover Reinsurance Africa Limited, Johannesburg / South Africa
Hannover Life Reassurance Africa Limited, Johannesburg / South Africa
Compass Insurance Company Limited, Johannesburg / South Africa
Lireas Holdings (Pty) Ltd., Johannesburg / South Africa
HILSP Komplementär GmbH, Hannover / Germany
Leine Investment General Partner S.à r.I., Luxemburg / Luxemburg
Leine Investment SICAV-SIF, Luxemburg / Luxemburg
LI RE, Hamilton / Bermuda
FUNIS GmbH & Co. KG, Hannover / Germany
Glencar Underwriting Managers, Inc., Chicago / USA
Integra Insurance Solutions Limited, Bradford / UK
Monument Insurance Group Limited, Hamilton / Bermuda
Reaseguradora del Ecuador S.A., Guayaquil / Equador
Trinity Underwriting Managers Ltd., Toronto / Canada
SWISS INSUREVOLUTION PARTNERS Holding (FL) AG, Triesen / Liechtenstein
SWISS INSUREVOLUTION PARTNERS Holding (CH) AG, Zurich / Switzerland
HDI Global Specialty SE, Hannover / Germany
Svedea AB, Stockholm / Sweden
HANNOVER Finanz GmbH, Hannover / Germany
Kaith Re Ltd., Hamilton / Bermuda
U FOR LIFE SDN. BHD., Petaling Jaya / Malaysia
WeHaCo Unternehmensbeteiligungs-GmbH, Hannover / Germany

	Meribel Mottaret Limited, St. Helier / Jersey
-	HAPEP II Komplementär GmbH, Hannover / Germany
	Hannover America Private Equity Partners II GmbH & Co. KG, Hannover / Germany
	HAPEP II Holding GmbH, Hannover / Germany
	Hannover Re Euro PE Holdings GmbH & Co. KG, Hannover / Germany
-	Hannover Re Global Alternatives GmbH & Co KG, Hannover / Germany
	HR US Infra Debt LP, George Town / Cayman islands
	PAG Real Estate Asia Select Fund Limited, George Town / Cayman islands
	Hannover Re Euro RE Holdings GmbH, Hannover / Germany
	HR GLL Central Europe GmbH & Co. KG, München / Germany
	Hannover Re Real Estate Holdings, Inc., Orlando / USA
	Hannover Re Real Estate Holdings, Inc. prepares its own subgroup financial statements which includes the following companies:
	GLL HRE CORE Properties, L.P., Wilmington / USA
	HR US Infra Equity LP, Wilmington / USA
	Argenta Holdings Limited, London / UK
	Argenta Holdings Limited prepares its own subgroup financial statements which includes the following companies:
	Argenta Private Capital Limited, London / UK
	Argenta Syndicate Management Limited, London / UK
-	Argenta Tax & Corporate Services Limited, London / UK
	Argenta Underwriting Asia Pte. Ltd., Singapore / Singapore
-	Argenta Underwriting Labuan Ltd, Labuan / Malaysia
-	Argenta Underwriting No.1 Limited, London / UK
-	Argenta Underwriting No.2 Limited, London / UK
-	Argenta Underwriting No.3 Limited, London / UK
-	Argenta Underwriting No.4 Limited, London / UK
	Argenta Underwriting No.7 Limited, London / UK
	Argenta Underwriting No.9 Limited, London / UK
-	Argenta Underwriting No.10 Limited, London / UK
-	Argenta Underwriting No.11 Limited, London / UK
-	Argenta Underwriting No.13 Limited, London / UK
-	Argenta Underwriting No.14 Limited, London / UK
-	Argenta Underwriting No.15 Limited, London / UK
	Residual Services Limited, London / UK

## A.2 Underwriting performance

With technical income of TEUR 12,364,012 (2018: TEUR 10,616,717) and technical expenses of TEUR 12,387,157 (TEUR 10,616,913), Hannover Rück booked a total technical result in accordance with the German Commercial Code of TEUR -23,145 in the 2019 financial year after TEUR -196 in the previous year.

Broken down into lines of business pursuant to Annex I of the Implementing Regulation (DVO), the split of the technical result (net) for the business years 2018 and 2019:

# Technical result (net) – Breakdown by lines of business in TEUR



Measured in terms of premium volume and the total technical result in the 2019 financial year, the significant lines are workers' compensation insurance (TEUR 34,390), marine, aviation and transport insurance (TEUR 92,574), fire and other damage to property insurance (TEUR -237,312), general liability insurance (TEUR -19,311), credit and suretyship insurance (TEUR 36,257), health reinsurance (TEUR 29,705) as well as life reinsurance (TEUR 42,769).

Net premium earned in the workers' compensation insurance line increased by TEUR 39,460 in 2019 to TEUR 169,759. This can be attributed above all to the termination of an internal retrocession arrangement within the Group, which until 2018 passed risks on to a subsidiary in Ireland. Significantly reduced claims incurred of TEUR 87,566 (2018: TEUR 130,449) were due primarily to comparatively high reserves established in 2018 and lower expenses from protection covers in 2019. This led to a sharply improved technical result of TEUR 34,390 after TEUR -26,155 in the previous year.

Modestly lower net premiums were recognised in the marine, aviation and transport insurance line (TEUR 366,481 after TEUR 375,524 in 2018). Claims incurred increased by TEUR 22,219 and operating expenses rose by TEUR 28,783, producing a slightly reduced technical result of TEUR 92,574.

The strong premium growth in fire and other damage to property insurance was recorded in the Advanced Solutions business segment as well as in the regions of North America, Central and South America, Europe and Asia. While operating expenses increased to virtually the same extent, claims incurred grew disproportionately strongly. Along with the establishment of increased reserves, this was driven by higher expenses for various individual losses. Notable large losses in 2019 were typhoon "Hagibis" in Japan, uprisings and protests in Chile as well as a fire at an oil refinery in Philadelphia/USA. The result therefore declined substantially to TEUR -237,312 (2018 TEUR 4,915).

Net premium earned in general liability insurance increased by TEUR 591,425 in 2019 to TEUR 1,490,239. This was attributable primarily to the termination of a Group-internal retrocession arrangement, which until 2018 passed risks on to a subsidiary in Ireland. Higher claims incurred of TEUR 1,024,041 (2018: TEUR 824,470) were also due to this termination. In addition, the level of reserves established was sharply lower than in 2018. Taken together, both these factors led to a significantly improved technical result of TEUR -19,311 after TEUR -217,102 in the previous year.

The rise in net premium earned in credit and suretyship insurance to TEUR 708,341 after TEUR 653,047 in the previous year was due first and foremost to new business in Asia. Claims incurred were slightly higher owing to opposing effects. The large loss caused by the insolvency of Thomas Cook UK is virtually offset by the positive loss experience in US business. The technical result consequently increased by TEUR 16,741 to TEUR 36,257.

The health reinsurance business shows a stable premium volume for the reporting period (TEUR 1,279,451, previous year: TEUR 1,271,210). The increase in the technical underwriting result (TEUR 29,705) is mainly due to an improvement in the portfolio of Australian DII business and growth in Asia.

A worldwide network of subsidiaries, branches and service companies administers the life reinsurance business. This decentralised approach allows our clients to have a competent local contact with superb knowledge of the local (re)insurance market available directly on the spot. This local market know how combined with the worldwide expertise of Hannover Rück enables us to deliver individually tailored and comprehensive customer support. In the past reporting period, the net premium earned of TEUR 2,830,284 remained almost constant compared to the previous year (TEUR 2,863,312). The technical underwriting result was TEUR 42,769. The main driver for the significant decline in the technical underwriting result compared to the previous year is the effect of the internal restructuring of parts of our US mortality business, which became necessary in the past reporting period due to the US tax reform. This affected underlying retrocessions – inter alia to Hannover Rück. Without this one-off effect, the technical underwriting result now returned back to the normal level.

The category "Other lines of business" recognises legal expense insurance, assistance insurance and miscellaneous financial loss.

Grouped by geographical areas, the net technical result breaks down as follows:

Technical result (net) – Regional breakdown in TEUR



While the technical result was slightly weaker on the whole compared to the previous year, the technical result posted in America developed very favourably to reach TEUR 490,629 after TEUR 220,707 in the previous year. An improvement was similarly observed in Europe (TEUR 44,300 after TEUR -176,895 in 2018). In the rest of the world, on the other hand, the technical result deteriorated to TEUR -558,074 compared to TEUR -44,008 in 2018.

The decline in the "Rest of the World" region was crucially driven by the acceptance of financing business written by the subsidiary in Australia, which impacted the result in inward business. At the same time, the result on the outward side improved due to the retrocession of this business to Bermuda, as reflected in the "America" region.

## A.3 Investment Performance

As an insurance company, we naturally focus primarily on value retention when managing our capital investments and attach great importance to the stability of the resulting returns. For this



reason, we align our investment portfolio with the principles of a balanced risk / return ratio and a broad level of diversification. With an overall low-risk mix, our investments reflect both the currency and maturity profile of our liabilities. Our portfolio contains a high level of fixed interest securities, so that credit and spread risks account for the main contribution to market risk.

We are largely satisfied with the development of our investments during the year under review, even though in light of the fact that the year under review was once again a challenging one featuring continued low interest rates and global economic development characterised by diverse uncertainty and risks.

At TEUR 1,109,985 (TEUR 1,234,058), ordinary income, including interests from funds withheld was slightly below the previous year's level, mainly due to lower dividends from our participation holding companies. This was partly compensated by increased income from fixed income securities. Despite the continuing low interest rate level, ordinary income from this asset class satisfactorily increased to TEUR 508,211 (TEUR 458,586) mainly due to the high investment volume. Net gains from the disposal of investments were realised in the amount of TEUR 220,902 (TEUR 140,887), which resulted largely from the realisation of unrealised gains in connection with the restructuring of our stake in Viridium. This was offset by a decrease in the realisation gains from investment funds to TEUR 3,244 compared to the comparatively high income of the previous year (TEUR 56,615). Write-downs of TEUR 11,419 (TEUR 88,363) were made on investments. These were mainly attributable to bearer bonds from current assets. In view of the increased market values, the write-downs were offset by write-ups on investments that had been written down in previous periods amounting to TEUR 50,259 (TEUR 1,208).

Overall, our net investment result increased to TEUR 1,325,821 (TEUR 1,231,680).

The following overview displays how the investment result achieved by Hannover Rück pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) is broken down into its individual asset classes according to Solvency II, and which part contains income and expenses respectively.

in TEUR	Ordinary income	Realised gains	Write-ups	Other income
Property, plant & equipment held for own use	2,165			1
Property (other than for own use)	1,292		88	0
Holdings in related undertakings, including participations	406,907	152,922	4,418	204
Equities - listed	231			0
Equities - unlisted	0			0
Government Bonds	204,188	54,563	8,841	211
Corporate Bonds	283,911	40,205	33,155	164
Structured notes	8,253			3
Collateralised securities	18,262	690		9
Collective Investments Undertakings	35,286	3,244	169	32
Derivatives	1,371			0
Loans	9			
Deposits other than cash equivalents	10,816			6
Deposits to cedants	137,240		3,588	148
Cash and cash equivalents	55			
Total	1,109,985	251,624	50,259	776

#### Investment income

#### Investment expenses

in TEUR	Write-downs	Realised losses	Other expenses
Property, plant & equipment held for own use	-707		-1,680
Property (other than for own use)	-479		-468
Holdings in related undertakings, including participations		-456	-8,574
Equities - listed			-4
Equities - unlisted			0
Government Bonds	-2,701	-18,328	-8,881
Corporate Bonds	-5,472	-10,870	-6,892
Structured notes			-121
Collateralised securities		-1,068	-368
Collective Investments Undertakings	-1,168		-1,341
Derivatives			-9,764
Loans			-45
Deposits other than cash equivalents			-340
Deposits to cedants	-892		-6,216
Cash and cash equivalents			-2
Total	-11,419	-30,722	-44,696

Other expenses includes the fees for capital investment management as well as bank and custody fees. Insofar as these are not charged separately for the individual asset classes, they are distributed in the table across the individual items in accordance with their share in ordinary income.



#### Investment performance

		2019			2018	
in TEUR	Total investment income	Total investment expenses	Investment per- formance	Total investment income	Total investment expenses	Investment per- formance
Property, plant & equipment held for own use	2,165	-2,387	-222	136	-2,856	-2,720
Property (other than for own use)	1,381	-947	434	5,437	-887	4,550
Holdings in related undertakings, including participations	564,451	-9,030	555,420	573,568	-32,617	540,951
Equities - listed	231	-4	227	252	-5	247
Equities - unlisted	0	0	0	0	0	0
Government Bonds	267,802	-29,910	237,892	249,866	-78,696	171,170
Corporate Bonds	357,435	-23,233	334,201	274,853	-90,498	184,355
Structured notes	8,256	-121	8,135	8,723	-46	8,677
Collateralised securities	18,961	-1,436	17,526	55,316	-339	54,977
Collective Investments Undertakings	38,731	-2,509	36,222	94,920	-1,259	93,661
Derivatives	1,371	-9,764	-8,393	5,700	-2,705	2,995
Loans	9	-45	-37			
Deposits other than cash equivalents	10,822	-340	10,482	9,235	-286	8,949
Deposits to cedants	140,975	-7,108	133,867	172,012	-8,144	163,868
Cash and cash equivalents	55	-2	52	0	0	0
Total	1,412,644	-86,836	1,325,808	1,450,018	-218,338	1,231,680

Hannover Rück does not record any profits or losses directly in shareholders 'equity in accordance with the German Commercial Code (HGB).

In the item "Collateralised securities" in the Solvency II balance sheet of Hannover Rück securitisations are recorded in the form of Collateralised Loan Obligations (CLO). The resulting income and expenses along with their composition can be taken from the above table. CLOs are assets-backed financial instruments, which consist of a portfolio of fixed income securities divided into several tranches. In principle, high rates of interest are to be viewed as the compensation for increasing probabilities of default, according to which the individual tranches are differentiated from one another. When investing in CLOs, every effort is made within a multilevel risk management system to ensure a sufficient level of investment diversification. In this regard, the capital investment guidelines established by Hannover Rück stipulate percentile maximum volumes for investments in CLOs and, in addition, lower maximum thresholds for the sub-category "CLO Equity Tranches".

The volume of CLO positions held by Hannover Rück as of the balance sheet date can be found in the following table.

#### **Collateralised Loan Obligations**

in TEUR	Market value
Collateralised Loan Obligations	521.268
Total	521.268

## A.4 Performance of other activities

## A.4.1 Other income and expenses

The following table displays other income and expenses, disclosed as statutory account values (HGB, Commercial Code).

### Other income

in TEUR	2019	2018
Exchange rate gains	71,144	95,957
Profit from services	25,292	21,507
Income from guarantees furnished	10,963	11,031
Separate value adjustments on accounts receivable and retrocessions	9,020	6,663
Release of non-technical provisions	6,868	5,826
Income from reinsurance contracts	4,706	3,499
Allocated investment return	4,059	1,699
Interest pursuant to § 233 a AO (Fiscal Code)	3,543	0
Income from tax refunds	1,379	9,344
Profit from clearing transactions	1,001	1,898
Reimbursement of expenses	330	445
Amounts realised	49	178
Income from discounting pursuant to § 277 (5) HGB (Commercial Code)	46	37
Other income	3,959	4,646
Total	142,359	162,730

### Other expenses

in TEUR	2019	2018
Financing interest	90,272	88,132
Deposit interest	68,141	67,089
Expenses for the company as a whole	60,811	51,237
Exchange rate losses	30,772	73,105
Expenses from services	26,053	22,122
Separate value adjustments on accounts receivable and retrocessions	7,886	25,139
Interest charges on old-age pension scheme	2,898	3,134
Expenses for letters of credit	1,758	1,805
Expenses from reinsurance contracts	1,139	959
Write-downs on accounts receivable	527	1,046
Interest charges from reinsurance transactions	213	55
Compounding of interest on provisions / expense from compounding pursuant to § 277 (5) HGB (Commercial Code)	47	78
Interest pursuant to § 233 a AO (Fiscal Code)	0	1,000
Other interest and expenses	6,743	11,272
	297,260	346,173
Less: Technical interest	5,997	862
Total	291,263	345,311

## A.4.2 Significant leasing agreements

There are no significant operating or financing-leasing agreements.

Individual operating leasing agreements exist related to office buildings.

## A.5 Any other information

There is no other information to be reported.



## B. System of Governance

## **B.1** General information on the System of Governance

The Hannover Rück has an effective system of governance in place which provides for sound and prudent management. The main elements of the System of Governance are described in the following sections.

## **B.1.1 Governance structure**

### B.1.1.1 Our Administrative, Management or Supervisory body

Our administrative, management or supervisory body consists of the Executive Board and the Supervisory Board.

### **Executive Board**

The Executive Board consists of no less than two persons. Furthermore it is up to the Supervisory Board to determine the number of members of the Executive Board. The members of the Executive Board are appointed by the Supervisory Board for a term of five years. Re-appointments for five years maximum are permissible.

The following overview shows the allocation of the areas of responsibility to the members of the Executive Board as at 31 December:

Chairman	Chief Financial Officer	Property & Casualty Reinsurance			Life & Health	Reinsurance
Jean-Jacques Henchoz	Roland Vogel	Dr. Michael Pickel	Sven Althoff	Silke Sehm	Claude Chèvre	Dr. Klaus Miller
Compliance Controlling Innovation Management Human Resources Management Internal Auditing Risk Manage- ment & Actuarial Corporate Development Corporate Communi- cations	Finance and Accounting Information Technology Investment and Collateral Management Facility Management	Group Legal Services Run-Off Solutions Property & Casualty Reinsurance: Germany, Switzerland, Austria and Italy Latin America, Iberian Peninsula and Agricultural Risks North America	Property & Casualty Reinsurance: Asia, Australia and Middle East Aviation and Marine Credit, Surety and Political Risks United Kingdom, Ireland and London Market Facultative Reinsurance and Direct Business Coordination of Property & Casualty Business Group	Property & Casualty Reinsurance: Continental Europe and Africa Catastrophe XL (Cat XL) Structured Reinsurance and Insurance- Linked Securities	Life & Health Reinsurance: Africa, Asia, Australia / New Zealand, Latin America, Western and Southern Europe Longevity Solutions	Life & Health Reinsurance: North America, UK / Ireland, Northern, Eastern and Central Europe

#### Members of the Executive Board

The four (Solvency II) key functions are allocated to the Chairman of the Executive Board. For further information on key functions (Solvency II) please refer to chapters B.3-B.6.

### Supervisory Board

The Supervisory Board consists of nine members appointed by the General Meeting. Of these nine members, three shall be appointed on recommendation by the employees. The General Meeting is bound by these recommendations for the appointment of the employees' representatives. Other than that, the General Meeting is not bound to proposed candidates. In the event that legal provisions concerning involvement of employees in a European Association (SE Beteiligungsgesetz - SEBG, Employees Involvement Act) provide for a different appointment procedure for representatives of the employees to the Supervisory Board, the employees' representatives are appointed according to the agreed appointment procedure.

Every member of the Supervisory Board can resign from his membership by adhering to a notice period of one month even without an important reason by written notice to the Company, represented by the Management Board and the Chairman of the Supervisory Board (if notice is given by the Chairman himself, to his deputy). The Chairman of the Supervisory Board may choose to forgo adherence to this notice period.

Appointment for a successor of a member who has resigned prior to termination of his term is for the remaining period of the term of the resigned member.

As of 31 December the Supervisory Board consists of the following members:

Members of the Supervisory Board	Standing Committee	Finance and Audit Committee	Nomination Committee	Staff representative
Torsten Leue, Chairman	Х	Х	Х	
Herbert K. Haas, Deputy Chairman	Х	Х	Х	
Natalie Bani Ardalan (since 8 May 2019)				х
Frauke Heitmüller				х
llka Hundeshagen (since 8 May 2019)				Х
Dr. Ursula Lipowski		х		
Dr. Michael Ollmann (since 8 May 2019)				
Dr. Andrea Pollak			Х	
Dr. Erhard Schipporeit	Х			

#### Members of the Supervisory Board and membership in committees

The Supervisory Board may form committees from among its members and authorise them to pass resolutions, as far as permitted by law.

The Supervisory Board considered during the 2019 financial year the position and development of the company and its major subsidiaries. It advised the Executive Board on the direction of the company and monitored the management of business on the basis of written and verbal reports from the Executive Board. The Supervisory Board of Hannover Rück SE held four regular meetings



in order to adopt the necessary resolutions after appropriate discussion. A further meeting was a constituent meeting of the Supervisory Board held following the General Meeting. In addition, the Supervisory Board adopted two resolutions in the reporting period by a written procedure. All Supervisory Board members took part in each of the Supervisory Board meetings held in 2019. Two representatives of the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority attended one meeting on a routine basis. In addition, we were informed by the Executive Board in writing and orally on the basis of the quarterly statements about the course of business as well as the position of the company and the Group. The quarterly reports with the components of the financial statements and key figures for the Hannover Re Group constituted an important source of information for the Supervisory Board.

As in every year, the Supervisory Board was regularly updated on the work of the Supervisory Board committees and given a description of the major pending legal proceedings. In addition, the Chairman of the Supervisory Board was constantly kept informed by the Chairman of the Executive Board of major developments and impending decisions as well as of the risk situation within the company.

Of the committees formed by the Supervisory Board within the meaning of § 107 Para. 3 Stock Corporation Act (AktG), the Finance and Audit Committee met on four occasions, with one resolution adopted by a written procedure, and the Standing Committee met three times. The Chairman of the Supervisory Board updated the full Supervisory Board on the major deliberations of the committee meetings at its next meeting and provided an opportunity for further questions.

Changes occurred in the composition of the Supervisory Board, its committees and the Executive Board in the year under review. The term of office of the company's Supervisory Board expired pursuant to § 10 (3) of the Articles of Association of Hannover Rück SE at the end of the General Meeting on 8 May 2019. At the suggestion of the Nomination Committee and bearing in mind the targets for the composition of the Supervisory Board, the former Supervisory Board therefore proposed the following persons for election as representatives of the shareholders of the company with effect from the end of the Annual General Meeting on 8 May 2019 for the period until the end of the General Meeting that ratifies the actions taken for the 2023 financial year, although at most for a term of six years:

- Herbert K. Haas
- Torsten Leue
- Dr. Ursula Lipowsky
- Dr Michael Ollmann
- Dr. Andrea Pollak
- Dr. Erhard Schipporeit

The resolution was adopted by the General Meeting as proposed. Dr. Immo Querner was therefore not re-elected to the Supervisory Board, from which he stepped down at the end of the General Meeting. Furthermore, in accordance with the provisions of the SE Participation Act (SEBG) the following employee representatives were elected to the Supervisory Board by the workforce with effect from the end of the Annual General Meeting on 8 May 2019:

- Natalie Bani Ardalan
- Frauke Heitmüller
- Ilka Hundeshagen

Mr. Otto Müller and Ms. Maike Sielaff thus routinely stepped down from the Supervisory Board at this time.

## **B.1.1.2 Key functions**

The following graph gives an overview of the main tasks and the interaction of the main elements of the System of Governance including the key functions:





The organisation and collective effort of individual functions are decisive for our internal risk management and control system. In our system the central functions are closely interlinked with one another and the roles, tasks and reporting lines are both clearly defined and documented in the context of the so-called three lines of defence. The first line of defence consists of risk control and the original responsibility for risk at divisional and / or company level. The risk management function ensures the second line of defence – risk monitoring. It also receives support from the actuarial function and the compliance function. The third line of defence consists of process-independent monitoring executed by the internal audit function.

All key functions are equipped with appropriate resources and skills. The reporting lines to one another and to the Board Member responsible for the division respectively to the Executive Board have been clearly defined.

## **B.1.2 Remuneration policy**

### **B.1.2.1 Remuneration of the executive board**

The amount and structure of the remuneration of the Executive Board are geared to the size and activities of the company, its economic and financial position, its success and future prospects as well as the customariness of the remuneration, making reference to the benchmark environment (horizontal) and the remuneration structure otherwise applicable at the company (vertical). The remuneration is also guided by the tasks of the specific member of the Executive Board, his or her individual performance and the performance of the full Executive Board.

With an eye to these objectives, the remuneration system has two components: fixed salary / noncash compensation and variable remuneration. The variable remuneration is designed to take account of both positive and negative developments. Overall, the remuneration is to be measured in such a way that it reflects the company's sustainable development and is fair and competitive by market standards. In the event of 100% goal attainment the remuneration model provides for a split into roughly 40% fixed remuneration and roughly 60% variable remuneration.

The profit- and performance-based remuneration (variable remuneration) is contingent on certain defined results and the attainment of certain set targets. The set targets vary according to the function of the Board member in question. The variable remuneration consists of a profit bonus and a performance bonus. The variable remuneration is defined at the Supervisory Board meeting that approves the consolidated financial statement for the financial year just ended.

The total remuneration received by the Executive Board of Hannover Rück amounts to TEUR 9,402.

### B.1.2.2 Remuneration of the supervisory board

The remuneration of the Supervisory Board is determined by the Annual General Meeting of Hannover Rück and regulated by the Statute.

The total remuneration received by the Supervisory Board of Hannover Rück amounts to TEUR 780.



### **B.1.2.3 Remuneration of staff and senior executives**

The remuneration scheme for senior executives below the Executive Board (management levels 2 and 3) consists of a fixed annual salary and a system of variable remuneration. This is comprised of a short-term variable remuneration component, the annual cash bonus, and a long-term share-based remuneration component, the Share Award Plan.

Members of staff on the levels of Chief Manager, Senior Manager and Manager are also able to participate in a variable remuneration system through the Group Performance Bonus (GPB). The Group Performance Bonus (GPB) is a remuneration model that is linked to the success of the company.

## **B.1.3 Related party transactions**

Talanx AG holds an unchanged majority interest of 50.2% in Hannover Rück SE. For its part, HDI Haftpflichtverband der Deutschen Industrie Versicherungsverein auf Gegenseitigkeit (HDI), Hannover, holds a stake of 79.0% in Talanx AG and therefore indirectly holds 39.7% (rounded) of the voting rights in Hannover Rück SE.

The business relationship between Hannover Rück and its subsidiary E+S Rück is based on a cooperation agreement. A retrocession by Hannover Rück to E+S Rück exists in property and casualty reinsurance. The exclusive responsibilities of E+S Rück for German business and of Hannover Rück for international markets have been preserved.

Within the contractually agreed framework Ampega Asset Management GmbH (name change in January 2019, formerly: Talanx Asset Management GmbH) performs investment and asset management services for Hannover Rück. Assets in special funds are managed by Ampega Investment GmbH. Ampega Real Estate GmbH (name change in January 2019, formerly: Talanx Immobilien Management GmbH) performs services for Hannover Rück under a number of management contracts.

With economic effect from 1 January 2019 Hannover Rück SE sold 50.22% of the shares in the wholly-owned International Insurance Company of Hannover SE to HDI Global SE, a subsidiary of Talanx AG, for a purchase price of EUR 107.2 million.

With economic effect from 1 July 2019 FUNIS GmbH & Co. KG, Hannover, a wholly owned subsidiary of Hannover Rück, sold all its shares in Svedea AB, Stockholm, to HDI Global Specialty SE, Hannover, a subsidiary of HDI Global SE, for a purchase price of EUR 52.9 million.

In the context of a bond issue by Talanx AG the Group companies Hannover Rück SE and E+S Rückversicherung AG invested in a nominal amount of EUR 47.0 million in the issued bearer debt, which has a coupon of 3.125%.

The members of the governing bodies did not receive any advances or loans in the year under review. Nor were there any other material reportable circumstances or contractual relationships as defined by IAS 24 between companies of the Hannover Rück and the members of the governing bodies in the year under review.



## **B.2** Fit and proper requirements

## **B.2.1 Requirements**

With a decision dated 17 November 2014, the Executive Board of Hannover Rück followed the specifications stipulated by the framework directive of the HDI V.a.G. pertaining to the fulfilment of the Fit & Proper requirements, on the proviso of their continued implementation in the affected group companies and business units, and with the further condition that the framework directive is only applicable to the extent that it is relevant for Hannover Rück as a reinsurance company. On 16 October 2015, the framework directive of Hannover Rück pertaining to the fulfilment of the Fit & Proper requirements in the Hannover Re Group was decreed by the Executive Board.

## **B.2.2 Description of requirements**

The professional qualification (fitness) of individuals with key functions refers to a professional qualification suitable for the respective position as well as skills and experience, which are necessary for a robust and cautious management approach, and for the fulfilment of the position. The appropriateness is assessed according to the principle of proportionality, and takes into account the company-individual risks along with the type and scope of business operations. Specialist fitness requirements stemming from established supervisory practices are to be complied with by those individuals who actually head up the company, and the members of the Supervisory Board. Collective fitness requirements have been established for mutual controlling and monitoring. The requirements placed on the professional qualification of those holding key functions are closely linked with the special features of the respective governance tasks.

Individuals with key functions must, as part of personal reliability (propriety), act responsibly and with integrity, and carry out activities both dutifully and with the necessary level of care. Conflicts of interest must be avoided and the individual must not have demonstrated a lack of responsibility in the form of criminal actions prior to their nomination / appointment. There is no requirement for personal reliability to be positively established. It will be assumed, whenever there are no observable facts indicating the contrary. Unreliability is only to be assumed if personal circumstances according to general life experience give reason to believe that this could undermine the thorough and proper exercising of the function.

For Hannover Rück, the circle of individuals entrusted with key tasks consists of persons who

- actually head up the company (Executive Board members) including the authorised representatives of an EU / EEA branch,
- hold other key functions (members of the Supervisory Board, owners of one of the key functions including compliance, internal audit, risk management, actuarial function).

With regard to their various roles, these individuals are required to provide evidence of their professional qualifications in different areas as follows:

- Educational background
- Practical knowledge
- Management experience
- Language skills
- Required specialist knowledge in relation to the relevant key function
- Collective requirements

The professional and personal requirements for members of the Supervisory Board are comprised in a guideline document since 2017.

In the event that key functions are outsourced, general requirements for this are defined within a group policy. The onus remains on the side of the outsourcing company to ensure that the individuals deployed by the service provider who are responsible for the key function have suitable professional qualifications and are personally reliable. In accordance with supervisory regulations, the outsourcing company has to appoint an outsourcing officer for this purpose, who, where appropriate, is subject to registration with the regulatory body accordingly as the person responsible for the relevant key function within the company. The overseeing outsourcing official is hereby responsible for the proper fulfilment of the duties associated with the outsourcing of the key function.

No key functions were outsourced in 2019.

## **B.2.3 Evaluation process**

The requirements and reporting processes with respect to the supervisory authority correspond to the current standard processes based on the BaFin information sheets on professional competence and reliability.

Pursuant to the framework directive on the fulfilment of the Fit & Proper requirements, at the preliminary stage of recruiting new members of staff who will actually head up the company or hold other key roles, a detailed curriculum vitae will be submitted and a requirements profile set, which detail and describe the necessary qualifications. The framework directive pertaining to the fulfilment of Fit & Proper requirements contains a checklist in the attachment, which is to be used in the assessment of the Fit & Proper requirements of these individuals. The requirements profile contains evidence of the following minimum requirements:

Description of the position with key functions:

- Performance catalogue (job description)
- Authority to make decisions
- Level of staff responsibility

Professional qualification (general):

- Level of education (commercial or vocational training)
- University degree or professional standard (such as, for example, for auditors or actuaries)
- Knowledge and understanding of business strategy
- Knowledge of the system of governance
- Foreign language skills, minimum of English language and other foreign languages where possible

Professional qualification (depending on the particular position):

- Industry experience
- Knowledge and understanding of the business model
- Ability to interpret accounting and actuarial data

- Knowledge and understanding of the regulatory frameworks affecting the company
- Expertise in personnel management, staff selection, succession planning

The required specific knowledge for owners of one of the key functions including compliance, internal audit, risk management, and actuarial mathematics is included in the referred role description.

The procedure for assessing the transfer of tasks stipulates that, at the preliminary stage of recruiting new members of staff, a detailed curriculum vitae must be submitted and a requirements profile must be set, which contains the verification of predefined minimum requirements. The continual safeguarding of compliance with the relevant requirements is undertaken every five years in the form of an assessment of the requirements profile, undertaken by the responsible organisational unit.

As part of the event-driven assessment, any significant changes in the underlying parameters trigger an assessment of the compliance with the catalogue of requirements. This involves a differentiation of the characteristics deemed necessary in the person and in the position.

The assessment and control procedures are summarised in an overview, which contains the assessment cycle of the requirements profile and the responsibility for the assessment and duty to inform held by those individuals who actually head up the company, and those individuals who have other key functions.

# B.3 Risk Management System including the Own Risk and Solvency Assessment

## **B.3.1** Risk management system including risk management function

## **B.3.1.1 Strategy implementation**

Our current corporate strategy encompasses ten guiding principles that safeguard the realisation of our vision of creating value through reinsurance across the various divisions. The following principles of the corporate strategy constitute the key strategic points of departure for our Groupwide risk management:

- We manage risks actively.
- We maintain an adequate level of capitalisation.
- We are committed to sustainability, integrity and compliance.

Our risk strategy is derived from the corporate strategy.

The risk strategy, the risk register and the central system of limits and thresholds are reviewed at least once a year. In this way we ensure that our risk management system is kept up-to-date.

We manage our total enterprise risk such that we can expect to generate positive Group net income with a probability of 90% p.a. and the likelihood of the complete loss of our economic capital and shareholders' equity does not exceed 0.03% p.a. Our solvency ratio is subject to a limit of 180% and a threshold of 200%. Countermeasures would be triggered if the solvency ratio was to fall below this threshold. These indicators are monitored using our internal capital model and the Executive Board is informed quarterly about adherence to these key parameters as part of regular
## hannover re®

reporting. The necessary equity resources are determined according to the requirements of our economic capital model, solvency regulations, the expectations of rating agencies with respect to our target rating and the expectations of our clients. Above and beyond that, we maintain a capital cushion in order to be able to act on new business opportunities at any time.

#### B.3.1.2 Risk capital

In the interests of our shareholders, clients and employees we strive to ensure that our risks remain commensurate with our capital resources. Our quantitative risk management provides a uniform framework for the evaluation and steering of all risks affecting the company as well as of our capital position. In this context, the internal capital model is our central tool. The internal capital model of Hannover Rück is a stochastic enterprise model. It covers all subsidiaries and business groups of Hannover Rück. The central variable in risk and enterprise management is the economic capital, which is calculated according to market-consistent measurement principles and also constitutes the basis for calculating the own funds under Solvency II.

Hannover Rück calculates the required risk capital as the Value at Risk (VaR) of the economic change in value over a period of one year with a confidence level of 99.97%. This reflects the goal of not exceeding a one-year ruin probability of 0.03%. The internal target capitalisation of the Hannover Rück is therefore significantly higher than the minimum confidence level of 99.5% required under Solvency II. In respect of the capitalisation under Solvency II, Hannover Rück has determined a minimum solvency ratio with a limit of 180% and a threshold of 200%.

We hold additional capital above all to meet the requirements of the rating agencies for our target rating and to be able to act flexibly on business opportunities. We strive for a rating from the rating agencies most relevant to our industry that facilitates and secures our access to all reinsurance business worldwide. Hannover Rück is analysed by the rating agencies Standard & Poor's (S & P) and A.M. Best as part of an interactive rating process. The current financial strength is assessed as "AA-" (Very Strong, stable outlook) by Standard & Poor's and "A+" (Superior, stable outlook) by A.M. Best. Therein S&P as well as A.M. Best evaluate Hannover Rück's risk management as an important aspect in the financial strength assessment.

#### **B.3.1.3 Internal model governance**

The governance of the internal model is defined in a number of documents and policies. In particular, governance rules include roles, responsibilities and standards for changes to the internal model and model validation as well as standards for internal and external data and expert settings used in the internal model. The rules have been set-up in compliance with the requirements of Solvency II.

The risk management function provides quarterly reports on internal model results and changes to the Executive Board and the Risk Committee. The reporting supports the tracking of changes to the risk profile and the solvency ratio over time. Apart from this reporting, internal model results are embedded in the essential internal steering processes such as capital cost allocation and new product evaluation.

The annual validation ensures that the internal model meets all defined quality standards of the policies. The Solvency II directive requires that the validation is performed as an independent process. Therefore, Hannover Rück has set-up a validation process which assigns validation to



departments different from the departments responsible for model operation, calibration and maintenance. The validation report includes numerous stress tests and sensitivity analyses.

There have not been any significant changes in the model governance during the reporting period. However, a change to the model change policy has been filed to the regulator for approval. These changes will take effect in 2020, in particular, the thresholds for major model changes that affect small risk categories, which require regulatory approval, will be lowered. Furthermore, a rule for potential error corrections will be included.

#### B.3.1.4 Organisation of risk management and the tasks of the risk management function

An overview of the risk management's organisational structure is provided in Section B.1.1.2 above.

The risk management function consists of three primary components: the Risk Committee, the Chief Risk Officer and the risk monitoring function.

#### **Risk Committee**

The tasks of the Risk Committee – the body charged with the monitoring and coordination of risk management – are derived from the rules of procedure regarding the Risk Committee. The scope of decision-making for the Risk Committee lies within the boundaries of risk appetite set by the Executive Board. Changes, and any instances of increase in risk appetite, require the approval of the Executive Board. Further tasks include quality assurance of the ORSA process and monitoring of the implementation of risk-related measures. The Risk Committee also receives the model change reports according to the model change policy.

#### **Chief Risk Officer**

The Chief Risk Officer is also the head of the risk monitoring function and member of the Risk Committee. The Chief Risk Officer coordinates the ORSA process and ensures the framework conditions of an effective risk management system.

#### **Risk monitoring function**

The risk monitoring function coordinates and bears responsibility for comprehensive monitoring (systematic identification, evaluation, monitoring and reporting) of all significant asset- and liabilityrelated risks and the regular execution of the ORSA process. Furthermore, the risk monitoring function develops methods, standards and processes for the assessment and monitoring of risk.

The risk monitoring function fulfils its tasks objectively and independently for Hannover Rück. There have been no material changes in the risk management system during the reporting period.

#### B.3.1.5 Key elements of our risk management system

Our risk strategy, the Risk and Capital Management Guideline and the system of limits and thresholds for material risks of Hannover Rück describe the central elements of our risk management system. The risk management system is subject to a constant cycle of planning, action, control and improvement. Systematic risk identification, analysis, measurement, steering and monitoring as well as risk reporting are especially crucial to the effectiveness of the system as a whole.

36

## hannover re®

The Risk and Capital Management Guideline describes, among other things, the major tasks, rights and responsibilities, the framework conditions and the risk control process. The rules, which are derived from the corporate strategy and the risk strategy, additionally take account of the regulatory requirements for risk management as well as international standards and developments relating to appropriate enterprise management.

Group-wide risk communication and an open risk culture are important to our risk management. Regular global meetings attended by the actuarial units and risk management functions serve as a central anchor point for strategic considerations in relation to risk communication. Beyond that, the requirements by the risk management are stated in guidelines and policies, which are communicated Group-wide.

#### **Risk-bearing capacity concept**

The establishment of the risk-bearing capacity involves determining the total available risk coverage potential and calculating how much of this is to be used for covering all material risks. This is done in conformity with the parameters of the risk strategy and the risk appetite defined by the Executive Board. The quantitatively measurable individual risks and the risk position as a whole are evaluated using our risk model. A central system of limits and thresholds is in place to monitor material risks. This system incorporates – along with other risk-related key figures – in particular the indicators derived and calculated from the risk-bearing capacity. Adherence to the overall risk appetite is verified on an ongoing basis using the results of the risk model.

#### **Risk identification**

A key source of information for monitoring risks is the risk identification carried out on a rotating basis. All identified risks are documented in the central register containing all material risks. Risk identification takes the form of, for example, structured assessments, interviews or scenario analyses. External insights such as recognised industry know-how from relevant bodies or working groups are incorporated into the process. Risk identification is important for ensuring that our risk management consistently remains up-to-date.

#### **Risk analysis and assessment**

In principle, every risk that is identified and considered material is assessed quantitatively. Only risk types for which quantitative risk measurement is currently impossible or difficult are assessed qualitatively (e.g. strategic, reputational or emerging risks). Qualitative assessment takes the form of inter alia expert evaluations. Quantitative assessment of material risks and the overall risk position is performed by Group Risk Management using the Hannover Rück risk model. The model makes allowance as far as possible for risk accumulations and concentrations.

#### **Risk steering**

The steering of all material risks is the task of the operational business units on the divisional and company level. In this context, the identified and analysed risks are either consciously accepted, avoided or minimised. The risk / reward ratio and the required capital are factored into the division's decision. Risk steering is assisted by, among other things, the parameters of the central and local underwriting guidelines and by defined limits and thresholds.

#### **Risk monitoring**

The monitoring of all identified material risks is a core task of Group Risk Management. This includes, inter alia, monitoring execution of the risk strategy as well as adherence to the defined limits and thresholds and to risk-related methods and processes. A further major task of risk

monitoring is the ascertainment of whether risk steering measures were carried out and whether the planned effect of the measures is sufficient.

#### **Risk communication and risk culture**

Risk management is firmly integrated into our operational processes. It is assisted by transparent risk communication and the open handling of risks as part of our risk culture. Risk communication takes the form, for example, of internal and external risk reports, information on current risk complexes in the intranet and training opportunities for staff. The regular sharing of information between risk-steering and risk-monitoring units is also fundamental to the proper functioning of risk management.

#### **Risk reporting**

Our risk reporting provides systematic and timely information about all material risks and their potential implications. The central risk reporting system consists primarily of regular risk reports, e.g. on the overall risk situation, adherence to the parameters defined in the risk strategy or on the capacity utilization of natural catastrophe scenarios. Complementary to the regular risk reporting, immediate internal reporting on material risks that emerge at short notice takes place as necessary.

#### Process-integrated / -independent monitoring and quality assurance

Irrespective of internally assigned competencies, the Executive Board is responsible for the orderly organisation of the company's business. This also encompasses monitoring of the internal risk steering and control system. Furthermore, the Executive Board is the owner of the economic capital model and is responsible for the approval of major model changes. Process-independent monitoring and quality assurance of risk management is carried out by the internal audit function and external instances (regulators, independent auditors and rating agencies). Most notably, the independent auditors review the trigger mechanism and the internal monitoring system. The entire system is rounded off with process-integrated procedures and rules, such as those of the internal control system.

#### B.3.1.6 Risk landscape

In the context of its business operations Hannover Rück enters into a broad variety of risks. These risks are deliberately accepted, steered and monitored in order to be able to act on the associated opportunities. The parameters and decisions of the Executive Board with respect to the risk appetite of Hannover Rück, which are based on the calculations of risk-bearing capacity, are fundamental to the acceptance of risks. Through our business operations on all continents and the diversification between our Property & Casualty and Life & Health reinsurance business groups we are able to effectively allocate our capital in light of opportunity and risk considerations. Along with our principal business operations as a reinsurer of property & casualty and life & health business, we also transact primary insurance in selected niche markets as a complement to our core reinsurance business. With this approach we are well positioned for further profitable growth. In this context crucial importance attaches to our risk management in order to ensure that, among other things, risks to the reinsurance portfolio remain calculable and also exceptional major losses do not have an unduly adverse impact on the result.



The risk landscape of Hannover Rück encompasses:

- underwriting risks in property & casualty and life & health reinsurance which originate from our business activities and manifest themselves inter alia in fluctuations in loss estimates as well as in unexpected catastrophes and changes in biometric factors such as mortality,
- market risks which arise in connection with our investments and also as a consequence of the valuation of sometimes long-term payment obligations associated with the technical account,
- counterparty default risks resulting from our diverse business relationships and payment obligations inter alia with clients and retrocessionaires,
- operational risks which may derive, for example, from deficient processes or systems as well as
- reputational, liquidity, strategic and emerging risks.

At present, our most significant single risks are the credit and spread risks within the market risks, the reserving and catastrophe risks within the underwriting risks of property and casualty reinsurance and the risk of changes in mortality within the underwriting risks of life and health reinsurance. With regard to mortality risks, as a general principle annuity portfolios are impacted by improvements in mortality while death benefit portfolios are adversely affected by deteriorations in mortality. The specific risk characteristics and the principal monitoring and steering mechanisms are described in the following sections.

#### B.3.2 Own Risk and Solvency Assessment (ORSA)

The ORSA report, which is generated annually in the first half of the year after the completion of the financial year in question, primarily consists of an analysis of current and future risks, which could threaten the continued existence of Hannover Rück. Here, the internal model is used - especially for calculation of the solvency requirements in comparison to allocated risk capital - and its results are displayed. Capital resources are presented, stress tests are executed and a risk and profit forecast is generated including scenario analysis. The interplay between risk and capital management is highlighted here. Finally, it explains the inclusion of the Executive Board into the ORSA process and its use as one of the controlling instruments at the company's disposal.

The ORSA report is coordinated by the risk management company division and is subject to both assessment and approval by the Executive Board. In addition, the report is submitted to the Supervisory Board and the BaFin.

The ORSA cycle mirrors our circuit of planning, action, monitoring und finally enhancement and comprises the elements listed in section B.3.1.5.

#### Risk reporting

We produce regular reports, which show the company's risk position. To be mentioned are for example the internal and external risk reports, internal model result reports including solvency calculation, actuarial report and the report on the mid-term outlook.

All these reports are the basis for the solvency and risk assessments described in the ORSA report. Therein all employees contributing to the above procedures are involved as data and information suppliers and consulted for quality assurance. The Executive Board observes the ORSA results for a full accomplishment of defined business targets, changes in the business process take place, if needed. This establishes a surveillance circuit for business enhancements and risk mitigation.

Furthermore, thereby the overall administrative, management or supervisory body (AMSB) can report to BaFin in detail using the ORSA report.

In the event of a necessary ad hoc ORSA, potentially because of a material change in risk profile, Hannover Re has defined specific procedural plans and responsibilities.

## B.4 Internal Control System

#### **B.4.1** Elements of the internal control system

We organise our business activities in such a way that they are always in conformity with all legal requirements. The internal control system (ICS) is an important subsystem that serves, among other things, to secure and protect existing assets, prevent and reveal errors and irregularities and comply with laws and regulations. The core elements of Hannover Rück's ICS are documented in a guideline that establishes a common understanding of the differentiated execution of the necessary controls. In the final analysis, it is designed to systematically steer and monitor the implementation of our corporate strategy.

The guideline defines concepts, stipulates responsibilities and provides a guide for the description of controls. In addition, it forms the basis for the accomplishment of internal objectives and the fulfilment of external requirements imposed on Hannover Rück. The ICS consists of systematically structured organisational and technical measures and controls within the enterprise. These include, among other things, the principle of dual control, separation of functions, documentation of the controls within processes and technical plausibility checks and access privileges in the IT systems.

The proper functioning of the ICS necessitates the involvement of management, executive staff and employees on all levels. The financial reporting of the parent company and the Group must satisfy international and national financial reporting standards as well as regulatory requirements. This is safeguarded in the area of accounting and financial reporting by processes with integrated controls which ensure the completeness and accuracy of the annual and consolidated financial statements. A structure made up of differentiated criteria, control points and materiality thresholds assures our ability to identify and minimise the risk of material errors in the annual and consolidated financial statements at an early stage.

#### **B.4.2 Compliance function**

#### **Compliance Management System**

Hannover Rück defines Compliance as the observance of the applicable statutory and regulatory provisions and intra-company guidelines.

Hannover Rück implemented a Compliance Management System (CMS) to ensure overall Compliance. It is based on accepted international standards and consists of six elements: Compliance Culture, Compliance Function, Compliance Risk, Compliance Programme, Compliance Communication, Compliance Monitoring and Improvement.



#### **Compliance Culture**

Compliance Culture provides the basis for the adequacy and effectiveness of the CMS. The importance of Compliance is not only reflected in the Code of Conduct (CoC), it is an explicit part in the group strategy which in turn further emphasises the importance of Compliance from the management perspective ("Tone from the Top").

#### **Compliance Function**

Hannover Rück has opted for a decentralised approach towards the implementation of the Compliance function, i.e. the tasks of the Compliance function will not only be fulfilled by one department, but by various departments. The Compliance function is therefore located in several departments.

The head of the department Group Legal Services (GLS) is the holder of the key Compliance function at the same time.

The Executive Board of Hannover Rück has established the Compliance division within GLS for the fulfilment of some of the tasks of the Compliance function. The Compliance Officer is authorised to appoint further members of staff from GLS for the purpose of fulfilling compliance function tasks as necessary.

In the process of planning and organising of the CMS the particularly sensitive Compliance topics were identified through the employment of a risk-based approach and past experiences gained primarily by the Compliance and Internal Audit department (Group Auditing, GA). The scope is assessed annually. The Compliance Officer will propose an appropriate adjustment to the Executive Board if a change in assessment occurs.

The key areas of Compliance as mentioned above are monitored by the Compliance function at Hannover Rück. Therefore, different departments work together in order to fulfil this function. E.g. employment law remains the responsibility of the Human Resources department, tax law falls under the jurisdiction of the Tax department of Hannover Rück.

The handling of particularly Compliance-relevant topics by the departments, who collectively form the Compliance function, comprises at the least the following activities:

- Identification and evaluation of risks, which are associated with the non-compliance of statutory requirements (risk control)
- Evaluation of the possible consequences for the company's activity as a result of changes in legal operating conditions (risk relating to changes in the law/early warning)
- Consultation with regard to compliance with the legal provisions which apply to company activity
- Assessment of the appropriateness of implemented measures in relation to compliance with statutory requirements (monitoring function)

#### Compliance Risk

The term compliance risk is commonly referred to as the risk of legal or regulatory sanctions due to non-compliance with laws, regulations and regulatory requirements or due to a serious financial loss or a loss of reputation.

The Compliance Risk assessment was revised in 2019. Next to the implementation of a Compliance Risk Matrix a systematic evaluation and assessment of Compliance Risks was initiated.

The risk assessment is thereby the result of the combination of probability of occurrence and impact (consequence).

#### **Compliance Programme**

Every year, the Compliance Officer generates a Compliance plan for the following year. This plan determines where the key areas of Compliance activity should be in the subsequent year. The report takes into account all relevant areas of activity of the company and the Compliance Risk.

Hannover Rück has specified its compliance policy in writing in a manual bearing the title "Group Compliance Handbook". This manual is regularly assessed for its topicality and, if necessary, updated – at least once a year – and on an event-driven basis by the members of staff within the Compliance function when new developments occur. In 2019 the Group Compliance Handbook was fundamentally revised and reflects the updated CMS structure of Hannover Rück.

The appointed Compliance Officer for Hannover Rück bears particular responsibility for the following tasks: The Compliance Officer monitors changes made to legal provisions and standards made by legislators, as well as case law. He assesses the new developments for their relevance and communicates pertinent innovations and changes to the respective departments and the Executive Board. The Compliance function also holds regular training sessions for members of staff, in particular with regard to legislative reforms, announcements by the insurance supervisory authority or other changes.

The Compliance Officer advises members of the Executive Board and members of staff of Hannover Rück upon request regarding Compliance topics.

#### **Compliance Communication**

Compliance Communication comprises several aspects including reporting, training and a speak-up culture.

The Chief Compliance Officer maintains constant contact and exchange with the further members of the Compliance Function both in Germany and abroad.

As the holder of the key Compliance function, the Compliance Officer reports directly to the members of the Executive Board responsible for the Legal and Compliance Department. Reports are provided on relevant Compliance incidents and are completed in written, verbal or electronic form, although verbal reports are, as a rule, subsequently backed up in writing. Depending on the seriousness of the incident, the reporting can be performed within a regular annual report or on an ad hoc basis.

For the generation of the Hannover Rück annual Compliance Report to be presented to the Supervisory Board in its Finance & Audit Committee meeting the Compliance Officer and the Compliance staff assess the monitoring plan of the Home Office as well as the Compliance report of the Local Offices. The report contains information on all Compliance-relevant topics.

#### **Compliance Monitoring and Improvement**

By way of continuous monitoring, the Compliance Officer and the members of staff of the Compliance function contribute to ensuring compliance by the executive bodies (Executive Board and Supervisory Board) and the members of staff of Hannover Rück with legal and regulatory operating conditions.

In 2019 a new methodology for the assessment of adequacy and effectiveness of mitigating measures for the Compliance Risk was introduced. The results of the assessment of adequacy and effectiveness did not show any indications that single measures for prevention of non-Compliance would have failed.

## **B.5** Internal Audit Function

#### Implementation of the Internal Audit Function

The company's internal audit function is executed by the department of Group Auditing (GA). GA renders independent, objective auditing services including evaluations and recommendations, which play a key role in safeguarding the external and internal compliance of processes, the internal control system and other areas of the company, as well as identifying potential areas for improvement. In addition to its auditing role, GA operates as an internal advisor generating valuable input as part of network collaboration with other units and functions within the company.

The Executive Board ensures that GA is not subject to instruction regarding audit planning, audit execution, reporting and the assessment of audit results. For the purposes of safeguarding independence, the Head of GA, who is simultaneously the key function holder for the company's internal audit function pursuant to Sections 30 and 47 No. 1 of the Insurance Supervision Act (VAG), reports directly to the Executive Board in all matters. Members of the internal audit staff are exclusively employed in GA and only execute tasks which are in line with the GA internal audit policy ("Internal Audit Charter"). This policy was released by the Executive Board and specifies the authorities of the internal audit function.

The GA team unites people of different educational backgrounds as well as different university and vocational degrees in order to cover the wide range of audit tasks. The employees hold a comprehensive professional experience, gained internally (especially from underwriting) as well as externally (in particular from external auditing and consulting). If a specific need for additional resources or skills arises, GA can involve internal peers or external capacities.

#### Tasks

GA supports the Executive Board in the attainment of company targets by assessing all business areas, processes and systems within the company in a targeted, independent and objective way, through the use of a systematic, risk-oriented approach as part of audit planning and execution, while also contributing to the company's further development. Auditing results are reported directly to the Executive Board. The assessment of individual findings and the overall assessment of the audit results is undertaken exclusively by GA. The underlying classification scheme defined by GA ensures an objectification of the estimations made.

#### **Reporting lines**

The internal audit function reports its auditing results and recommendations to the Executive Board continuously in the form of written audit reports, and / or immediately in the event of serious deficiencies, as well as once a year in the form of the GA annual report. The implementation of agreed recommendations and measures in the audits is monitored by GA up until the determined deadlines.



## **B.6** Actuarial Function

#### Implementation of the Actuarial Function

The Actuarial Function (AF) is organised decentralised, as the given tasks are undertaken by several organisational units. Utilisation of the expertise and processes, which are directly linked to the core tasks of the respective organisational unit, ensures adequate actuarial knowledge in all tasks of the AF.

The responsible owner of the AF coordinates all tasks related to the AF. He is assigned to the risk management department of the company, but operates objectively and independently in respect of fulfilling the requirements in undertaking the AF notwithstanding. In the exercise of his function, the responsible owner of the AF receives support from several units of the risk management department and from other departments of the company.

Furthermore, it is the common understanding of AF and Risk Management Function (RMF) that a broad exchange of information and a competent support of each other's function is useful to fulfil their individual tasks in an effective and efficient way.

With respect to an opinion on the underwriting policy, the AF is supported by those departments assigned to the risk management, which are concerned with premium risk and with the measurement of underwriting risk, respectively. For the evaluation of the retrocession and the accompanying risks, there is a close collaboration between respective departments within the risk management. In addition those departments are involved which coordinate the retrocession program of the company.

#### Tasks

The tasks of the AF are inter alia:

- Coordination and validation of the calculation of the Solvency II technical provisions (TP)
- Ensure the appropriateness of the applied methods, the underlying models and assumptions
  - used for the calculation of the TP for solvency as well as for accounting purposes
  - used as a basis for the appropriate recognition of the inherent risks of these methods, models and assumptions in the internal model
- Evaluation of the uncertainty associated with the estimations made in the calculation of the TP
- Regular review and assessment of the underlying data in terms of sufficiency and quality
- Regular comparison of best estimates against experience
- Reconciliation of TP between local accounting principles and Solvency II
- External validation and quality checks by actuarial consulting companies in addition to the internal validation of the TP
- Recommendations on improving processes and models used for the calculation of the TP, including data collection, if deficiencies have been observed, and monitoring of their implementation
- In the context of the contribution to the RMF inter alia
  - Support of the internal model, especially with respect to underwriting risks including the delivery and validation of models, data, parameters)
  - Monitoring of the reserve level within the scope of the system of limits and thresholds
  - Analysis of large transactions and new types of business

- Preparation of the AF report containing inter alia the following topics
  - Tasks of the AF
  - Activities of the AF in the reporting period
  - Methods, results and sensitivity analyses in respect of TP
  - Opinion on the underwriting policy, and
  - Opinion on the retrocession policy

#### **Reporting Lines**

In addition to the annual AF report, the responsible owner of the AF reports regularly directly to the Executive Board and to the Actuarial Committee, which is the responsible committee for the information exchange with the AF. If necessary, the AF reports to the Board or the Actuarial Committee on an ad hoc basis or upon requests and vice versa any requests of these two bodies were directed to the responsible owner of the AF. These direct reporting lines ensure the independence of the AF from the other key functions and the operational management.

The Actuarial Committee consists of the CEO, CFO, and the Board member who is responsible for the coordination of Property and Casualty reinsurance, the head of the AF and the head of the AF for Life & Health reinsurance business.

## B.7 Outsourcing

Hannover Rück has an outsourcing policy in place which is approved by the Executive Board. The outsourcing policy describes all requirements imposed on the outsourcing of (re-)insurance activities and functions. Here, the entire outsourcing management process is described, which consists of the following five process steps:

- Planning and classification
- Risk analysis and due diligence
- Contract management and notification
- Steering and monitoring
- Renewal and termination

All relevant stakeholder groups are involved in the outsourcing management process. Intra-Group outsourcings are also integrated into the outsourcing management process.

Among others, Hannover Rück has currently outsourced the asset and investment management to Ampega Asset Management GmbH, located in Cologne (Germany). This matter concerns the only so-called important outsourcing.

## **B.8** Any other information

#### **B.8.1** Evaluating the appropriateness of the system of governance

On an annual basis, the Executive Board receives an opinion from the System of Governance Assessment Committee regarding the past financial year. This opinion presented by the committee dated 24 February 2020 was assessed and approved by the Executive Board.



The committee is made up of the Heads of key functions, the Head of Corporate Development and the Head of Human Resources, and convenes at least once a year. Guests are invited on an eventdriven basis. The basis for the assessment of the system of governance includes, among other things, the annual reports submitted by the key functions.

Based on the assessment conducted by the committee, the Executive Board has reached the conclusion that the system of governance of Hannover Rück is appropriate considering the scope and complexity of its business activities and the inherent risks.

#### **B.8.2 Other information**

Other information that has a significant influence on the system of governance is not available.



## C. Risk Profile

In the context of its business operations, Hannover Rück enters into a broad variety of risks. These risks are deliberately accepted, steered and monitored in order to be able to act on the associated opportunities. The parameters and decisions of the Executive Board with respect to the risk appetite of the Hannover Re Group, which are based on the calculations of risk-bearing capacity, are fundamental to the acceptance of risks.

In the course of the mid-term planning, we monitor the business development over a time horizon of five years. Besides the basic scenario, we also behold alternative scenarios in respect of macroeconomic developments and evolution of (re)insurance markets. Under the assumptions within the mid-term business plan, the risk profile remains stable and the capitalisation of Hannover Rück remains comfortable. It is worthwhile to notice that the forecast of the capital requirements is based on various assumptions for the future economic and business environment and is therefore to be handled carefully.

Large transactions are assessed in regards of the influence on the risk profile, the capitalisation and the defined limits for different risk categories. Therewith, we secure that the risks develop in line with our risk appetite.

In addition to stochastic modelling, we perform stress tests, scenario and sensitivity analyses on a regular basis. This represents a central element of our risk management. The main stress tests and analyses have to be performed at least annually and include for example analyses regarding natural catastrophes, terror events, equity and fixed-income securities as well as real estate. Some of the scenarios and stress tests, which have been performed in the course of the year based on the capital adequacy ratio for year-end 2018 (in particular based on the internal model using a constant volatility adjustment), and their impact on the capital adequacy ratio are presented in the following graph.



# Sensitivities of the capital adequacy ratio Values in percent

Retrocession has a particular significance within risk appetite and risk reduction. It is used to protect the capital of Hannover Rück. This ensures that Hannover Rück can benefit from any price increases following a market-changing event. The process of strategic placement for Hannover Rück, its branches and its subsidiaries is determined by the responsible Board member and overseen by the Board as a whole.

New reinsurance and investment products are analysed under a dedicated process, namely the New Products Process (NPP). In addition to analysing the risk profile, integration into all internal processes, such as accounting and risk monitoring, is defined.

Hannover Rück is the legal entity heading Hannover Re Group. It holds a number of participations which are included into management applications in a look-through manner, i.e. based on the underlying risk and return profile. Look-through means that the underlying risks are analysed instead of purely looking at the risk of a change in the participation values as e.g. per Solvency II standard formula. This look-through perspective corresponds to a modelling approach of Hannover Rück as the entire Hannover Re Group after, i.e. excluding minorities. This means that the perception of the key risk indicators shown in following sections (Look-through) differs from that of the exposures or volume sizes (no Look-through for participations) in chapter D, but corresponds with the internal model approved by the supervisory authority.

In the following, we present the current risk situation per risk category.

## C.1 Underwriting risk

#### C.1.1 Underwriting risk Property and Casualty

Risk management in property and casualty reinsurance has defined various overall guidelines for efficient risk steering. These include, among other things, the use of retrocessions to reduce volatility and conserve capital. It is also crucially important to consistently maximise the available risk capacities on the basis of the risk management parameters of the Hannover Rück and to steer the acceptance of risks systematically through the existing central and local underwriting guidelines. In addition, our conservative reserving level is a key factor in our risk management.

We distinguish between risks that result from business operations of past years (reserve risk) and those stemming from activities in the current or future years (price / premium risk). In the latter case, special importance attaches to the catastrophe risk.

Diversification within the Property & Casualty reinsurance business is actively managed through allocation of the cost of capital according to the contribution made to diversification. A high diversification effect arises out of the underwriting of business in different lines and different regions with different business partners. In addition, the active limitation of individual risks – such as natural catastrophes – enhances the diversification effect.

The risk capital with a confidence level of 99.5% for underwriting risks in property and casualty reinsurance breaks down as follows:



#### Solvency Capital Requirement for underwriting risks in property and casualty reinsurance

in TEUR	2019	2018
Premium risk (including catastrophe risk)	3,247,237	2,781,583
Reserve risk	2,352,537	2,136,242
Diversification	-1,378,474	-1,284,105
Underwriting risk property and casualty	4,221,301	3,633,720

The underwriting risks in property and casualty reinsurance increased during 2019 primarily as a consequence of higher premium and reserve volumes as well as larger underwriting capacities for natural perils. The increased volumes are the result of interest rate and exchange rate effects along with business growth as well as the expenditure of large losses and the associated higher reserves. Moreover, in the area of catastrophe risks the modelling approach used for cyber risks was refined, leading to an increase in required capital.

#### C.1.1.1 Risks arising from natural disasters

The largest share of the required risk capital for the premium risk is attributable to risks from natural disasters. These represent the significant concentration risks within the P&C business. The following table shows the required risk capital for four of our largest natural hazards scenarios:

#### Solvency Capital Requirement for four of our largest natural hazards scenarios

in TEUR	2019	2018
Hurricane US / Caribbean	1,948,096	1,731,084
Earthquake US West Coast	1,445,337	1,409,027
Earthquake Japan	791,859	679,033
Winter storm Europe	663,239	537,392

The higher capital requirements for Europe Winter storm, Hurricane US, Earthquake US West Coast and Earthquake Japan compared to last year are primarily due to new and expansion of established business. The exposure growth for Earthquake US West Coast is partially compensated by a model update.

For the purpose of assessing our catastrophe risks from natural hazards, especially earthquake, windstorm and flood, we use licensed scientific simulation models, supplemented by the expertise of our own specialist departments. The models deliver probability distributions for losses from natural catastrophes. The monitoring of the risks resulting from natural hazards is complemented by scenario analyses.

The steering of these catastrophe risks from natural hazards for Hannover Rück is managed by the steering of the respective risks of the Hannover Re Group. The Executive Board defines the risk appetite for natural perils once a year on the basis of the risk strategy by specifying the portion of the economic equity that is available to cover risks from natural perils. This is a key basis for our underwriting approach in this segment. As part of our holistic approach to risk management across business groups, we take into account numerous relevant scenarios and extreme scenarios, determine their effect on portfolio and performance data, evaluate them in relation to the planned figures and identify alternative courses of action.



#### Stress tests for natural catastrophes

Effect on forecasted net income

in TEUR	2019	2018
Winter storm Europe		
100-year loss	-396,098	-288,882
250-year loss	-580,780	-471,822
Hurricane US		
100-year loss	-1,189,771	-1,017,430
250-year loss	-1,622,460	-1,430,859
Typhoon Japan		
100-year loss	-269,519	-210,916
250-year loss	-354,551	-287,042
Earthquake Japan		
100-year loss	-390,626	-331,891
250-year loss	-775,303	-644,219
Earthquake US West Coast		
100-year loss	-642,200	-615,502
250-year loss	-1,296,104	-1,173,017
Earthquake Australia		
100-year loss	-206,252	-189,216
250-year loss	-529,477	-494,901

The Executive Board defines the risk appetite for natural perils once a year on the basis of the risk strategy by specifying the portion of the economic equity that is available to cover risks from natural perils. This is a key basis for our underwriting approach in this segment. As part of our holistic approach to risk management across business groups, we take into account numerous relevant scenarios and extreme scenarios, determine their effect on portfolio, evaluate them in relation to the planned figures and identify alternative courses of action.

For the purposes of risk limitation, maximum amounts are also stipulated for various extreme loss scenarios and return periods in light of profitability criteria. Risk management ensures adherence to these maximum amounts. The Executive Board and Risk Committee are kept regularly updated on the degree of capacity utilisation. The limits and thresholds for the 200-year annual aggregate loss as well as the utilisation thereof are set out in the following table:

#### Limit, threshold and utilisation for natural catastrophe risk, all perils and regions

in TEUR	Limit 2019	Threshold 2019	Actual utilisation (July 2019)
200-year aggregate annual underwriting loss	2,125,000	1,912,500	1,727,000

#### C.1.2 Reserve risk

The reserve risk, i.e. the risk of under-reserving and the resulting strain on the underwriting result, is a high priority in our risk management. We attach importance to maintaining a conservative reserving level. In order to counter the risk of under-reserving we calculate our loss reserves based on our own actuarial estimations and establish, where necessary, additional reserves supplementary to those posted by our cedants as well as those for losses that have already

occurred but have not yet been reported to us. Reserves are calculated on a differentiated basis according to lines of business and regions.

The statistical run-off triangles are another monitoring tool used by our company. They show changes in the reserve over time as a consequence of paid claims and changes in the recalculation of the reserves at each reporting date. Their adequacy is monitored using actuarial methods.

Our own actuarial calculations regarding the adequacy of the reserves are also subject to annual quality assurance reviews conducted by external reviewers and auditors.

In order to partially hedge inflation risks Hannover Re holds securities in its portfolio with inflationlinked coupons and redemption amounts. An inflation risk exists particularly inasmuch as the liabilities (e.g. loss reserves) could develop differently than assumed at the time when the reserve was constituted because of inflation.

#### C.1.3 Risk mitigation techniques Property & Casualty

#### C.1.3.1 Strategic aims and key figures

The strategic aims in relation to the placement of retrocessions are determined by the placing unit and the responsible member of the Executive Board. The Executive Board oversees the placement of the retrocessions as a whole, in particular the limits, premiums and contractual terms.

#### C.1.3.2 Description of Hannover Rück main types of cover against natural perils

In the event of a claim, Hannover Re Group shall receive relief from its various protections. Further details on the individual forms of reinsurance covers are described in the text below. The following mentioned natural protections also protect the Hannover Rück SE.

#### Whole Account Protection 2019

The Whole Account Protections cover all property, motor hull and engineering business of the Hannover Re Group, i.e. business recorded in Hannover and through subsidiaries or other branch offices. The protections are placed on a gross claim basis.

#### Large Loss Aggregate XL 2019

The Large Loss Aggregate XL is an aggregate protection and covers the whole Property & Casualty book of the Hannover Re Group.

#### K-quota share 2019

The K-portfolio consists of the following segments and regions of the Cat XL business of the Hannover Re Group:

- Natural perils in Australia, Japan, Canada and USA (mainly wind and earthquakes)
- Natural perils in northern Europe (mainly wind, earthquakes, hail and floods)
- Natural perils in New Zealand (mainly earthquakes)
- Aviation (all XL contracts) and Marine & Energy (all XL contracts)



#### **Description of the K-Transactions 2019**

By way of its "K-transactions", Hannover Rück has raised underwriting capacity for catastrophe risks on the capital market. The "K-Cession", which was placed with investors in North and South America, Europe and Asia, involves a quota share cession on worldwide natural catastrophe business as well as aviation and marine risks. Of the total volume of the K-Cession, a large part was securitised via structured entities. The transaction has an indefinite term and can be cancelled annually by the investors. Segregated accounts of Kaith Re Ltd. are used for transformer purposes for part of this transaction. Hannover Rück also uses further segregated accounts of Kaith Re Ltd. and other structured entities outside the Group for various retrocessions of both its traditional and ILS covers, which in each case are passed on to institutional investors in securitised form. The structured entities are in all cases fully funded by contractually defined investments in the form of cash and equivalent liquid assets. Given that the entire exposure limit of the structured entities is therefore wholly collateralised in each case, there is no risk of loss for Hannover Rück.

#### C.1.3.3 Multilevel protection - an overview

The multilevel protection consisting of the types of cover listed above increases the reinsurance capacity for natural catastrophes and thus provides additional revenues with a defined risk appetite.



As at March 2020

#### C.1.3.4 Process of retrocession placement

The Executive Board derives the risk budget for natural perils from the global risk budget. It forms the starting point for the system of limits and thresholds. The utilisation of the limits is controlled

using a traffic light system. Many risk tolerances are based on net income, i.e. the placement of retrocessions plays a key role in adhering to the limits.

Capacities are derived from the global and local risk tolerances on a per scenario and market sector basis. The capacity matrix forms the operational management tool and ensures a consistent top-down approach.

During the planning phase in September and October every year, the Executive Board decides on the capacities for the following year. The planning process includes an assessment of the utilisation of all risk tolerances. An overutilization would be inconsistent with the risk appetite and an underutilisation would result in under-deployment of allocated capital. The yellow area between the threshold and limit acts as a buffer for changes in planning over the course of the year, currency developments and model changes.

#### C.1.4 Underwriting risk Life and Health

All risks directly connected with the life of an insured person are referred to as biometric risks. They include in particular the miscalculation of mortality, life expectancy, morbidity and occupational disability. Biometric risks are the material risks for our company in the area of life and health reinsurance. Our goal is to strike a balance between biometric risks. Furthermore, we are exposed to lapse risks because the cash flows resulting from our reinsurance treaties are in part dependent on lapse rates among policyholders. Counterparty default risks are also material since we partly prefinance our cedants' new business acquisition costs. Furthermore, we are exposed to catastrophe risks, especially events involving a high number of fatalities in our insurance portfolio.

The reserves are determined on the basis of secure biometric actuarial bases in light of the information provided by our clients. The biometric actuarial bases used and the lapse assumptions are continuously reviewed with an eye to their adequacy and if necessary adjusted. This is done using the company's own empirical data as well as market-specific insights. Our current risk profile in life and health reinsurance is dominated by mortality and longevity risks. This is due to the fact that under some of our contracts we pay death benefits, while under others we pay survival benefits. The volume of our annuity portfolio contributes to diversification within life and health reinsurance. We calculate the diversification effect between mortality and longevity risks prudently in view of the fact that the contracts are normally taken out for different regions, age groups and individuals. The required risk capital with a confidence level of 99.5% for underwriting risks in life and health reinsurance breaks down as follows:

## Required risk capital for underwriting risks life and health reinsurance

Required risk capital at a confidence level of 99.5%

in TEUR	2019	2018
Mortality risk (incl. catastrophe risk)	2,306,698	1,666,329
Longevity risk	1,660,140	1,175,950
Morbidity and disability risk	1,105,725	879,973
Lapse risk	386,286	426,634
Expense risk	190,846	205,826
Diversification	-2,916,706	-2,148,339
Underwriting risk life and health	2,732,988	2,206,374

Diversification is a central management tool for our company. We seek to spread risks as far as possible across different risk classes and different regions. In our pricing of reinsurance treaties we provide incentives to further increase diversification.

The underwriting risks in life and health reinsurance increased primarily as a consequence of the business growth in the area of longevity and morbidity risks as well as due to declined interest rates. In addition, adjustments made in the calibration of mortality risks gave rise to an increase in capital requirements.

A risk concentration in life and health reinsurance business is primarily present due to mortality risk including the risk of a pandemic event, which governs an essential fraction of our solvency capital requirement for Life and Health business with regard to concentration risks. In addition, longevity and morbidity risks are relevant for the consideration of risk concentrations due to the business growth in these areas. To govern our risks we regularly monitor our exposure regarding potential pandemic events in the context of internal model runs. More information is available in Section D.2.2.3.

Through our quality assurance measures we ensure that the reserves established by ceding companies in accordance with local accounting principles satisfy all requirements with respect to the calculation methods used and assumptions made (e.g. use of mortality and morbidity tables, assumptions regarding the lapse rate). In addition, the assumptions are continuously reviewed on the basis of empirical data and modified if necessary. New business is written in all regions in compliance with underwriting guidelines applicable worldwide, which set out detailed rules governing the type, quality, level and origin of risks and how these considerations are factored into the pricing. These global guidelines are revised annually and approved by the Executive Board. Special underwriting guidelines give due consideration to the particular features of individual markets. By monitoring compliance with these underwriting guidelines we minimise the risk of an inability to pay or of deterioration in the financial status of cedants. Regular reviews and holistic analyses (e.g. with an eye to lapse risks) are carried out with respect to new business activities and the assumption of international portfolios. Large transactions are also examined by our risk management department. Individual actuarial reports and documentation ensure that regular scrutiny also takes place on the level of the subsidiaries. The interest rate risk, which in the primary sector is important in life business owing to the guarantees that are given, is of only minimal relevance to our company thanks to the design of our reinsurance treaties. We have confidence in the entrepreneurial abilities of our underwriters and grant them the most extensive possible powers. In our decentralised organisation we manage risks where they arise using a consistent Hannover Rück-wide approach in order to obtain an overall view of the risks in life and health reinsurance. Our global underwriting guidelines provide underwriters with an appropriate framework for this purpose.

#### C.1.4.1 Risk mitigation techniques Life & Health

In the Life & Health business group, retrocessions for the purposes of risk reduction are only used on an extremely limited basis.

An index-based pandemic cover was structured in 2013 as a swap and, since then, has been placed with different investors in various tranches. The overall capacity placed is flexibly collateralised, such that the level of collateralisation can be increased depending on the current WHO pandemic alert phases.



Some large longevity deals are retroceded proportionally and on regular premiums basis, in order to reduce the volatility of the longevity portfolio with regards to particular large contracts. Two sided collateral provisions ensure future liabilities are collateralized if receivables from or to the retrocessionaires resulting from expected business development are projected to exceed an agreed threshold.

The existing pool retrocessions for high sum assured individual policies mainly originate from times when a lower retention per life applied for Hannover Rück. For risk reduction reasons, they are no longer necessary and have been placed in run off.

Some non-European branches use inter-company retrocessions for capital relief reasons under local regulatory capital requirements.

All other existing retrocessions are not placed for reasons of active risk reduction, but rather to maintain existing customer relationships and gain access to attractive fronting business or are placed with affiliates and non-affiliates in order to reduce HGB strain from large financing transactions.

The effectiveness of the retrocessions is closely linked to the default risk of the retrocessionaires. The monitoring of the default risk of retrocessionaires is performed across all business segments of Hannover Rück in a standardized way, using standard systems and methods which are described in section C.3.

### C.2 Market risk

Faced with a challenging capital market climate, particularly high importance attaches to preserving the value of assets and the stability of the return. Hannover Rück's portfolio is guided by the principles of a balanced risk / return profile and broad diversification. Based on a risk-averse asset mix, the investments reflect both the currencies and durations of our liabilities. Market price risks include equity risks, interest rate risks, foreign exchange risks, real estate risks, default and spread risks. Our portfolio currently consists in large part of fixed-income securities, and hence default and spread risks account for the bulk of the market risk. We minimise interest rate and foreign exchange risks through the matching of payments from fixed-income securities with the projected future payment obligations from our insurance contracts to a significant extent. Market risks derive from the investments managed by Hannover Rück itself and from investment risks of ceding companies that we assume in connection with insurance contracts. The following table shows the risk capital with a confidence level of 99.5% for the market risks from investments under own and third-party management.

#### Required risk capital for market risks

Including Private Equity

in TEUR	2019	2018
Credit and spread risk	2,669,720	2,573,152
Interest rate risk	918,578	670,865
Foreign exchange risk	1,385,751	1,173,212
Equity risk	1,078,856	874,584
Real estate risk	608,982	555,474
Diversification	-2,718,839	-2,197,869
Market risk	3,943,049	3,649,419

## hannover re®

The increase in market risk mainly reflects the larger volume of assets under own management mainly due to cash inflows and declined interest rates. In addition, we hold higher volumes of private equity and participations. Further factors are an increased duration and slightly riskier investment in fixed-income securities. An opposing effect results from the first time application of the dynamic volatility adjustment, which leads to a decrease in the spread risk.

With a view to preserving the value of our assets under own management, we constantly monitor adherence to a trigger mechanism based on a clearly defined traffic light system that is applied across all portfolios. This system defines clear thresholds and escalation channels for the cumulative fluctuations in fair value and realised gains / losses on investments since the beginning of the year. They are defined in conformity with our risk appetite and trigger specified information and escalation channels if a corresponding fair value development is overstepped.

Interest rate and spread markets were relatively volatile over the course of the year under review. The already very low level of the previous year was once again pushed significantly lower in all our main currency areas. While the US dollar area recorded particularly appreciable interest rate declines, pound sterling and euro interest rates also saw sharp decreases. Risk premiums on European and US corporate bonds retreated sometimes markedly in virtually all rating categories in the reporting period. Consequently, a very substantial increase in the hidden reserves for fixed-income securities was booked over the year as a whole.

The escalation levels of the early-warning system were not triggered at any time in the reporting period. For this reason, our trigger system did not cause us to make any changes to the asset allocation.

The short-term loss probability measured as the Value at Risk (VaR) is another vital tool used for operational monitoring and management of the market price risks associated with our securities positions. It is calculated on the basis of historical data, e.g. the volatility of the securities positions under own management and the correlation between these risks. As part of these calculations the decline in the fair value of our securities portfolio is simulated with a certain probability and within a certain period. The VaR of the Hannover Rück determined in accordance with these principles specifies the decrease in the fair value of our securities portfolio under own management that with a probability of 95% will not be exceeded within ten trading days. A standard market model is used to calculate the VaR indicators for the Hannover Rück; the risk model used in the previous reporting period was replaced with a more state-of-the-art variant in the year under review as part of our continuous efforts to strengthen our risk models. It is based on historical time series of relevant market parameters (equity prices, yield curves, spread curves and exchange rates). Against the backdrop of what was still a difficult capital market and interest rate environment, volatilities especially of fixed-income assets – were again on a high level in the year under review. Based on continued broad risk diversification and the orientation of our investment portfolio, our VaR was nevertheless clearly below the VaR upper limit defined in our investment guidelines. It amounted to 0.8% as at the end of the reporting period.

Stress tests are conducted in order to be able to map extreme scenarios as well as normal market scenarios for the purpose of calculating the Value at Risk. In this context, the loss potentials for fair values and shareholders' equity (before tax) are simulated on the basis of already occurred or notional extreme events.



Deutfelie ebenere en elfeinvelve besie

		Portfolio change on a fa	Portfolio change on a fair value basis	
in TEUR	Scenario	2019	2018	
Equity securities and private	Share prices -10%	-1,570	-1,583	
equity	Share prices -20%	-3,141	-3,166	
	Share prices +10%	1,570	1,583	
	Share prices +20%	3,141	3,166	
Fixed-income securities	Yield increase +50 basis points	-541,567	-368,770	
	Yield increase +100 basis points	-1,055,917	-722,957	
	Yield decrease -50 basis points	568,785	383,354	
	Yield decrease -100 basis points	1,164,788	781,291	
Real Estate	Real estate market values -10%	-5,300	-5,275	
	Real estate market values +10%	5,300	5,275	

Further significant risk management tools – along with the various stress tests used to estimate the loss potential under extreme market conditions – include sensitivity and duration analyses and our asset / liability management (ALM). The internal capital model provides us with quantitative support for the investment strategy as well as a broad diversity of VaR calculations. In addition, tactical duration ranges are in place, within which the portfolio can be positioned opportunistically according to market expectations. The parameters for these ranges are directly linked to our calculated risk-bearing capacity. It should be borne in mind that the issued subordinated bonds and resulting induced interest rate exposure are actively factored into our ALM. Please note, that also the subordinated liabilities considered in Section D.5 and the resulting interest rate risk are actively managed in the ALM process.

Equity risks derive from the possibility of unfavourable changes in the value of equities, equity derivatives or equity index derivatives in our portfolio. Their relevance to our investments was, however, very slight because we currently hold only a minimal portfolio of equities and equity funds in the context of strategic participations. Our exposure to the private equity market remains unchanged. Changes in fair value here tend to be prompted less by general market conditions and more by entity-specific assessments. The risks are associated principally with the business model and profitability and less so with the interest rate component in the consideration of cash flow forecasts.

By far the largest part of our assets under own management is invested in fixed-income securities. They are exposed to the interest rate risk. Declining market yields lead to increases and rising market yields to decreases in the fair value of the fixed-income securities portfolio. The credit spread risk should also be mentioned. The credit spread refers to the interest rate differential between a risk-entailing bond and risk-free bond with the same maturity. Changes in these risk premiums, which are observable on the market, result – analogously to changes in pure market yields – in changes in the fair values of the corresponding securities. We minimise interest rate risks by matching the durations of payments from fixed-income securities as closely as possible with the projected future payment obligations under our insurance contracts.

Foreign exchange risks are especially relevant if there is a currency imbalance between the technical liabilities and the assets. Through matching of currency distributions on the assets and liabilities side, we reduce this risk on the basis of the individual balance sheets within the Group.



The short-term Value at Risk therefore does not include quantification of the foreign exchange risks. We regularly compare the liabilities per currency with the covering assets and optimise the currency coverage by regrouping assets. In so doing, we make allowance for collateral conditions such as different accounting requirements. Remaining currency surpluses are systematically quantified and monitored within the scope of economic modelling.

Real estate risks result from the possibility of adverse changes in the value of real estate held either directly or through fund units. They may be caused by a deterioration in particular qualities of a property or by a general downslide in market values. Real estate risks continued to grow in importance for our portfolio owing to our ongoing involvement in this sector. We spread these risks through broadly diversified investments in high-quality markets worldwide; each investment is preceded by detailed analyses of the property, manager and market concerned.

We use derivative financial instruments to a limited extent, only. The primary purpose of such financial instruments is to hedge against potentially adverse developments on capital markets. A portion of our cash flows from the insurance business as well as foreign exchange risks arising because currency matching cannot be efficiently achieved are hedged to some extent using forward exchange transactions. Hannover Rück holds further derivative financial instruments to hedge interest rate risks from loans taken out to finance real estate. In addition, Hannover Rück holds hedges in the form of equity swaps to hedge price risks in connection with the stock appreciation rights granted under the share award plan. These are intended to neutralise changes in the fair values of the awarded stock appreciation rights. Contracts are concluded with reliable counterparties and for the most part collateralised on a daily basis so as to avoid credit risks associated with the use of such transactions. The remaining exposures are controlled according to the restrictive parameters set out in our investment guidelines.

With effect from this reporting period we are entering into term repurchase agreements as a supplementary liquidity management tool. The holdings exchanged in this context are fully collateralised.

Derivatives connected with the technical account play a minor role in Hannover Rück's portfolio.

Our investments entail credit risks that arise out of the risk of a failure to pay (interest and / or capital repayment) or a change in the credit status (rating downgrade) of issuers of securities. We attach equally vital importance to exceptionally broad diversification as we do to credit assessment conducted on the basis of the quality criteria set out in the investment guidelines. We measure credit risks in the first place using the standard market credit risk components, especially the probability of default and the potential amount of loss – making allowance for any collateral and the ranking of the individual instruments depending on their effect in each case.

We then assess the credit risk first on the level of individual securities (issues) and in subsequent steps on a combined basis on the issuer level. In order to limit the risk of counterparty default we set various limits on the issuer and issue level as well as in the form of dedicated rating quotas. A comprehensive system of risk reporting ensures timely reporting to the functions entrusted with risk management.

Generally, Hannover Rück aligns its investment portfolio with the principles of a balanced risk / return ratio along with a broad level of diversification. Accordingly, we subsequently counteract the risk concentrations that nevertheless arise on individual asset classes with the broadest possible diversification of different issuers per asset class. This is a central element of our investment policy, as well as the assessment and management of credit quality based on the quality criteria laid down in the investment guidelines.



## C.3 Credit risk

The credit risk or counterparty default risk consists primarily of the risk of complete or partial failure of the counterparty and the associated default on payment. The following table shows the required risk capital for counterparty defaults as at 31 December.

#### Required risk capital (confidence level 99.5%)

in TEUR	2019	2018
Counterparty default risk	419,990	308,132

The increase in counterparty default risks can be attributed to a higher volume of receivables due from ceding companies and retrocessionaires as well as changes in credit ratings.

Our retrocession partners are carefully selected and monitored. This is also true for our broker relationships, which entail a risk inter alia through the potential loss of the premium paid by the cedant to the broker. We minimise these risks, among other things, by reviewing all broker relationships once a year with an eye to criteria such as the existence of professional indemnity insurance, payment performance and proper contract implementation. The credit status of retrocessionaires is continuously monitored. On the basis of this ongoing monitoring a Security Committee decides on measures where necessary to secure receivables that appear to be at risk of default. This process is supported by an application, which specifies cession limits for the individual retrocessionaires participating in protection cover programmes and determines the capacities still available for short-, medium- and long-term business. Depending on the type and expected run-off duration of the reinsured business, the selection of reinsurers takes into account not only the minimum ratings of external rating agencies but also internal and external expert assessments (e.g. market information). Overall, retrocessions conserve our capital, stabilise and optimise our results and enable us to act on opportunities across a broader front, e.g. following a major loss event. Regular visits to our retrocessionaires give us a reliable overview of the market and put us in a position to respond quickly to capacity changes. The following table shows the proportion of assumed risks that we do not retrocede (i.e. that we keep in our retention):

#### Gross written premium retained

in %	2019	2018
Total	69.7	71.8
Property and casualty reinsurance	66.9	65.0
Life and health reinsurance	76.3	85.2

Alongside traditional retrocessions in property and casualty reinsurance we also transfer risks to the capital market. Please refer also to chapter C.1.3.

Counterparty default risks are also relevant in life and health reinsurance, among other things because we finance acquisition costs for our ceding companies. Our clients, retrocessionaires and broker relationships as well as our investments are therefore carefully evaluated and limited in light of credit considerations and are constantly monitored and controlled within the scope of our system of limits and thresholds.

Finally, short-term deposits with banks are exposed to bad debt risk.

For very few of the risk-remote structured transactions Hannover Rück provides a specific parental guarantee to the client. These parental guarantees ensure payment of obligations under the



specified structured transaction by Hannover Rück in the event that the assuming subsidiary is unable to meet such financial obligations. As each of these parental guarantees refers to exactly one specified transaction and is worded such that any potential payment can only materialize once at one legal entity of Hannover Rück, either at the subsidiary under the transaction itself, or at Hannover Rück under the parental guarantee, the existence of a parental guarantee has no impact on the underwriting.

## C.4 Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk refers to the risk of being unable to meet financial obligations when they become due. Liquidity risk consists of the refinancing risk (necessary cash could not be obtained or could only be obtained at increased costs) and the market liquidity risk (financial market transactions could only be completed at a poorer price than expected due to a lack of market liquidity). Core elements of the liquidity management of our investments are, in the first place, management of the maturity structure of our investments on the basis of the planned payment profiles arising out of our technical liabilities and, secondly, regular liquidity planning as well as the asset structure of the investments. Above and beyond the foreseeable payments, unexpected and exceptionally large payments may pose a threat to liquidity. In reinsurance business, however, significant events (major losses) are normally paid out after a lead time that can be reliably planned. As part of our liquidity management we have nevertheless defined asset holdings that have proven to be highly liquid – even in times of financial stress such as the 2008 financial crisis. In addition, we manage the liquidity of the portfolio by checking on each trading day the liquidity of the instruments contained therein. These measures effectively reduce the liquidity risk.

The "total amount of the expected profit included in future premiums" required by Art. 295 (5) of the Delegated Regulation 2015 / 35 amounts to TEUR 3,010,825 as at 31 December. This value is also available at the Quantitative Reporting Template S.23.01.01, item R0790. We do not use this figure for our liquidity management. However, it has to be stated in this section according to regulatory requirements.

## C.5 Operational risk

Operational risks refer to the risk of losses occurring because of the inadequacy or failure of internal processes or as a result of events triggered by employee-related, system-induced or external factors. In contrast to underwriting risks (e.g. the reserve risk), which we enter into in a deliberate and controlled manner in the context of our business activities, operational risks are an indivisible part of our business activities. The focus is therefore on risk avoidance minimisation.

With the aid of the Self-Assessment for Operational Risks we determine the maturity level of our operational risk management system and define action fields for improvements. The assessment is carried out, for example, by assessing the maturity level of the risk management function or of the respective risk monitoring and reporting. The system enables us, among other things, to prioritise operational risks. In order to calculate the capital commitment in our internal capital model we perform extensive scenario analyses and use the findings as a basis for specifying the parameters for the stochastic model. The following table shows the required risk capital for operational risk as at 31 December.



Required risk capital (confidence level 99.5%)

in TEUR	2019	2018
Operational risk	520,355	562,623

The decrease in operational risks can be attributed above all to an updated expert assessment regarding the impact of individual scenarios.

Within the overall framework of operational risks we consider, in particular, business process risks and data quality risks, compliance risks, risks associated with the outsourcing of functions, fraud risks, personnel risks, information security risks and business interruption risks.

Business process risks are associated with the risk of deficient or flawed internal processes, which can arise as a consequence of an inadequate process organisation. We have defined criteria to steer the risk, leading to a high process quality. Data quality is also a highly critical success factor, especially within risk management because the validity of the internal model is crucially basing on the provided data, for instance.

Compliance risks are associated with the risk of breaches of standards and requirements, noncompliance with which may entail lawsuits or official proceedings with not inconsiderable detrimental implications for the business activities of Hannover Rück. Compliance with regulatory standards, the company's Code of Conduct, tax regulations, data privacy requirements as well as the stipulations of anti-trust and competition law have been defined as issues of particular relevance. In addition to that, Hannover Rück focuses on IT compliance requirements such as VAIT (Supervisory Requirements for IT in (Re)Insurance Undertakings). We use sanctions screening software on parts of the Hannover Rück's portfolio and any claim information to filter out individuals who are subject to sanctions on account of a criminal or terrorist background. Suitable steps are taken if such individuals are identified. Business partners are also screened in this way. Responsibilities within the compliance organisation are regulated and documented Group-wide and interfaces with risk management have been put in place. The set of tools is rounded off with regular compliance training programmes.

Risks associated with the outsourcing of functions can result from such outsourcing of functions, services and / or organisational units to third parties. Mandatory rules have been put in place to limit this risk; among other things, they stipulate that a risk analysis is to be performed prior to an outsourcing. In the context of this analysis, that is e.g. centrally coordinated for cloud services, a check is carried out to determine, inter alia, what specific risks exist and whether outsourcing can even occur in the first place. Additionally our external partners are assessed regularly by Due Diligence.

The proper functioning and competitiveness of Hannover Rück can be attributed in large measure to the expertise and dedication of our staff. In order to minimise personnel risks, we pay special attention to the skills, experience and motivation of our employees and foster these qualities through outstanding personnel development and leadership activities. Regular employee surveys and the monitoring of turnover rates ensure that such risks are identified at an early stage and scope to take the necessary actions is created.

Fraud risks refer to the risk of intentional violations of laws or regulations by members of staff and / or by externals, in order to gain a personal advantage. This risk is reduced by the internal control system as well as by the audits conducted by Group Auditing on a Group-wide and line-independent basis.



Information security risks arise, inter alia, out of the risk of the inadequate integrity, confidentiality, availability or authenticity of systems and information. By way of example, losses and damage resulting from the unauthorised passing on of confidential information, the malicious overloading of important IT systems or from computer viruses are material to Hannover Rück. Given the broad spectrum of such IT-related risks, which do not only encompass information security but rather the complete sphere of operational risks (so called IT risks), a diverse range of steering and monitoring measures and organisational standards, including for example the requirement to conclude confidentiality agreements with service providers, have been put in place for the entire company. In addition, our employees are made more conscious of such security risks through practically oriented tools provided online in the intranet, by way of training opportunities and through a staff information campaign.

When it comes to reducing business interruption risks, the paramount objective is the quickest possible return to normal operations after a crisis, for example through implementation of existing contingency plans. Guided by internationally accepted standards, we have defined the key framework conditions and – among other measures – we have assembled a crisis team to serve as a temporary steering body in the event of an emergency. The system is complemented by regular exercises and tests, which e.g. confirm our IT recovery ability. A leaflet is available setting out the correct behaviour in the event of a business interruption; this condenses in compact form the key information that all employees need to know, such as the information channels to use in a crisis situation.

Regular quarterly risk reporting to the Risk Committee and the Executive Board takes place with regard to all operational risks. Risks are also evaluated as part of the reporting.

### C.6 Other material risks

Of material importance to our company in the category of other risks are primarily emerging risks, strategic risks and reputational risks.

Furthermore we monitor the contagion risk of Hannover Rück being part of the Hannover Re Group and therefore of the HDI Group.

#### C.6.1 Emerging risks

The hallmark of emerging risks is that the content of such risks cannot as yet be reliably assessed – especially on the underwriting side with respect to our portfolio. Such risks evolve gradually from weak signals to unmistakable tendencies. It is therefore vital to detect these risks at an early stage and then determine their relevance. For the purpose of early detection we have developed an efficient process that spans divisions and lines of business and we have ensured its linkage to risk management. Operational implementation is handled by an expert working group assembled specially for this task. The analyses performed by this working group are used Group-wide in order to pinpoint any necessary measures (e.g. the implementation of contractual exclusions or the development of new reinsurance products). By way of example, risks associated with possible climate change are analysed by this working group. Global warming would affect not only natural perils, but also human health, the world economy, the agricultural sector and much more besides. These problematic issues may also have implications for our treaty portfolio – in the form of not just risks but also opportunities, such as increased demand for reinsurance products. Further examples

of emerging risks include cyber risks, risks from the use of autonomous machines and the supply of raw materials. Altogether, we are constantly monitoring 40 emerging risks.

### C.6.2 Strategic risks

Strategic risks derive from a possible imbalance between the corporate strategy of Hannover Rück and the constantly changing general business environment. Such an imbalance might be caused, for example, by incorrect strategic policy decisions, a failure to consistently implement the defined strategies and business plans or an incorrect allocation of resources. We therefore regularly review our corporate strategy in a multi-step procedure and adjust our processes and the resulting guidelines as and when required. We have defined performance criteria and indicators for operational implementation of the strategic principles and objectives; these are authoritative when it comes to determining fulfilment of the various targets. With the "Strategy Cockpit" the Executive Board and responsible managers have at their disposal a strategy tool that assists them with the planning, elaboration and management of strategic risks. The process for the management of strategic risks continues to be assessed annually as part of the monitoring of business process risks.

### C.6.3 Reputational risks

Reputational risks refer to the risk that the trust put in our company by clients, shareholders, employees or the public at large may be damaged. This risk has the potential to jeopardise the business foundation of Hannover Rück. A good corporate reputation is therefore an indispensable prerequisite for our core business as a reinsurer. Reputational risks may arise out of all business activities conducted by Hannover Rück. Reputational damage may be caused, inter alia, by a data mishap that becomes public knowledge or financial difficulties on account of an underwriting risk. In addition to the risk identification methods already described, we use a number of different techniques for risk minimisation, such as our defined communication channels (e.g. Crisis Communication Guideline), a professional approach to corporate communications, tried and tested processes for specific crisis scenarios as well as our established Code of Conduct.

The Code of Conduct, in particular, and the system of governance described in chapter B are the basis for minimizing any sources of reputational risk.

### C.6.4 Important developments

In this section, we describe external developments in 2019 with particular relevance for risk management.

#### C.6.4.1 Regulatory developments

A review of selected aspects of Solvency II commenced in the reporting period at the instigation of the European Commission. In this regard the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority (EIOPA) opened up its recommendations to the insurance industry for comment. Implementation of the new rules is still pending. We participate in the consultation process via

various stakeholder groups and analyse the impact of potential changes with regard to Hannover Rück. In view of pillar 1 of Solvency II the topics regarding extrapolation of basic risk-free interest rate curves, changes to the volatility adjustments as well as recommendations with respect to the calculation of group own funds will be relevant for Hannover Rück and could impact the capital adequacy ratio.

Hannover Rück and its European reinsurance subsidiaries calculate their capital requirements under Solvency II on the basis of a full internal model. The Hannover Rück received approval from the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin) for the end of 2018 to use the volatility adjustments pursuant to § 82 Insurance Supervision Act (VAG). This is intended to mitigate the effect of value fluctuations on the bond market. For year-end 2019, Hannover Rück has received approval from BaFin for a dynamic modelling of the volatility adjustment. By this the effect of the volatility adjustment is captured in the calculation of the required capital more adequately.

Parallel to the regulatory developments in Europe, we are seeing adjustments worldwide to the regulation of (re)insurance undertakings. The Common Framework for the Supervision of Internationally Active Insurance Groups (ComFrame), which was adopted on 14 November 2019 by the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS), establishes supervisory standards and provides guidance focusing on the effective group-wide supervision of Internationally Active Insurance Groups (IAIGs). An integral element of the ComFrame is the Insurance Capital Standard (ICS). The ICS monitoring phase will start in 2020.

#### C.6.4.2 Brexit

Despite the United Kingdom's withdrawal from the European Union on 31 January 2020, the longterm relations between the UK and the EU have still to be determined. Hannover Rück has prepared for different scenarios. A Group-wide working group has analysed the impacts on each affected entity as well as the status of their planning and preparations. Argenta Holdings Limited is a wholly owned subsidiary of Hannover Rück that operates on a stand-alone basis in the United Kingdom and as a member of Lloyd's it focuses on the solutions offered by the Lloyd's market. The operating model envisaged for the Life & Health branch in the UK after Brexit is transformation into a so-called third-country branch. We also write reinsurance business in the United Kingdom through Group companies in Hannover and Ireland. Changes in the operating models are not anticipated at this point in time. All in all, our current analyses indicate that the implications of Brexit are manageable for Hannover Rück.

#### C.6.4.3 Capital market environment

The protracted low level of interest rates is a major external factor influencing the return that can be generated on the investments of Hannover Rück. Interest rate declines – which in some instances were very marked – affected both euro-denominated bonds as well as the US dollar and sterling markets over the course of the year. Negative yields are now being seen on euro area government bonds extending beyond the 10-year maturity point. The uncertain signals coming from policy makers and indications of softening fundamentals led to greater volatility overall on the markets. The tense geopolitical situation and global trade disputes were also reflected in fluctuations in gold and oil price movements. At the same time, muted expectations for global growth are making themselves felt here. The continued surprising confusion in the process surrounding the United Kingdom's withdrawal from the European Union – despite the already lengthy period of acclimatisation – offered little support; the same was true of the cautious moves made by central



banks, which documented the persistent lack of market stability despite the buoyant state of equity markets. Even while the US economy showed itself to be in a thoroughly robust condition, the US Federal Reserve surprisingly pulled an abrupt about-turn from its previously restrictive policy in favour of more expansionary action. As indications of declining growth began to emerge at the end of the period under review, the Fed announced a pause in the cycle of interest rate adjustments that it had initiated. At the European Central Bank, on the other hand, there is no end in sight to the expansionary monetary policy. Given the depletion of monetary policy tools and in view of the gloomy growth and inflation outlook, calls for fiscal measures are growing louder. As far as the risk premiums on corporate bonds were concerned, sharp decreases reflected a levelling off in the nervousness observed at the end of the previous year, as a result of which they were considerably lower year-on-year at the close of 2019. Hannover Rück continues to have exposure to the private equity market. Fair value changes here tend to be less influenced by general market conditions and more by company-specific evaluations. The risks are therefore primarily associated with the business model and profitability and to a lesser extent with the interest rate component in a consideration of cash flow forecasts. In the period under review, for example, Hannover Rück sees the need to take somewhat higher write-downs not as a reflection of an elevated risk in the market. but rather in the context of the risk profile specific to this asset class. The significance of real estate risks has continued to grow owing to our consistent participation in this sector. We spread these risks through broadly diversified investments in high-quality markets around the world, with each investment decision being preceded by extensive analyses of the relevant property, manager and market. As far as the investments are concerned, Hannover Rück anticipates continuing elevated volatility on global capital markets in the immediate future, although Hannover Rück also sees this as an opportunity and believes that Hannover Rück is appropriately prepared with the current posture of the asset portfolio.

#### C.6.4.4 Risks from the cyber environment

Recent years have seen the increasing emergence of cyber risks affecting electronic systems. Hannover Rück is at risk of outside attacks on its IT systems and has put in place extensive safeguards. Furthermore, Hannover Rück offers reinsurance coverage for risks connected with electronic systems and the associated data. The dynamic pace of developments in the context of digitalisation presents a particular challenge for the assessment of such risks.

The mapping of cyber risks in the internal capital model was improved in the course of 2019, with the result that more detailed risk management encompassing both our cyber portfolio and our "silent cyber" exposure is now possible, insofar as the relevant portfolios have been analysed.

#### C.6.4.5 Natural catastrophe risks and climate change

It is likely that the increased storm activity of recent years is due in part to progressive global warming. Hannover Rück works together with partners to closely monitor the implications of global warming for extreme weather events so as to be able to factor the insights gained into the models and the management of risks. The 2019 financial year was once again impacted by natural catastrophe events that caused market losses in excess of TUSD 100,000,000. In common with other market players, Hannover Rück was among those affected – principally by hurricane Dorian and typhoon Hagibis. Given that the amounts will be paid out over the next few years, an element of uncertainty in the remaining anticipated loss payments has been allowed for as part of the estimated technical reserves.



#### C.6.4.6 Ogden rate

In 2017 a change (i.e. reduction) was made in the so-called Ogden rate – primarily affecting UK motor insurance – which is used to calculate personal injury compensation payments. A massive cut in the rate led to a rise in the expected loss costs. These increased amounts have since been reflected in the technical reserves for the relevant lines. The UK government changed the rate from -0.75% to -0.25% effective 5 August 2019. The decision on the rate change is appropriately reflected in the technical reserves. The future payment patterns for these claims nevertheless remain subject to uncertainty because a better run-off result is normally expected at the time of settlement.

#### C.6.4.7 Joint investment in specialty business with HDI Global SE

Back in 2018 Hannover Rück had already begun making preparations with HDI Global SE, under the umbrella of Talanx AG, for the launch of a joint initiative in worldwide specialty business. Since January 2019 the specialty insurer HDI Global Specialty SE has offered, as a joint venture of HDI Global and Hannover Rück, tailored insurance solutions for agency and specialty business in lines including professional indemnity, directors' & officers' liability, legal expenses, sports and entertainment, aviation, offshore energy and pet and farm pack.

#### C.6.4.8 COVID-19 pandemic

On the basis of regulatory requirements, this report has a strong focus on the developments in the financial year 2019. Since year-end 2019, we have experienced the emergence of the new COVID-19 virus that has been declared a pandemic by the world health organization. As part of Hannover Rück's routine business continuity management and as a response to the emergence of the crisis, Hannover Rück has taken significant measures to ensure business continuity. In addition, to protect Hannover Rück's financial strength in times of financial market volatility, we have implemented strict asset-liability measures including the use of the volatility adjustments. Based on these measures, we are confident to operate at a capital level above our limit of 180% in 2020 and we are confident that we can ensure substantial operational continuity. It must be acknowledged, however, that current estimates are and will remain uncertain for some time as they depend on the further emergence of the crisis and the effectiveness and efficiency of countermeasures.

At Hannover Rück, we support the measures taken by the public sector to reduce the number of COVID-19 infections and slow the spread of the virus. We are keenly aware of our responsibility to our clients and we know that a reliable partnership is absolutely vital to our customers – especially in difficult times of crisis such as these.

While we are taking care to safeguard the health of our employees and their families, we shall therefore do everything in our power to assure continuing business operations and offer our customers the level of service to which they are accustomed.

A large number of our employees around the world are now using the capabilities of mobile working. In this way, we are responding to the large-scale closure of kindergartens and schools in Germany and other countries and to more extensive quarantine measures being taken to contain the spread of the coronavirus. Until the end of June, we are also cancelling all travel and all participation in external seminars. Planned events involving more than 20 attendees as well as events attended by international participants are similarly cancelled until the end of June. We are making use of available alternatives such as conference calls and videoconferences.



Our goal is to ensure our usual availability for our clients and business partners by e-mail and phone. We are technically equipped to do this and we are able to work from home without any difficulty. In view of the challenges that our employees are currently facing – both in their family lives and professionally – we would ask for your understanding if, despite our best efforts, we are not always able to live up to this aspiration to the usual extent or with our customary speed.

To date, Hannover Rück has not experienced any significant impacts of the coronavirus on its business operations. Our risk management is geared to preserving Hannover Rück's robust financial strength. By conducting stress tests, e.g. for pandemics or capital market distortions, we have continuously reviewed the resilience of our financial strength.

Our capital resources continued to be on a very good level at year-end 2019 with a capital adequacy ratio of 258%, comfortably above our limit of 180%. This remains true even in light of the most recent interest rate cuts and increases in credit spreads. Our existing asset/liability management, including the use of the volatility adjustment, will help to cushion negative effects of market volatility on our Solvency II capital adequacy ratio.

In property and casualty reinsurance, the strains for Hannover Rück should remain manageable as things stand right now. We currently expect losses for the coverage of event cancellations and business interruption. This includes a cover connected with this year's Olympic Games in Japan. At present, losses in other business segments, such as credit and surety reinsurance, cannot be reliably estimated. They depend on the efficiency and effectiveness of countermeasures by governmental and other institutions.

In life and health reinsurance, we currently anticipate only modest impacts on our portfolio of mortality covers. Pandemics form part of our risk management calculations and are appropriate reflected in our capital models. An increase in mortality rates of 5% within the insured population in the course of a year followed by a return to normal expectancies would mean an additional strain in the order of EUR 130 million for Hannover Re. At the present time, we are still a long way away from such a massive increase in mortality rates. In the case of an extreme 200-year event, the pandemic loss for Hannover Re based on our internal model is around EUR 1.04 billion.

When it comes to our investments, we have not to date seen any defaults as a consequence of the recent very marked reaction on capital markets. As of year-end 2019, we are only marginally invested in listed equities. Nevertheless, we certainly do have significant exposures to corporate bonds and related asset classes in the fixed-income spectrum as well as private equity and real assets. The consequences of an economic downturn may make themselves felt here. In the course of the year, depending on the effectiveness and efficiency of monetary and fiscal rescue measures, we expect not only valuation declines but also defaults. It is too early to make any sufficiently valid assessment of their potential scale. At the same time, however, there will be opposing valuation effects owing to the fall in interest rates.

As far as other developments are concerned, we are monitoring the situation very closely on all levels of the Hannover Re Group, including as part of our crisis management response and through our company physicians. In addition, we are engaged in an intensive dialogue with relevant public authorities, institutions and associations.



#### C.6.5 Contagion risks

Contagion risk refers to the risks originated by interactions between individual entities owned by Hannover Rück, or related to Hannover Rück's affiliation to the HDI Group. More precisely, contagion risk is the propagation of the effect of a failure or financial distress of an organisation in a sequential manner to other organisations, markets or systems, or to other parts of a financial group or financial conglomerate.

Hannover Rück manages this risk by a strict look-through approach in its management systems.

## C.7 Any other information

There is no other information to be reported.

# D. Valuation for Solvency Purposes

#### **General valuation principles**

The valuation of assets and liabilities pursuant to Solvency II is based on economic and marketconsistent principles, and takes account of inherent risks.

In line with this concept the assets and liabilities are valued as follows:

- Assets should be valued according to the amount with which they could be exchanged between knowledgeable willing parties in an arm's length transaction.
- Liabilities should be valued according to the amount with which they could be exchanged between knowledgeable willing parties in an arm's length transaction.
- The time value of money should be reflected, i.e. cash flows have to be discounted. The discount rate should take the long-term asset management strategy into account, i.e. whether the company acts as held-to-maturity investor or not.
- When valuing liabilities, no value adjustments are made in order to account for the creditworthiness of the respective insurance or reinsurance company.
- The valuation of assets and liabilities is based on the assumption that the company will continue its business activity ("going concern principle").
- Individual assets and liabilities are valued separately.
- Concepts of materiality shall apply. Absent or erroneous information pertaining to items shall be deemed significant if it could influence the individual or aggregated business decisions of the recipients.
- Simplifications may be applied when the method is deemed appropriate for the type, scope and complexity of the inherent risk.

The underlying principle used for determining the market values of assets and liabilities, with the exception of technical provisions, is the valuation principle pursuant to International Accounting Standards, as was adopted by the EU Commission pursuant to the Directive (EC) No. 1606/2002. For example, the guideline for determining fair values pursuant to IFRS 13 serves as a source of orientation.

The value of technical provisions corresponds to the current amount an insurance or reinsurance company would have to pay if they were to transfer their insurance and reinsurance obligations immediately to another insurance or reinsurance company. Technical provisions must be calculated in a prudent, reliable and objective manner, and must display market consistency.

The value of underwriting provisions shall be equal to the sum of a "best estimate" and a risk margin:

- The best estimate liability (BEL) is the present value of all future cash flows.
- The calculation of the risk margin is done using a Cost of Capital approach.

Any valuation methods used must always work in sync with Article 75, respectively Articles 77 to 82 and Article 86 of the Directive 2009/138/EC.

As per 31 December 2018, Hannover Rück makes use of the volatility adjustment for the first time. The impact of the application of the volatility adjustment is displayed in section D.2.



#### Assessing active markets

In the course of valuing assets, it is necessary to assess as to whether a market is either active or not. Only when a market is active may the current value be taken directly from these markets or derived from comparable assets traded there, in order to determine the market value of assets. If a market cannot be categorised as active, the market value is to be determined using valuation models. Whether or not a market can be viewed as an active market hinges on a discretionary decision regarding the type of financial instruments and local markets. At Hannover Rück this is, however, based on the following, predetermined parameters.

- Business transactions occur with sufficient frequency and corresponding volume, so that price information is continuously available
- The products which are traded on the market are homogeneous
- Contractually willing buyers / sellers can, as a rule, be found at any time
- Prices are freely accessible to the public

An active market is deemed not to exist when, due to the complete and long-term decline in buyers and/or sellers, market liquidity is no longer established. Should transactions be verified as resulting exclusively from forced deals, compulsory liquidations or distressed sales, this is just as much an indicator for an inactive market as are high bid / ask spreads.

In the event that an inactive market has been verified, we use valuation models for the calculation of market values. Please refer to section D.4.

#### Note

The German Financial Regulatory Authority (BaFin) published an Interpretative Decision on treatment of accounts receivables, accounts payables and funds withheld on 1 January 2019, which is applied by Hannover Rück for the first time as at reporting date of 31 December 2019. The paper clarifies regulation of European legislation based on directive 2015/2450 of EIOPA.

According to the Interpretative Decision, receivables and payables shown under respective items of the Solvency II balance sheet are restricted to balances that are due – the due date of the underlying payment was set before the balance sheet reporting date. Not due balances – the due date of the underlying payment is set after the balance sheet reporting date – should not be included in those Solvency II balance sheet items and therefore are part of the contractual cash flows reported within best estimates of technical provisions or reinsurance recoverables.

In accordance with the Interpretative Decision, funds withheld need to be recorded on respective balance sheet positions as gross amounts. In rare cases, however, a netting of funds withheld with underlying contractual cash flows will still be applicable.

The outlined clarifications have impact on the Solvency II balance sheet. The following subsections provide further information on changes with respect to the Interpretative Decision.

#### Solvency II balance sheet

We show our Solvency II balance sheet as of 31 December 2019 on the following two pages. The individual items are explained in the following subsections.

In the headings of the subsections of "D.1 Assets" and "D.3 Other Liabilities", we use the item designations from EIOPA for improved readability and clear assignment of the sub-chapters to the corresponding items in the Solvency II balance sheet.
# hannover **re**°

in TEUR	Item	2019	2018
Assets			
Intangible assets	R0030		
Deferred tax assets	R0040	129,622	187,067
Pension benefit surplus	R0050		
Property, plant & equipment held for own use	R0060	65,431	54,740
Investments (other than assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts)	R0070	36,340,042	31,995,392
Property (other than for own use)	R0080	15,638	17,132
Holdings in related undertakings, including participations	R0090	10,949,630	9,894,599
Equities	R0100	5,193	5,193
Equities - listed	R0110	5,193	5,193
Bonds	R0130	23,067,654	19,980,549
Government Bonds	R0140	12,581,914	10,693,573
Corporate Bonds	R0150	9,808,831	8,675,226
Structured notes	R0160	155,640	143,128
Collateralised securities	R0170	521,268	468,622
Collective Investments Undertakings	R0180	1,888,266	1,746,523
Derivatives	R0190	52,864	45,853
Deposits other than cash equivalents	R0200	360,796	305,542
Other investments	R0210		
Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts	R0220		
Reinsurance recoverables from:	R0270	5,442,967	3,497,229
Non-life and health similar to non-life	R0280	5,139,675	3,026,701
Non-life excluding health	R0290	4,808,009	2,820,873
Health similar to non-life	R0300	331,666	205,828
Life and health similar to life, excluding health and index-linked and unit- linked	R0310	303,292	470,528
Health similar to life	R0320	279,779	359,428
Life excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked	R0330	23,513	111,100
Life index-linked and unit-linked	R0340		
Deposits to cedants	R0350	7,177,254	402,513
Insurance and intermediaries receivables	R0360	732,203	2,840,556
Reinsurance receivables	R0370	36,253	81,013
Receivables (trade, not insurance)	R0380	453,908	563,885
Own shares (held directly)	R0390		
Amounts due in respect of own fund items or initial fund called up but not yet paid in	R0400		
Cash and cash equivalents	R0410	376,850	388,560
Any other assets, not elsewhere shown	R0420	82,339	82,349
Total assets	R0500	50,836,868	40,093,303

# hannover **re**°

in TEUR	Item	2019	2018
Liabilities			
Technical provisions – non-life	R0510	21,855,401	18,992,149
Technical provisions – non-life (excluding health)	R0520	20,191,509	17,520,526
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0530		
Best Estimate	R0540	19,732,113	17,194,762
Risk margin	R0550	459,396	325,764
Technical provisions - health (similar to non-life)	R0560	1,663,892	1,471,623
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0570		
Best Estimate	R0580	1,625,620	1,442,917
Risk margin	R0590	38,272	28,705
Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)	R0600	5,600,637	2,759,038
Technical provisions - health (similar to life)	R0610	1,244,313	809,381
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0620		
Best Estimate	R0630	1,041,564	708,800
Risk margin	R0640	202,749	100,580
Technical provisions – life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-			
linked)	R0650	4,356,324	1,949,658
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0660		
Best Estimate	R0670	3,536,483	1,416,169
Risk margin	R0680	819,841	533,488
Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linked	R0690	296,581	-18,395
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0700		
Best Estimate	R0710	295,642	-19,288
Risk margin	R0720	939	893
Contingent liabilities	R0740	1,777	3,334
Provisions other than technical provisions	R0750	120,670	109,312
Pension benefit obligations	R0760	145,397	131,375
Deposits from reinsurers	R0770	3,141,498	498,542
Deferred tax liabilities	R0780	2,315,659	2,037,426
Derivatives	R0790	17,477	19,902
Debts owed to credit institutions	R0800		
Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions	R0810	1,389,468	1,032,056
Insurance & intermediaries payables	R0820	452,982	593,723
Reinsurance payables	R0830	415,965	675,812
Payables (trade, not insurance)	R0840	127,321	192,720
Subordinated liabilities	R0850	2,376,550	1,643,131
Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own Funds	R0870	2,376,550	1,643,131
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	R0880	83,625	27,357
Total liabilities	R0900	38,341,007	28,697,483
Excess of assets over liabilities	R1000	12,495,861	11,395,820



# D.1 Assets

# D.1.1 Intangible assets R0030

**Differences in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Intangible assets		61,751

Intangible assets are stated at zero in accordance with Art. 12 No. 2 of the Delegated Regulation under Solvency II. The exceptional circumstances listed under Art. 12 No. 2 of the Delegated Regulation do not apply, due to the fact that intangible assets can neither be disposed of individually nor traded on an active market for similar or identical intangible assets.

In accordance with the German Commercial Code (HGB) a differentiation must be made as to whether it concerns purchased or internally generated intangible assets. While mandatory capitalisation applies for purchased intangible assets, a right to capitalisation exists pursuant to Art. 248 (2) clause 1 of the German Commercial Code (HGB) for internally generated items classified under fixed assets, which is not, however, used by the company.

The commercial valuation of intangible assets is executed in line with the regulations stipulated in Sections 341 et seq. of the German Commercial Code (HGB). They are valued at acquisition cost less scheduled depreciation in line with the average useful life.

The valuation base in the commercial annual accounts stands at TEUR 61,751. This predominantly concerns the future capitalised income value of the Life portfolio of a branch, as well as software. These may not be capitalised in the Solvency II balance sheet for the above-stated reasons.

# Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Intangible assets		

In the financial year 2019 this balance sheet item did not change.

In comparison to the previous year, assumptions regarding the calculation of this balance sheet item were the same.

# D.1.2 Deferred tax assets R0040

Differences in valuation

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Deferred tax assets	129,622	

In the Solvency II balance sheet, a deferred tax asset totalling TEUR 129,622 is stated as well as a deferred tax liability with the amount of TEUR 2,315,659. Consequently, a liability surplus has been created, the calculation of which is explained in more detail under the item "Deferred tax liabilities R0780".



With existing differences between the commercial and tax valuation for assets, liabilities and deferred / prepaid items, which are projected to invert in subsequent financial years, this can onbalance result in a tax relief being stated as a deferred tax asset, or a tax burden being stated as a mandatory deferred tax liability in the trade balance. In the exercising of a voting right pursuant to Art. 274 (1) s. 2 HGB, no deferred tax claims have been stated for a resulting over-funding in the trade balance of Hannover Rück.

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Deferred tax assets	129,622	187,067

The decrease in deferred tax claims amounting to TEUR -57,446 is predominantly the result of changes to the underwriting balance sheet items and capital investments. For more detailed explanatory notes, please consult the respective chapters.

# D.1.3 Property, plant & equipment held for own use R0060

### Differences in valuation

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Property, plant & equipment held for own use	65,431	39,138

Under Solvency II a differentiation is to be made for property regarding the extent to which it is intended for own use or a third party. The proportion subject to own use is to be categorised under property held for own use, the proportion subject to third-party use is recognised under the balance sheet item "Property (other than for own use)". The German Commercial Code (HGB) values for property were also proportionally divided in accordance with their respectively applicable use (held for own use or third-party use) for the purposes of comparison.

Property values are to be set at their fair value (market value) pursuant to Solvency II – irrespective of how the property is to be used. This is calculated as follows: The market price is determined by the price which could be achieved at that point in time, during normal trading in line with statutory regulations and actual market circumstances, while also taking into consideration other attributes and the location of the real estate without accounting for unusual or personal circumstances. The objective evaluation of property, i.e. developed or undeveloped real estate as well as rights to real estate including buildings on third-party real estate, is ensured by way of standardised principles and processes in line with market practices. In this regard, the gross rental method is applied for the determination of fair market values, which is described in further detail in chapter "D.4 Alternative methods for valuation".

In line with commercial law, real estate is valued in principle at its cost of procurement or construction, less scheduled and, when necessary, unscheduled depreciation pursuant to Art. 253 (3) HGB.

The fixtures, fittings and equipment are valued in principle according to their procurement and / or manufacturing cost in line with commercial law, less scheduled and, if necessary, unscheduled depreciation. Low-value assets are fully depreciated in the year of acquisition. With regard to the fixtures, fittings and equipment the valuation pursuant to the Solvency II balance sheet is seen as identical with the valuation used in HGB annual accounts totalling TEUR 17,983. A revaluation is not conducted for reasons of materiality.



The difference between the valuation found in the Solvency II balance sheet and the HGB annual accounts totalling TEUR 26,293 is almost completely attributable to the valuation of shares in the business facilities located in Hannover.

Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Property, plant & equipment held for own use	65,431	54,740

The underlying assumptions for the balance sheet item did not change in the reporting period.

# D.1.4 Property (other than for own use) R0080

#### **Differences in valuation**

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Property (other than for own use)	15,638	9,717

The valuation is made in principle in accordance with the description found in "Property, plant & equipment held for own use R0060".

The difference between the Solvency II value and the value presented in the HGB annual accounts as at the balance sheet date amounts to TEUR 5,921 and it is exclusively attributable to the difference between the valuation methods under HGB and Solvency II. While under HGB, amortised acquisition costs are applied less scheduled depreciation, under Solvency II market values are used. Thus, the entire difference concerns hidden reserves.

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Property (other than for own use)	15,638	17,132

The decrease in the item value in the year under review is mainly due to the recognition of lower market values as the result of updated valuation reports.

# D.1.5 Participations and related undertakings R0090

**Differences in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Holdings in related undertakings, including participations	10,949,630	7,799,721

Participations are stated at market values under Solvency II. There are no stock market prices available for the valuation of affiliated companies of Hannover Re. The market values of affiliated companies or participating interests are determined on the basis of Solcency II balance sheets or with the proportional Fair Value as defined in Art. 13 DVO. Liabilities are deducted from assets in order to determine the balance sheet equity surplus per affiliated company. All equity surpluses of affiliated companies, including participating interests, are shown in the balance sheet item. For reasons of materiality, some investments are stated at their IFRS investment value.

Participations and related undertakings are recognised pursuant to Art. 255 (1) German Commercial Code (HGB) at their historical cost less any depreciation to the lower fair value pursuant to Art. 341 (1) clause (2) HGB in conjunction with Art. 253 (3) clause (4) HGB.

A difference in the valuation to the amount of TEUR 3,149,909 is predominantly attributable to participations held by the Hannover Re Group in domestic and foreign reinsurers.

Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Holdings in related undertakings, including participations	10,949,630	9,894,599

The growth in the balance sheet value of affiliated companies compared with previous year is the result of various individual measures fostering future profitability and diversifying the risk structure at Group level.

On 11 May 2018, the Executive Board of Hannover Rück announced the plan to sell the majority of the shares in International Insurance Company of Hannover SE ("Inter Hannover") to HDI Global SE, Hannover. With economic effect from 1 January 2019, HDI Global SE acquired 50.2% of the shares in Inter Hannover. Inter Hannover was subsequently rebranded as HDI Global Specialty SE. The remaining shares in HDI Global Specialty SE will continue to be held by Hannover Rück.

With economic effect from 1 July 2019, FUNIS GmbH & Co. KG sold its interest in Svedea AB to HDI Global Specialty SE.

In the context of the acquisition of Generali Lebensversicherung AG by the Viridium Group, the shareholding structure was reorganised. In this connection Hannover Re sold its indirectly held participation in Viridium, realising income of TEUR 99,500. At the same time, Hannover Rück participated again indirectly in the Viridium Group including Generali Lebensversicherung AG.

On 3 September 2019, Hannover Rück sold its entire interest of the shares in ITAS Vita S.p.A.

Further investments were made in the real estate portfolio via the subsidiaries. In the third and fourth quarters of 2019, GLL HRE Core Properties, LP founded property companies for the purpose of acquiring real estate. In the third quarter of 2019, Hannover Rück acquired an interest in a newly established property company Morea Limited Liability Company through its subsidiary PAG Real Estate Asia Select Fund Limited. The subsidiary Hannover Re Real Estate Holdings, Inc. acquired an office property in the period under review.

In comparison to previous year, the assumptions for the calculation of this balance sheet item remain unchanged.

# D.1.6 Equities R0100

**Differences in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Equities - listed	5,193	5,332

Listed equities are valued on the basis of current, publicly available share prices. Publicly available pricing is available for 100% of the portfolio items reported here.



The valuation of listed equity is performed fundamentally on an item-by-item basis. The price quoted on the domestic stock exchange is used as a standard. If it is deemed prudent (e.g. due to a more liquid trading venue) the quotation may be taken from another stock exchange.

Irrespective of the stock exchange a hierarchy of quotation types is applied. The highest priority is allocated to the quotation type "Bid". If this is unavailable the quotation-types "Traded" and "Close" are to be used in second and third place respectively.

All applied methods and specifications are assessed for their topicality and / or appropriateness at least once a year, and adjusted as necessary.

The difference between the Solvency II value and the value presented in the HGB annual accounts as at the reporting date amounts to TEUR -139 and it is attributable to the difference between the valuation methods under HGB and Solvency II. While under HGB, equities are valued in accordance with the diluted lowest value principle in line with provisions on fixed assets; under Solvency II, market values are used. The figure TEUR -139 exclusively concerns hidden losses.

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Equities - listed	5,193	5,193

# D.1.7 Bonds R0130

Government bonds, corporate bonds, structured products and collateralised bonds are predominantly valued on the basis of quoted prices, which have been realised on active markets. If no publicly available price quotations are available or the markets in which they originate are deemed to be inactive, the items are allocated a theoretical valuation.

Market quotations are provided by selected price service agencies, trading information systems or intermediaries (brokers) deemed to be trustworthy. The potential sources of price information available are allocated a ranking within a hierarchy. As a rule, price quotations issued by price service agencies are allocated the highest priority, while those provided by intermediaries are allocated the lowest. Exceptions can occur, for example, for selected market segments / currency combinations.

Irrespective of the trading venue a hierarchy of price types is applied (for further information please refer to "Equities R0100").

In the event of a theoretical valuation, the present value method is applied as the valuation method for bonds without particular structural characteristics. For structured products, valuation is performed using interest rate models, cf. also "D.4 Alternative methods for valuation". Furthermore, the net assets valuation method – based on market values – is used.

All applied methods and stipulations are assessed for their topicality and / or appropriateness at least once a year, and adjusted as necessary.

In the previous year, the valuation system used to calculate the theoretical value of bonds without publicly available price quotations was changed. No significant changes were made to the valuation models. Adjustments were made to the valuation parameters used (for example, the yield curves).

The change in market values due to the change in the valuation system or the adjustment of the valuation parameters was not material.

## D.1.7.1 Government Bonds R0140

**Differences in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Government Bonds	12,581,914	12,012,061

Under Solvency II, investments listed under the following balance sheet items pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) are allocated to this item:

- bearer bonds and other fixed-interest securities
- registered bonds and
- notes receivable.

For the valuation we refer to the detailed explanations in "Bonds R0130".

Publicly available prices are available for 95% of the portfolio items reported here, 2% are valued using the cash value method and for 3%, prices from external sources are used.

The difference between the Solvency II value of these positions and their value stated within the annual accounts pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) comes to an overall total of TEUR 569,853.

In essence, approximately TEUR 493,462 are attributable to hidden reserves arising from the different valuations and TEUR 76,391 to the different approaches of stating accrued interest. Pursuant to Solvency II these are aggregated to the market value while in line with the German Commercial Code (HGB) the accrued interest of a balance sheet item is allocated separately from investments – to deferred / prepaid items.

# Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Government Bonds	12,581,914	10,693,573

The increase in portfolio size compared to the previous year is predominantly attributable to the relative growth of this asset class as a result of the smoothing of the risk profile of our investments, to the absolute increase of the portfolio due to the operating cash flow and exchange rate effects, in particular from the US Dollar and the British Pound and also to the overall decline in interest rates.

## D.1.7.2 Corporate Bonds R0150

**Differences in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Corporate Bonds	9,808,831	9,357,557

Under Solvency II, investments listed under the following balance sheet items pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) are allocated to this item:

- bearer bonds and other fixed-interest securities
- registered bonds and
- notes receivable.

For the valuation we refer to the detailed explanations in "Bonds R0130".

Publicly available prices are available for 85% of the portfolio items reported here, 10% are valued using the cash value method. The net asset value method is used for 3% of the securities in this asset class, 2% are valued using interest structure models and 1% are valued using prices from external sources.

The difference between the Solvency II value of these positions and their value stated within the annual accounts pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) comes to an overall total of TEUR 451,274.

In essence, approximately TEUR 346,757 are attributable to hidden reserves arising from the different valuations and TEUR 104,517 to the different approaches of stating accrued interest. Pursuant to Solvency II these are aggregated to the market value (dirty value), while in line with the German Commercial Code (HGB) the accrued interest of a balance sheet item is allocated separately from investments – to deferred / prepaid items.

Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Corporate Bonds	9,808,831	8,675,226

The increase in portfolio size compared to the previous year is predominantly attributable to the relative growth of this asset class as a result of the smoothing of the risk profile of our investments, to the absolute increase of the portfolio due to the operating cash flow and exchange rate effects, in particular from the US Dollar and the British Pound and also to the overall decline in interest rates.

## D.1.7.3 Structured notes R0160

**Differences in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Structured notes	155,640	169,723

Under Solvency II, investments listed under the following balance sheet items pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) are allocated to this item:

• bearer bonds and other fixed-interest securities

In addition to the valuation methods presented in "Bonds R0130" the following interest rate models are generally used with structured products: the Hull-White, the Black-Karasinski and the Libor Market Model. The application of interest rate models is based on the assumption that changes in

interest rates follow certain probability distributions and stochastic processes. As of the due date, 100% of the portfolios to be reported here are valued using the net asset value method based on market values.

Structured debt instruments are valued according to the acquisition cost principle in accordance with Section 255 Para 1 of the German Commercial Code (HGB).

At balance sheet date, the difference between Solvency II and HGB balance sheet value is TEUR -14,083.

Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Structured notes	155,640	143,128

## D.1.7.4 Collateralised securities R0170

**Differences in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Collateralised securities	521,268	527,242

Under Solvency II, investments listed under the following balance sheet items pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) are allocated to this item:

• bearer bonds and other fixed-interest securities

In addition to the valuation methods stated in "Bonds R0130" it should be noted that special forms of collateralised securities such as, for example, the CDO / CLO are valued externally on the basis of specialist service providers. Given that, as a rule, no public price quotation is available, the market value is derived theoretically using a Mark-to-Model approach. This is done using the valuation model "Intex" (industry standard) and parameterised on the basis of input factors observed in the market.

Collateralisation is recognised as a risk-minimising factor in the valuation; however, a spread, migration and default risk is allocated.

For special forms of collateralised papers such as the CDO / CLO assumptions are made regarding the speed of repayment and recovery rates.

100% of the portfolios reported here are valued using the present value method (taking into account information on the composition of the receivables pool obtained from a database of the specialist data provider "Intex").

The difference between the Solvency II value of these investments and their value stated within the annual accounts pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) totals TEUR -5,974.

Here, approximately TEUR 9,268 are attributable to hidden burdens arising from the different valuation bases and TEUR 3,294 to the different approaches of stating accrued interest. Pursuant to Solvency II, these are aggregated to the market value, while in line with the German Commercial

Code (HGB) the accrued interest of a balance sheet item is allocated separately from investments – to accrued items.

Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Collateralised securities	521,268	468,622

The increase in this item compared with the previous year is mainly due to the planned expansion of the asset class as part of the strategy adjustment of our investments. It also reflects exchange rate effects, in particular from the US Dollar and the British Pound, and additionally interest rate and spread declines.

# D.1.8 Collective Investments Undertakings R0180

Differences in valuation

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Collective Investments Undertakings	1,888,266	1,585,003

Investment funds are valued at the official withdrawal price.

The withdrawal price is regularly calculated and published by the investment company in accordance with prescribed regulations. As a rule, they are also made available automatically by price service agencies. Alternatively, the Net Asset Value (NAV) method can be applied. The Net Asset Value is calculated using the sum of all assets (this case predominantly comprises investments as well as bank balances) less potential liabilities.

Publicly available prices are available for 7% of the positions covered here, 84% are valued using the present value method and for 9%, prices from external sources are used.

All applied methods and stipulations are assessed for their topicality and / or appropriateness at least once a year, and adjusted as necessary.

The difference between the Solvency II value and the value stated in the annual accounts totals TEUR 303,264 for investment trust shares.

Pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) investment trust shares are valued according to the diluted lower value principle in line with the regulations pertaining to fixed assets; under Solvency II market values are to be applied. This subsequently leads to a valuation difference to the amount of TEUR 303,264. This exclusively concerns hidden reserves.

### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Collective Investments Undertakings	1,888,266	1,746,523

In comparison to previous year, assumptions for the calculation of this balance sheet item remain unchanged.



# D.1.9 Derivatives R0190

Differences in valuation

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Derivatives	52,864	

Derivative financial instruments include financial derivatives, derivatives which are separated from insurance contracts pursuant to IFRS 4.7, and derivatives on biometric indices.

Derivative assets (R0190) and Derivative liabilities (R0790) are stated in the Solvency II balance sheet as separate items, unoffset at their market value. The market value of derivatives primarily corresponds with the stock exchange rate. If no stock exchange rates are available, derivatives are valued on the basis of parameters derived from observed market data (e.g. interest and spread curves, volatilities, spot and forward rates) within the applied framework of suitable valuation models and methods.

In annual accounts pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) the valuation of financial derivatives and derivatives on biometric indices is done on a fair value basis. Derivatives which are part of an insurance contract are valued as part of technical liabilities, and are not stated separately.

Hannover Rück concludes central hedging transactions with third parties for some of its subsidiaries. The valuation of these financial derivatives is carried out at fair value. Hannover Rück transfers the cost of these hedging transactions internally to these subsidiaries, so that in their Solvency II balance sheet, derivative assets stand vis-à-vis derivative liabilities in equal amount TEUR 14,373 as at the balance sheet date.

Pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) the company had summarised, as at the reporting date, reciprocal forward foreign-exchange contracts into valuation units with offsetting effect under the application of the net hedge presentation method. The application of the net hedge presentation method. The application of the net hedge presentation method means that changes in the value of the underlying and hedging transactions are offset and are neither stated in the balance sheet nor in the profit and loss statement, insofar as the occurrence of risks is excluded and the positive and negative changes in value of the underlying and hedging transactions are nearly equalised. Thus, TEUR 14,373 of the difference in valuation are traced back to the different reporting of the hedging transactions under Solvency II and the German Commercial Code (HGB).

In order to hedge the risk of share price changes in connection with the stock appreciation rights granted under the share award plan, Hannover Rück has taken out hedges in the form of so-called equity swaps. The hedge is effected at the level of tranches and on a rolling basis with a maturity of three to four months until the share awards are paid out after five years.

According to Solvency II equity swaps are marked-to-market. At date of balance, the fair value was TEUR 5.382 and is recognized on the asset side of the balance sheet. Pursuant to § 254 of the Commercial Code (HGB), the underlying and the hedge were combined in a single valuation unit.

Unbundled derivatives and derivatives on biometric indices are stated in the Solvency II balance sheet pursuant to IFRS 4 and IAS 39 as derivative assets and – with regard to item R0790 – are recognised as obligations at their fair value. The value assessment is made on the basis of theoretical models in the absence of a market value, in particular through the use of the cash value method, which is described in Chapter "D.4 Alternative methods for valuation".



A retrocession agreement exists within the line of life & health with which the premiums were deposited with Hannover Rück and invested in a structured bond. A guarantee was issued by the retrocessionaire for their market value. This guarantee was to be separated in accordance with the regulations laid out under IFRS 4 by a retrocession agreement, and is recognised as a derivative financial instrument at its market value. The derivative was recognised at the balance sheet due date with a positive market value totalling TEUR 14,083 under other financial instruments recognised at their fair value in profit. During the course of the year the positive change in market value for the derivative led to a reciprocal value development in the structured bond recognised at its market value, and in the same amount.

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Derivatives	52,864	45,853

The change in value of this balance sheet item is due to a financial guarantee, which hedges the market value of an underlying treaty.

In comparison to the previous year, assumptions regarding the calculation of this balance sheet item were the same.

# D.1.10 Deposits other than cash equivalents R0200

#### **Differences in valuation**

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Deposits other than cash equivalents	360,796	357,329

Deposits other than cash equivalents comprise fixed-term deposits. Deposits are valued to 100% at their redemption rate.

The difference between the Solvency II value of these investments and their value stated within the annual accounts pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) totals TEUR 3,467.

The difference is attributable to two effects: on the one hand to different valuations in the amount of TEUR 226, and on the other hand to the different methods of stating accrued interest to an amount of TEUR 3,241. The accrued interest is allocated in accordance with the German Commercial Code (HGB) to deferred / prepaid items, while under Solvency II it is allocated to the respective balance sheet item (dirty value).

## Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Deposits other than cash equivalents	360,796	305,542

Inventories under this balance sheet item are an important instrument used to manage current liquidity at Hannover Rück. The change compared to the previous year was within the typical margin for fluctuation as part of this approach. There were no valuation adjustments during the period under review.



# D.1.11 Other investments R0210

Differences in valuation

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Other investments		187,615

In the Solvency II balance sheet, other investments are to be recognised at their market value. The Solvency II regulations align with IAS 39 (Financial instruments: recognition and valuation). Pursuant to this standard, financial instruments are to be allocated to one of four categories ("Hold until maturity", "Available for disposal", "Held for trading purposes" and "Loans and receivables").

Pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) other investments are valued at their acquisition cost and / or at the lower market value. Investments which are intended to permanently facilitate business operations are valued pursuant to Section 341 b Para 2 of the German Commercial Code (HGB) and in connection with Section 253 Para 3 of the German Commercial Code (HGB) in accordance with the diluted lowest value principle. An assessment regarding the permanence of value adjustments is undertaken on a case-by-case basis.

The value stated in the annual accounts pursuant to commercial law, which stands at TEUR 187,615 comprises accrued interest and rental payments. These are listed in the Solvency II balance sheet in the respective investment item, so that no value is listed under other investments.

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Other investments		

In comparison to the previous year, assumptions regarding the calculation of this balance sheet item were the same.

# D.1.12 Reinsurance recoverables R0270

#### **Differences in valuation**

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Property & Casualty reinsurance	5,139,675	6,092,652
Life & Health reinsurance	303,292	1,177,320
Total	5,442,967	7,269,972

The approach used for the calculation of the reinsurance recoverables under Solvency II is identical to the approach used for the best estimate liability (BEL) calculation. For the retrocessions, separate projections are generated. All future cash flows are projected into the future using the same methods and assumptions as for the inward business. However, the projection period can differ depending on the structure of the retrocession contract. For the reinsurance recoverables, a risk margin is not taken into account, because the risk mitigating effects of the retrocession are taken into account under the position technical provisions. More precisely, under the position technical provisions the risk margin is determined on a net basis, whereas the BEL is given on a gross basis. More details regarding the calculation of the technical provisions are provided in section D.2 (general), section D.2.1 (Property and Casualty) and section D.2.2 (Life and Health).

The business is segmented based on the structure of the reinsurance agreements. A counterparty default adjustment is taken into account.

Under Solvency II, the not due balances of accounts payables and receivables were allocated to reinsurance recoverables according to the updated guidance published by BaFin in January 2019 on the treatment of payables and receivables.

The remaining differences in the valuation approach between Solvency II and HGB are comparable to the differences in the valuation of the best estimate liability, refer to section "D.2.1 Technical Provisions Property & Casualty" subsection "Comparison to HGB-provisions" and section "D.2.2.4 Comparison of the Technical Provision with the HGB Liability" for the Life and Health segment.

## Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Property & Casualty reinsurance	5,139,675	3,026,701
Life & Health reinsurance	303,292	470,528
Total	5,442,967	3,497,229

For Property & Casualty reinsurance, the development of reinsurance recoverables under Solvency II follows corresponding IFRS movements.

For Life & Health reinsurance, the changes in the amount of reinsurance recoverables are due to the different treatment of deposits from reinsurers (refer to section "Deposits to Cedents R0350" for explanation) as well as new retrocession arrangements within the Hannover°Re Group.

# D.1.13 Deposits to cedants R0350

**Differences in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Deposits to cedants	7,177,254	8,752,445

The economic value of the deposits of the asset side is determined as the balance sheet item "Deposits to cedants".

For deposits to cedants, Hannover Rück adopts updated guidance published by BaFin in January 2019 on the treatment of deposits. For the majority of treaties (risk accounted under IFRS / US GAAP), therefore the gross presentation is pursued. For business with very limited risk transfer, Hannover Rück follows the IFRS presentation since the gross presentation (as, e.g., under HGB) would not be in line with the substance over form principle and would misstate the nature and intent of the transactions.

This is a change from previous (more wider netting) practice but it is just of presentational nature (extension of the balance sheet) with no impact on the Solvency II Own Funds. The market value of any "gross" deposits will still be determined on a mark-to-model basis; especially the value of any "fixed investment income over risk free" is part of the value of the deposits.



Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Deposits to cedants	7,177,254	402,513

In comparison to the previous reporting period, the above mentioned changes were implemented. Further changes in the amount of deposits to cedants are due to market value adjustments.

# D.1.14 Insurance and intermediaries receivables R0360

## **Differences in valuation**

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Insurance and intermediaries receivables	732,203	3,657,608

EIOPA differentiates between receivables as follows:

- Receivables from insurance companies and intermediaries: Amounts due from insurance policyholders, other insurance companies or insurance-related companies, which have not been accounted for in the cash flow of technical provisions, in particular payments which are overdue
- Receivables from reinsurers: Amounts due from reinsurers or reinsurance-related companies, which are not considered in the technical provisions

Pursuant to Solvency II receivables from insurance companies and intermediaries are to be valued at the expected present value of future cash flows, i.e. they are to be discounted using the applicable rate of interest pursuant to Solvency II. Furthermore, the counterparty default risk is to be taken into consideration in the valuation. Both are omitted for reasons of simplification.

Receivables from insurers and intermediaries are recognised at their nominal amounts in line with the German Commercial Code (HGB).

Pursuant to the German Commercial Code and / or the Insurance Accounting Decree (RechVersV) no differentiation is made between active reinsurance and retrocession for accounts receivable / payable. The German Commercial Code (HGB) values of this item therefore also comprise the receivables from reinsurers.

The differences in valuation of items R0360 and R0370 are therefore analysed together and amount to TEUR -2,889,152. The differences result from different valuation methods mostly regarding the allocation based on the due date of the receivables.

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Insurance and intermediaries receivables	732,203	2,840,556

Due to the first time application of the BaFin Interpretative Decision on accounts payables and receivables, the presentation of this item changed during the year. Starting with the year under review 2019, only the current balances due are included in the respective items, non-current future balances are part of the contractual cash flows shown within the best estimate of the technical

provisions or reinsurance recoverables. This one-time effect led to a large decrease of the receivables, compared to previous year 2018.

# D.1.15 Reinsurance receivables R0370

**Differences in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Reinsurance receivables	36,253	

Pursuant to Solvency II receivables from reinsurers are to be valued at the expected present value of future cash flows , i.e. they are to be discounted using the applicable rate of interest pursuant to Solvency II. Furthermore, the counter-party default risk is to be taken into consideration in the valuation. Both are omitted for reasons of simplification.

Receivables from reinsurers are recognised at their nominal amounts in line with the German Commercial Code (HGB). Valuation reserves have been formed for default risks.

The differences in valuation are stated in the item "Insurance and intermediaries receivables R0360".

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Reinsurance receivables	36,253	81,013

Compared to the previous period, the presentation of this item changed. Further explanatory information are added in the item "Insurance and intermediaries receivables R0360".

# D.1.16 Receivables (trade, not insurance) R0380

**Differences in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Receivables (trade, not insurance)	453,908	498,694

Pursuant to Solvency II receivables are to be valued at the expected present value of future cash flows i.e. they are to be discounted using the applicable rate of interest pursuant to Solvency II. Furthermore, the counter-party default risk is to be taken into consideration in the valuation. Both are omitted for reasons of simplification.

Receivables are recognised at their nominal amount pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB). Valuation reserves have been formed for default risks.

The difference between the items in the Solvency II balance sheet and in the annual accounts pursuant to commercial law is the result of different re-classifications and a different presentation of tax related aspects of retroceded business in China between Solvency II and HGB and amounts to TEUR -44,786. Tax liabilities and tax assets are netted under Solvency II, whereas in the HGB



financial statement they are shown separately. In the event of equal treatment in both accounting standards, there would be a difference of TEUR 5,753 for this balance sheet item.

Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Receivables (trade, not insurance)	453,908	563,885

Due to receivables from profit absorption, the balance sheet item declined compared with the previous year.

Compared to the previous period, the assumptions regarding the calculation of this item did not change.

# D.1.17 Cash and cash equivalents R0410

Differences in valuation

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Cash and cash equivalents	376,850	376,850

Cash and cash equivalents include deposits, current account balances with banks and cash in hand. Nominal amounts are recognised in accordance with both Solvency II and the German Commercial Code (HGB).

Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Cash and cash equivalents	376,850	388,560

Cash and cash equivalents decreased by TEUR 11,710 during the reporting period.

# D.1.18 Any other assets, not elsewhere shown R0420

**Differences in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Any other assets, not elsewhere shown	82,339	79,826

The balance sheet item "Any other assets, not elsewhere shown" comprises the following items:

- Reinsurance claims stemming from pension obligations
- Other deferred / prepaid items in relation to service contracts, licences and maintenance
- Settlement accounts with representatives of Hannover Rück

Deferred / prepaid items and settlement accounts are recognised at their nominal amount under Solvency II and in accordance with German commercial law.



The reinsurance claims stemming from pension obligations are recognised at their fair value in accordance with German commercial law and under Solvency II. In accordance with the German Commercial Code (HGB) component parts of commitments linked to securities are offset with the corresponding obligations. In accordance with Solvency II these commitments linked to securities are not offset, due to the fact that asset values are guaranteed by a Group company of Talanx (IAS 19).

The difference between the items in the Solvency II balance sheet and in the annual accounts in accordance with HGB predominantly results from the provisions regulating the offsetting of reinsurance claims stemming from pension obligations.

Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Any other assets, not elsewhere shown	82,339	82,349

In comparison to previous year, assumptions for the calculation of this balance sheet item remain unchanged.

# **D.2 Technical Provisions**

The technical provision (TP) under Solvency II is determined as the sum of the best estimate liability (BEL) and the risk margin (RM).

Cash flows are discounted with risk-free rates in line with EIOPA requirements. A matching adjustment is not applied. Furthermore, the risk-free yield curves are not adjusted as set out in Article 308c of the directives 2009/138/EC.

A temporary deduction according to Art. 308d of the directives 2009/138/EC is not applied. Furthermore, the concept of calculating the "TP as a whole" is currently not applied.

The volatility adjustment according to Article 77d of the Directive 2009/138/EC was used for calculating the BEL. For year-end 2019 Hannover Rück has received the approval from BaFin for a dynamic modelling of the volatility adjustment. By this, the effect of the volatility adjustment is captured in the calculation of the required capital more adequately. The following table shows the impact of a non-application of a volatility adjustment on the TP, the Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR), the Minimum Capital Requirement (MCR), the basic own funds and the amounts of own funds eligible to meet the MCR and the SCR.

Even under a non-application of a volatility adjustment, the solvency ratio is still comfortable.

### Impact of non-application of a volatility adjustment

in TEUR	Amount with Long Term Guarantee measures and transitionals	Impact of volatility adjustment set to zero
Technical provisions	27,752,619	320,697
Basic own funds	14,209,126	-363,552
Eligible own funds to meet Solvency Capital Requirement	14,209,126	-363,552
Solvency Capital Requirement	5,505,652	293,640
Eligible own funds to meet Minimum Capital Requirement	12,854,964	-338,637
Minimum Capital Requirement	2,477,543	132,138

For Solvency II purposes, all contracts have to be evaluated over the whole lifetime within the individual contract boundaries (ultimate view). The contract boundary is defined as the future date on which at least one of the following criteria is met:

- The (re)insurance undertaking has an unilateral right to terminate the contract.
- The (re)insurance undertaking has an unilateral right to reject premiums payable under the contract.
- The (re)insurance undertaking has an unilateral right to amend the premiums or benefits payable under the contract in such a way that the premiums fully reflect the risks.

In case no such condition is met, the policies are projected until their natural expiry.

The BEL is shown on a gross basis in the following, i.e. before the reduction of reinsurance recoverables, if not stated otherwise. The RM is shown on a net basis, i.e. reflecting the risk mitigating effect of retrocessions. This is consistent with the methodology used in the Solvency II balance sheet.

# Best Estimate Liability (BEL)

The calculation of the BEL is based on the projection of future cash in- and outflows including premiums, claims, and expenses. Best estimate assumptions are used in the calculation of the BEL. The expenses consist of direct administration expenses and costs of on-going operations.

Cash flows in connection with funds withheld (FWH) – increase, decrease or interest on FWH – of the underlying business are usually no longer netted against the liability cash flows (according to the Interpretative Decision on treatment of funds withheld published by BaFin on 1 January 2019). Any FWH shown as such in the IFRS balance sheet will need to be shown as a FWH in the Solvency II balance sheet. For very risk remote transactions a netted presentation is still proceeded in line with the IFRS presentation. For all other transactions the FWH are grossed up. This is a change from previous (more wider netting) practice but it is just of presentational nature (extension of the balance sheet) with no impact on the Solvency II Own Funds. The quantitative FWH information inclusive a comparison with the previous year is provided in Section "Deposits to cedants R0350" and "Deposits from reinsurers R0770" (in total for property & casualty and life & health reinsurance).

According to the Interpretative Decision on treatment of accounts receivables and account payables published by BaFin on 1 January 2019, the not due balances of accounts payables and receivables were allocated to the best estimates of technical provisions (for assumed business) or reinsurance recoverables (for retroceded business).

For the property & casualty and life & health business, the TP does not include any financial options and guarantees (FOGs).

The projections are done separately for assumed and retroceded business using the same bases, methods and assumptions.

### Risk Margin (RM)

According to Art. 37 (1) DVO, a uniform Cost of Capital (CoC) approach is used for calculating the risk margin.

The CoC factor is 6%. The required capital is the SCR under Solvency II according to Hannover Rück's internal model. The allocation of the SCR to the lines of business reflects the

contribution to the SCR (Art. 37). The allocated SCR contributions are projected to future periods using appropriate risk drivers for each line of business.

Diversification between the Property & Casualty and Life & Health reinsurance business group within Hannover Rück is taken into account.

# D.2.1 Technical provisions Property & Casualty

## **D.2.1.1 Value of technical provisions**

Technical provisions of property and casualty reinsurance, split by lines of business in  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{TEUR}}$ 

Line of business	BEL	RM	TP	TP HGB	Difference SII and HGB
General liability insurance	2,866,306	68,357	2,934,664	4,016,417	-1,081,753
Workers' compensation insurance	167,062	4,447	171,508	243,779	-72,270
Income protection insurance	251,952	4,452	256,404	337,868	-81,464
Fire and other damage to property insurance	3,565,732	75,352	3,641,084	4,577,154	-936,070
Motor vehicle liability insurance	1,009,402	27,894	1,037,296	1,690,992	-653,696
Credit and suretyship insurance	1,331,867	23,173	1,355,041	1,727,196	-372,155
Marine, aviation, transport	1,008,578	21,339	1,029,916	1,421,096	-391,180
Other motor insurance	941,292	20,170	961,461	1,034,301	-72,840
Other insurance	195,696	5,508	201,204	308,426	-107,222
Non-proportional health reinsurance	1,175,969	28,486	1,204,456	1,865,374	-660,919
Non-proportional property reinsurance	2,340,842	62,014	2,402,856	3,760,137	-1,357,281
Non-proportional marine, aviation and transport	738,495	18,808	757,303	1,364,618	-607,315
Non-proportional casualty reinsurance	5,764,541	137,666	5,902,207	8,319,410	-2,417,204
Total Non-Life Obligation	21,357,734	497,667	21,855,401	30,666,769	-8,811,368

The table above gives an overview of the technical provisions of property and casualty reinsurance.

"Other insurance" comprises the lines of business assistance, legal expenses insurance, medical expense insurance and miscellaneous financial loss.

Compared to 2018 the figures change due to the different treatment of payables receivables and depots see also D.2.

## **D.2.1.2 Valuation of technical provisions**

#### Bases

For the calculation of the BEL under Solvency II the business of the company is split into homogeneous risk groups such that the nature, scale and complexity of the business is adequately taken into account.

In general, there are no deviations regarding the valuation methods between the different lines of business, therefore the valuation methods described in the following paragraphs are valid for all segments of property and casualty reinsurance.

### Methods

The evaluation of the BEL is based on the estimation of future cash flows, including all expected (future) cash in- and outflows related to existing obligations taking into account the time value of money. The BEL is calculated separately with respect to the best estimate premium provisions and the best estimate claims provisions.

The best estimate premium provision relates to claim events occurring after the valuation date and hence considers all loss, premium and cost cash flows relating to unearned incepted business taking into account the respective discount effect.

The best estimate claims provision relates to claim events occurring before the valuation date and hence considers all loss, premium and cost cash flows relating to earned business taking into account the respective discount effect.

The Solvency II calculations to determine all relevant cash flows for premium and claims provision reflect a best estimate projection. The calculation of BEL is based on gross data. Therefore, cash flows for premiums, claims and costs are modelled separately.

For the calculation, a whole-contract-view (with respect to the contractual agreements) is taken into account, i.e. all cash in- and outflows are projected to the economic ultimate within the contract boundaries.

The BEL comprises the sum of the discounted cash flows and is aggregated to the minimum lines of business according to Solvency II requirements.

Proportional non-life reinsurance obligations are mapped on the following lines of business under Solvency II:

- Medical expense insurance
- Income protection insurance
- Workers' compensation insurance
- Motor vehicle liability insurance
- Other motor insurance

- Marine, aviation, transport
- Fire and other damage to property insurance
- General liability insurance
- Credit and suretyship insurance
- Legal expenses insurance
- Assistance
- Miscellaneous financial loss

Non-Proportional non-life reinsurance obligations are allocated on

- Non-proportional health reinsurance
- Non-proportional casualty reinsurance
- Non-proportional marine, aviation and transport
- Non-proportional property reinsurance

## Assumptions

For the calculation of the BEL, development pattern and estimated ultimates are applied on the segments which are used for IFRS reserving. The pattern and the ultimates are determined on runoff triangles using state-of-the-art actuarial methods. The triangles are generated using up-to-date and trustworthy data.

With respect to currencies the cash flows are calculated on a minimum granularity level according to the internal model. The cash flows are discounted using the risk-free interest rates provided by EIOPA and converted to the reporting currency using the exchange rate on the valuation date.

Overall, the described valuation bases, methods and assumptions ensure that the calculation of the BEL is proportionate to the nature, scale and complexity of the underlying risks.

## **Reinsurance Recoverables**

In general, the projection of reinsurance recoverables is undertaken analogously to the principles applied for the calculation of technical (gross) provisions of property and casualty reinsurance.

Reinsurance recoverables are adjusted with regard to the expected loss upon default of the counterparty. This adjustment is determined separately and is based on the valuation of the probability of a default per counterparty over the whole lifetime – whether be it through insolvency or legal dispute – as well as the resulting change in cash flows due to loss per default at the respective time under consideration.

According to the German Commercial Code (HGB) the demandable amounts from reinsurance contracts are calculated on the basis of reinsurance contracts. Valuation reserves have been formed for default risks.

The differences in the valuation apply analogously to the differences in the valuation of the best estimate liability, please refer to chapter "D.2.1.4 Comparison with other provisions".



## D.2.1.3 Level of Uncertainty

The economic valuation of the P&C reserves comprises a certain level of uncertainty. This consists of the uncertainty of the timing of future cash flows, ultimate loss size and retrocessionaire default and is constantly monitored by several assessments.

Besides internal quality assurance and validation work, the actuarial calculations regarding the adequacy of the reserves are also subject to annual quality assurance reviews conducted by external firms of actuaries and auditors.

In the course of the segmentation of the business and the process of assumption setting it is ensured that the economic value of the technical provisions is calculated in a prudent, reliable and objective manner following the indications of Section 75 of the insurance supervision law (VAG). The nature and complexity of the reinsurance business and inherent reserving risks and data uncertainties is taken adequately into account.

For incorporating a default of the retrocessionaires, an expected default adjustment is made, which is related to the particular rating of the counterparty. In addition, to keep the level of uncertainty as small as possible no positive EPIFP is assumed for the P&C business from Hannover Rück.

The risk margin, which is allocated to the different lines of business, can be taken as an indicator for the inherent risk of the business.

The calculation of the risk margin includes uncertainty with respect to the amount of solvency capital requirement and with respect to the projection of the future development of the solvency capital requirement. The solvency capital requirement is calculated using the internal model of the company, which is embedded into the internal control system of the company and is subject to defined validation standards. The assumptions regarding the projection of the future development of the solvency capital requirement are agreed within the company and – as part of the solvency balance sheet – are subject to an external audit of the auditing company.

### **D.2.1.4 Comparison with other provisions**

## **Comparison to HGB-provisions**

This section outlines the reconciliation of the technical provisions from HGB to Solvency II as at 31 December 2019. The following table quantifies the material revaluation effects.

## Major revaluation effects

in TEUR

Description	2019
Technical provisions property and casualty reinsurance net under HGB	24,574,117
Proportion of business that is ceded to reinsurer under HGB	6,092,652
Equalisation reserve	-3,077,368
Discounting of cash flows	-1,651,290
Risk margin	497,667
Other revaluation effects	-1,972,244
Total revaluation effect from HGB to Solvency II	-110,583
Netting of accounts payables and receivables	-2,608,133
Technical provisions property and casualty reinsurance under Solvency II	21,855,401



The valuation methods described above hold for all lines of business of property and casualty reinsurance, the different revaluation effects are not split into the Solvency II lines of business.

Under Solvency II safety loadings are inapplicable due to the 'best estimate' calculating principle, whereas under HGB safety loadings are implicitly included in the technical provisions due to the principle of prudence. Similarly, the equalisation reserve is omitted, which is also a technical provision under HGB to compensate uncertainties.

Instead, a risk margin is build up under Solvency II. The risk margin covers the costs of providing an amount of eligible own funds equal to the Solvency Capital Requirement necessary to support the insurance and reinsurance obligations over their lifetime.

The calculation of the technical provisions under HGB follows the realization principle, which only allows a profit to be reported when a profitable transaction has been legally or at least economically realised. A deferral as with, for example, unearned premiums under HGB is not applicable under Solvency II.

Solvency II technical provisions are calculated as a probability weighted average, whereas under HGB generally only annuity reserves are discounted.

#### Comparison to BEL of last year

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	2019	2018
BEL gross	21,357,734	18,637,680
BEL net	16,218,059	15,610,979
RM	497,667	354,469

Most of the increase of the BEL is due to the effect that the FWH are not netted anymore. The net effect of this change amounts to TEUR 486,685 TEUR (gross TEUR 2,632,269).

The BEL (excluding funds withheld) increases due to declined interest rates, a weaker Euro and increased business volumes.

Beside this, the US casualty development and the decision on the Ogden rate lead to a further increase of the BEL. At the same time, the BEL reduced due to lower future expenses estimates.

# D.2.2 Technical provisions Life & Health

### D.2.2.1 Quantitative information on technical provisions Life & Health

In this section, we provide quantitative information for the life & health business with respect to BEL, RM, TP as well as the statutory liability is provided.

Details with respect to the basis of valuation, the valuation methods and the main assumptions underlying the calculation of the TP are given in Section "D.2.2.2 Valuation of the technical provisions".

Material differences between the TP and the statutory liability are explained in Section D.2.2.4.



The following companies comprise the life & health business of Hannover Rück:

- Hannover Rück: Home Office and Branches of the Hannover Rück (direct written business)
- Hannover Life Reassurance Company of America, Orlando
- Hannover Life Re of Australasia Ltd, Sydney
- Hannover Life Reassurance Africa Ltd, Johannesburg.
- Hannover Re (Ireland) Designated Activity Company, Dublin.

The following table provides an overview of the liabilities of the segments. The index-linked and unit-linked business is contained in the life segment.

# Technical provisions Life & Health per line of business in TEUR

Line of Business	BEL	RM	TP	HGB Liability	Comparison SII and HGB
Life	3,832,125	820,780	4,652,905	8,462,056	-3,809,151
Health	1,041,564	202,749	1,244,313	2,140,366	-896,052
Total	4,873,689	1,023,529	5,897,218	10,602,421	-4,705,203

Details regarding the changes in the treatment of funds withheld (FWH) as well as payables and receivables are provided in Section D.2. The segmentation into the life and health lines of business is slightly different under Solvency II and HGB. A reconciliation from the statutory liability net of reinsurance to the Solvency II TP net of reinsurance is provided in Section D.2.2.4.

## D.2.2.2 Valuation of the technical provisions Life & Health

### Valuation basis

All business is valued employing current best estimate assumptions. If not mentioned otherwise, all explanations provided in the following sections shall apply for both the life and the health segment. The general methodology used for calculating the BEL, RM and TP is described in Section D.2.

For material treaties the BEL is calculated individually per treaty. The calculation is based on weighted model points (paragraph "Valuation Methods") or – if available and material – based on individual policy data. Short-Term treaties are combined in modelling groups. Usually the portfolio development is modelled using appropriate mortality and morbidity tables, respectively, as well as lapse rates. A certain part of the risk premium basis business is modelled based on a loss-ratio based approach.

### Valuation methods

In the following the valuation methods for calculating the TP are described.

Based on weighted model points (e.g. tariff, gender mix, entry age, policy term, reinsurance conditions) and policy data, respectively, as well as assumptions for mortality, morbidity, lapse and relevant interest rate curves, the portfolio development and all resulting reinsurance profit items (i.e. premium, commission, benefits, reserve changes, and interest) are projected into the future.

Assumed and retroceded business is projected separately. Management expenses are allocated to treaties / modelling groups and projected into the future. Usually the BEL is calculated in the respective treaty main currency and using currency specific interest rate curves.

Solvency II admissible simplified methods are not used for calculating the BEL and RM, respectively.

Material assumptions for the Life and health business (excluding longevity business)

Business is written all over the world with a wide range of different policy types, tariffs and mortality / morbidity tables.

For treaties projected individually, the calculation of the BEL is initially based on weighted model points (or even on policy data). The assumptions are monitored when the accounts from the cedants are booked and adjusted, if necessary. The base mortality / morbidity table is usually the original one used in pricing. Also here adjustments are made in case that the actual figures materially differ from the expectation, or if other relevant information becomes available.

For the majority of the business in the US and UK market, specific mortality and morbidity assumptions are derived from the Company's base standard tables and updated regularly.

Lapse rates are set from the original pricing basis of the treaty and adjusted for actual experience where credible data exists and for changes of the internal view of long-term lapse rates.

The reinsurance conditions of the treaty are reflected in the calculation of the BEL.

With exception of mortality business in the US and certain mortality and morbidity business in the UK market, no allowance for future mortality improvement is made.

For smaller treaties modelled in an aggregate manner, more general assumptions are made. Base mortality / morbidity tables are chosen in order to be appropriate for the respective market covered by the modelling group calculation. Reinsurance conditions are representative for the respective modelling group. The assumptions are monitored based on the booked results per modelling group in the past and adjusted if necessary.

For a small portion of the individually modelled business as well as of the business modelled in groups, expected claims are based on claims ratios. I.e. instead of using explicit mortality / morbidity and lapse rates the claims are estimated via a certain proportion of the premium.

Generally, future management actions are only taken into account for the SCR calculation of certain American and Australian business. Therefore they affect only the RM via the SCR (determined with the internal model), but not the best estimate projections. There are some exceptions for our US business, most importantly, the US mortality business. A detailed management action plan ("FMA Plan") has been implemented to address issues with parts of the US mortality portfolio. The expected cash flows from in-force management are reflected in the 2019 TP.

Material assumptions for the longevity business

The calculation of the BEL is based on policy data. Best estimate base mortality assumptions are set on a treaty level. Best estimate mortality improvement assumptions are set either by treaty or by country.

The assumptions are monitored when the accounts from the cedants are booked and adjusted, if necessary, or if other information indicates a need for change. Furthermore, detailed mortality studies are carried out to allow for a comparison between expectation and experience and to adjust if necessary.

Assumptions changes in comparison to the previous reporting period

In the following material assumption changes in comparison to the previous reporting period are explained.

An adjustment of the mortality and lapse assumptions for certain long-term treaties of the UK branch yielded to an increase in BEL.

The mortality improvement assumptions were updated for longevity business in the UK market. Furthermore, the mortality assumptions were adjusted for long-term life business of the HK branch and for certain life and longevity treaties of the UK branch. All effects mentioned in this paragraph caused a decrease in BEL.

### **Reinsurance recoverables**

For all retrocessions to third party reinsurers where the recoverable represents an asset to Hannover Rück, a default adjustment according to their average rating was included.

In total, the reinsurance recoverables are positive (TEUR 303,292), i.e. it is to be seen as an asset for Hannover Rück and reduces the net Solvency II reserves.

The respective statutory reinsurance recoverables amounts to TEUR 1,177,320. Certain revaluation steps between HGB and Solvency II are provided in Section D.2.2.4.The remaining difference is caused by future payments to the retrocessionaires (from financing business or profitable ceded business).

### D.2.2.3 Risk assessment

The main area of uncertainty around the level of the TP relates to a potential deviation of actual experience from the underlying assumptions and the sensitivity of cash flows to changes in those assumptions. The Risk Margin can serve as an indicator of such uncertainty.

The key driver to the overall level of uncertainty comes in the form of the longevity, morbidity and mortality business. This also becomes evident from the capital requirements under Solvency II presented in Section E.2.

The longevity business is also very dependent on the appropriateness of the underlying mortality tables and mortality improvement assumptions in particular due to the long contractual period. While the premiums are known, the expected claim payments are very sensitive to the underlying mortality table, and more importantly in the later years, the mortality improvement that is applied to the underlying table. The underlying mortality assumptions are based on copious amounts of data and experience studies, both internally held and industry accepted. However, a certain level of judgment is involved in assessing the applicability of historical mortality improvement observations for forward-looking purposes. In general, changes in the interest rates have little impact as to the cash flows; however, they can have a material impact on the discounting of the cash flows.

Morbidity risks are another driver of uncertainty in the modelling of business. Relevant morbidity risks are stemming from potential changes of incidence rates for Asian critical illness business as well as Australian disability business.

For the mortality business small changes in the mortality rates can have significant effects on the claim payments. However, for a significant share of the portfolio, this risk is largely mitigated by profit commission arrangements or by limits regarding the retention of the cedant such that changes in mortality rates would change the underlying cash flow pattern but would have a limited impact on the associated BEL. The mortality rates are well grounded from available data. For longer tailed products, in particular in the US and UK market, mortality improvement and expert setting can also play an important role.

Significant mortality risk is stemming from US mortality business. The actual mortality experience for the portfolio in question proved to be better than expected in 2019. Experience continues to be monitored on an ongoing basis.

The valuation of this business reflects the expected cash flows from inforce management activity, most notably rate increases initiated in 2018 pursuant to our contractual rights. Uncertainty results since it is expected that some cedants will seek arbitration proceedings with respect to the implemented rate increases. Based on information currently available to us, we take a favorable view of our legal position.

Changes in lapse rates are material for certain products as well, with a varying level of confidence based on product design and the experience available. The directionality of the lapse effect is dependent on the treaty and type of reinsurance used. In aggregate, an increase in lapse rates would be more adverse in that Hannover Re Group would forgo positive expected future cash flows.

Pandemic risk is a tail risk, i.e. a risk with a low probability of occurrence but a potential high impact. It has no impact on the expected mortality claims used for the calculation of the BEL. However, pandemic risk is one of the key drivers of capital requirements and is therefore allowed for in the Risk Margin.

Financing business is generally not or only moderately exposed to mortality or morbidity risks and thus experiences a low level of uncertainty. Repayment of the outstanding financing amount can diminish on a combination of adverse biometric experience and lapses, but this is accounted for in the Risk Margin. Cedant default risk is also accounted for in the Risk Margin.

### D.2.2.4 Comparison of the technical provision with the HGB liability

In the following, a reconciliation between HGB liability and TP is provided. The reconciliation steps are explained below this table. The figures are net of reinsurance recoverables.



# Reconciliation from HGB to Solvency II in TEUR

Reconciliation Step	Explanation	2019
(1)	Technical HGB liability net of reinsurance	9,425,101
(2)	Risk Margin	1,023,529
(3)	Deposit cash flows for very risk remote transactions are inlcluded in TP under Solvency II	-2,177,888
(4)	Further differences in methods / assumptions	-2,351,092
(5)	Netting of accounts payables and receivables	-325,724
(6)=(1)++(5)	Solvency II TP net of reinsurance	5,593,926

In the following, the sources of the differences in methods and assumptions are described.

(4a) The calculation of the BEL includes all future cash flows. For profitable business, this means including future profits. In contrast, the HGB liability does not allow for future profits according to the realization principle in connection with the prudence principle.

(4b) For cash financing business, the repayment of the initial commission is included in the BEL, but not allowed to take into account for statutory valuation purposes.

(4c) The BEL reflects current best estimate assumptions (e.g., regarding mortality and lapse), whereas the statutory assumptions are based on the prudence principle.

(4d) The BEL (and the RM) are discounted with current risk free interest rates, whereas the statutory liabilities are calculated using valuation interest rates.

(4e) For some treaties the Solvency II contract boundaries differ from the contract boundaries under statutory.

# D.3 Other Liabilities

# D.3.1 Contingent liabilities R0740

Difference in valuation

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Contingent liabilities	1,777	

A contingent liability is a possible obligation arising from past events and whose existence will be confirmed only by the occurrence or non-occurrence of one or more uncertain future events. Obligations are to be reported as contingent liabilities if the probability of occurrence is less than 50% (IAS 37).

Contingent liabilities in Solvency II balance sheet are recognised according to criteria set out in Art. 11 of Delegated Regulation (EU) 2015/35. Accordingly, material contingent liabilities are to be reported if the information could influence the decision-making or judgement of the intended user of that information.

Pursuant to Section 251 and Section 268 Para 7 of the German Commercial Code (HGB), contingent liabilities have to be reported in the notes of the balance sheet.

Under Solvency II legislation, an expectancy value is recognized. This results in a difference of TEUR 1,777.

Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Contingent liabilities	1,777	3,334

In comparison to the previous year, assumptions regarding the calculation of this balance sheet item remained unchanged.

# D.3.2 Provisions other than technical provisions R0750

**Difference in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Provisions other than technical provisions	120,670	127,418

The following items are listed in the Solvency II balance sheet under non-technical provisions:

- Provisions for outstanding remuneration payments
- Provision for interest pursuant to § 233a AO (Fiscal Code)
- Provision for loss transfer
- Provisions for annual accounts costs
- Provisions for suppliers' invoices
- Provisions for costs of legal action
- Provision for partial retirement.

In the Solvency II balance sheet, the fair value calculated pursuant to the regulations stipulated by IAS 37 is applied.

In accordance with commercial law, other provisions are formed according to the necessary settlement value dictated by sound business judgement.

The difference in the Solvency II balance sheet and in the annual accounts pursuant to commercial law to the amount of TEUR -6,748 is the result of differing valuation approaches and a different definition respectively.

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Provisions other than technical provisions	120,670	109,312

In comparison to the previous year, assumptions regarding the calculation of this balance sheet item were the same.



# D.3.3 Pension benefit obligations R0760

Difference in valuation

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Pension benefit obligations	145,397	107,586

In the Solvency II balance sheet, the valuation of pension payment obligations is made analogously to the valuation pursuant to IAS 19 "Employee Benefits" using the Projected Unit Credit Method, which is described in Chapter "D4. Alternative methods for valuation".

The commitments to employees in Germany predominantly comprise benefit obligations financed by Hannover Rück. A large proportion of obligations are based on defined benefit obligations.

The provisions for pensions in Germany and abroad were calculated on the basis of uniform standards according to prevailing economic circumstances.

Pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) pension payment obligations are set in principle according to the necessary settlement value based on sound business judgement. They are discounted using the average interest rate of the previous ten years and with an assumed residual maturity of 15 years, as published by the German Central Bank (Deutsche Bundesbank) pursuant to the Regulation on the Discounting of Provisions (RückAbzinsVO). The pension payment obligations are calculated using the Projected Unit Credit Method. The salary trend, pension trend and performance adjustment due to profit participation by reinsurers are taken into account. Probabilities of fluctuation are calculated separately depending on age and gender.

With employee-financed pension commitments, the amount of which is defined exclusively by the fair value of the receivables reinsurance cover (financed by employer) a valuation is made pursuant to Section 253 Para 1 Sentence 3 of the German Commercial Code (HGB). For these commitments, the settlement value corresponds to the fair value of the actuarial reserve plus profit participation.

The difference between the valuation bases found in the Solvency II balance sheet and in the annual accounts according to commercial law totalling TEUR 37,811 is particularly attributable to the different interest rates applied for discounting.

Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Pension benefit obligations	145,397	131,375

In comparison to the previous year, assumptions regarding the calculation of this balance sheet item remained unchanged.

# D.3.4 Deposits from reinsurers R0770

**Difference in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Deposits from reinsurers	3,141,498	3,203,822

The deposits from reinsurers are determined analogously to the deposits to cedents. The respective methodology is described in section "Deposits to Cedents R0350".

Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Deposits from reinsurers	3,141,498	498,542

In comparison to the previous reporting period, changes as explained in section "Deposits to Cedents R0350" were implemented. Further changes in the amount of deposits from reinsurers under Solvency II are due to changes in exchange rates and in the underlying business.

# D.3.5 Deferred tax liabilities R0780

Difference in valuation

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Deferred tax liabilities	2,315,659	-

The calculation of deferred taxes under Solvency II is carried out in accordance with Art. 15 of the Delegated Regulation. Deferred taxes are recognized and measured for all assets and liabilities, including technical provisions.

In the Solvency II balance sheet, a deferred tax asset totalling TEUR 129,622 is stated as well as a deferred tax liability to the amount of TEUR 2,315,659. This subsequently leads to a liability surplus, of which the calculation in principle is executed in two steps.

The first step involves the calculation of deferred taxes on the basis of valuation differences between the IFRS balance sheet and the tax balance sheet, within the scope of generating the IFRS balance sheet for the consolidated financial statement of the Hannover Re Group. Here, deferred tax assets or liabilities are recognised pursuant to IAS 12 (Income taxes) as well as on an intra-year basis pursuant to IAS 34 (Interim financial reporting). Deferred tax assets or liabilities are generated, insofar as asset or liability items in the IFRS balance sheet are to be recognised at lower or higher amounts than those in the tax balance sheet, and that these differences will invert in future (temporary differences). Temporary differences principally result from valuation differences between a tax balance sheet generated in line with national standards, and both the IFRS balance sheet and consolidation procedures.

Deferred tax assets are also calculated based on tax loss carry forwards and tax credits. Insofar as the deferred taxes relate to items, which are recognised directly in shareholders' equity, the resulting deferred taxes are also directly recognised in shareholders' equity. Value adjustments are made in relation to deferred tax assets as soon as the realisation of the deferred tax assets appears to be no longer probable in future. Deferred taxes are valued using the ratified rates of tax in the respective country, which apply and / or have been decreed as at the reporting due date.

The second step involves the calculation of deferred taxes on the basis of valuation differences between the Solvency II balance sheet and the IFRS balance sheet. According to Guideline 9 of the EIOPA guidelines, no discounting is applied in the valuation of deferred taxes in the Solvency II balance sheet.



The result of these two steps is the generation of deferred taxes on the basis of valuation differences between the tax balance sheet and the Solvency II balance sheet.

With existing differences between the commercial and tax valuation for assets, liabilities and deferred / prepaid items, which are projected to invert in subsequent financial years, this can onbalance result in a tax relief being stated as a deferred tax asset, or a tax burden being stated as a mandatory deferred tax liability in the trade balance.

In the annual accounts of Hannover Rück, in line with the commercial code, no deferred tax liabilities are stated due to the fact that, on balance, an asset surplus exists and the right to capitalisation is not exercised.

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Deferred tax liabilities	2,315,659	2,037,426

The development of deferred tax liabilities is attributable to changes in underwriting balance sheet items and capital investments. For more detailed explanatory notes please consult the respective chapters.

## D.3.6 Derivatives R0790

#### **Difference in valuation**

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Derivatives	17,477	-

Recognition and valuation of obligations pertaining to derivatives are described in "Derivatives R0190".

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Derivatives	17,477	19,902

In comparison to the previous year, the assumptions regarding the calculation of this balance sheet item did not change.

## D.3.7 Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions R0810

#### **Difference in valuation**

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions	1,389,468	1,321,056

Liabilities are to be valued using the expected present value of future cash flows pursuant to Solvency II. For reasons of materiality, no discounting is applied.



Liabilities are recognised at their fulfilment amounts in line with commercial law.

The difference between the items in the Solvency II balance sheet and in the annual accounts pursuant to commercial law is in total TEUR 68,412. Of this amount, TEUR 60,390 relate to valuation differences of a senior bond issued in the financial year 2018. The bond was issued by Hannover Rück with a total notional amount of TEUR 750,000. The remaining amount of TEUR 8,022 relates to valuation differences of loans with Group companies as well as recognition differences of lease liabilities. The reason for the difference in lease liabilities is that these are not shown in the balance sheet under the German Commercial Code (HGB).

## Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions	1,389,468	1,032,056

The increase in the value in the year under review is TEUR 357,412 and results predominantly from an increase in loans with Group companies.

With IFRS 16, a new accounting standard for leasing came into force in the reporting period. In order to avoid a balance-neutral representation of leasing relationships in the future, both a right of use and the associated liability have to be reported. Exceptions are leasing agreements with a maximum total term of twelve months as well as agreements of minor value. As a result of the accounting standard, almost all lease liabilities are now shown in the balance sheet. The effects of IFRS 16 are directly evident in the changes in financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions.

In comparison to the previous year, the remaining assumptions regarding the calculation of this balance sheet item did not change.

# D.3.8 Insurance & intermediaries payable R0820

#### Difference in valuation

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Insurance & intermediaries payables	452,982	

EIOPA differentiates between payables as follows:

- payables to insurance companies and intermediaries: Amounts due from insurance policyholders, other insurance companies or insurance-related companies, which have not been accounted for in the cash flow of technical provisions from reinsurance, in particular payments which are overdue
- payables to reinsurers: Amounts due from reinsurers or reinsurance-related companies, which are not registered in the underwriting provisions / demandable amounts from reinsurance.

Pursuant to the German Commercial Code and / or the Insurance Accounting Decree (RechVersV) no differentiation is made between active reinsurance and retrocession for accounts receivable / payable. The German Commercial Code (HGB) values of the payables are summed under the item

"Reinsurance payables R0830". For this reason, the differences in valuation for both items are described jointly in the explanations for R0830.

Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Insurance & intermediaries payables	452,982	593,723

Compared to the previous period, the presentation of this item changed. Further explanatory information are described in the item "Reinsurance payables R0830".

# D.3.9 Reinsurance payables R0830

**Difference in valuation** 

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Reinsurance payables	415,965	1,185,478

Liabilities are to be valued using the expected present value of future cash flows pursuant to Solvency II. The predominant part of the payables to reinsurers is not discounted for reasons of materiality.

Liabilities are recognised at their fulfilment amounts in line with the commercial code.

The differences in valuation of items R0820 and R0830 amount to TEUR -316,531 and result from different valuation methods.

## Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Reinsurance payables	415,965	675,812

Due to the first time application of the BaFin Interpretative Decision on accounts payables and receivables, the presentation of this item changed during the year. Starting with the year under review 2019, only the current balances due are included in the respective items, non-current future balances are part of the contractual cash flows shown within the best estimate of the technical provisions or reinsurance recoverables. Doing that, a distinction is made between reinsurance payables and insurance and intermediaries payables.

# D.3.10 Payables (trade, not insurance) R0840

### **Difference in valuation**

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Payables (trade, not insurance)	127,321	172,643

Liabilities are to be valued using the expected present value of future cash flows pursuant to Solvency II. For reasons of materiality no discounting is applied.


Liabilities are recognised at their fulfilment amounts in line with the commercial code.

The difference between the items in the Solvency II balance sheet and in the annual accounts pursuant to commercial law to the amount of TEUR -45,322 is the result of re-classifications and a different presentation of tax related aspects of retroceded business in China between Solvency II and German GAAP. Tax liabilities and tax assets are netted under Solvency II, whereas in the HGB financial statement they are shown separately. In the event of equal treatment in both accounting standards, there would be a difference of TEUR 5,218 for this balance sheet item.

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Payables (trade, not insurance)	127,321	192,720

In comparison to the previous year, the assumptions regarding the calculation of this balance sheet item did not change.

The decrease in the year under review is mainly due to a reduction in income tax liabilities.

#### D.3.11 Subordinated liabilities R0850

#### Difference in valuation

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Subordinated liabilities	2,376,550	2,250,000

Subordinated loans can be classified under Solvency II as subordinated own funds, which belong to basic own funds. Subordinated loans represent financial contractual obligations, which are subordinate to all other loan payables and obligations. The creditors have subordinated rights in comparison to all other debt capital providers. In particular in the event of insolvency, the subordinated capital possesses subordinated claims vis-à-vis other debt capital.

The economic valuation for the Solvency II balance sheet can be derived from the fair value approach pursuant to IAS 39; here, adjustments due to changes in the company's own creditworthiness are not accounted for in Solvency II.

An overview of the individual components of the subordinated loans under Solvency II is represented in Chapter "E.1.3.5 Subordinated own funds".

Payables – including those which are subordinate – are to be recognised pursuant to Solvency II at the expected present value of future cash flows; they are principally subject to discounting. Pursuant to commercial law, payables are recognised at their fulfilment amounts and are not discounted. This results in a difference between the items in the Solvency II balance sheet and in the annual accounts pursuant to commercial law to the amount of TEUR 126,550.

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Subordinated liabilities	2,376,550	1,643,131

In the reporting period, Hannover Rück issued subordinated debt in the amount of TEUR 750,000. The development compared with the previous year is principally attributable to this change in the portfolio. General interest rate volatility also led to a change in the portfolio value.

The underlying valuation method did not change compared to the previous year.

#### D.3.12 Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown R0880

#### Difference in valuation

Values as of 31.12.2019 in TEUR	Solvency II	HGB
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	83,625	86,312

Liabilities are to be valued using the expected present value of future cash flows pursuant to Solvency II. For reasons of materiality, no discounting is applied.

Liabilities are recognised at their fulfilment amounts in line with the commercial code.

The difference between the items in the Solvency II balance sheet and in the annual accounts pursuant to commercial law to the amount of TEUR -2,687 is the result of re-classifications.

#### Comparison to prior year

in TEUR	Solvency II 2019	Solvency II 2018
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	83,625	27,357

In comparison to the previous year, the assumptions regarding the calculation of this balance sheet item did not change.

In the year under review, the development of this balance sheet item is based on the recognition of securities lending except for liabilities to tax authorities. Those are shown in the balance sheet item "Payables, (trade, not insurance)".

### D.4 Alternative methods for valuation

Valuation principles are applied pursuant to Solvency II. In addition to the general valuation principles the following valuation hierarchy is applied to the recognition and valuation of assets and other liabilities.

- Stock exchange prices observed on active markets are utilised as part of the standard valuation method. The use of stock exchange prices should be based on the criteria stipulated for an active market, which are defined in the International Accounting Standards (IAS).
- 2. If no stock exchange prices in active markets are available for the assets and liabilities to be valued, stock exchange prices from similar assets and liabilities are used. Adjustments are made in order to reflect the differences.
- 3. In instances where the criteria for the use of stock exchange prices are not fulfilled, alternative valuation methods are utilised (different methods to those described in

number 2). If alternative valuation methods are used these should be – to the greatest extent possible – based on market data, and should contain – to the least extent possible – company-specific influencing factors.

Hannover Rück uses alternative valuation methods for some balance sheet items, which are subsequently described in more detail:

#### D.4.1 Gross Rental Method

The gross rental method is applied above all to developed real estate, the ownership of which serves to generate a sustainable income stream, i.e. above and beyond the residual useful life. The gross rental method concerns an indirect sales comparison approach due to the use of the property rate derived from comparative purchase prices.

#### D.4.2 Projected Unit Credit Method

This method is applied for calculating pension payment obligations. It is calculated according to actuarial principles and is based on the commitments made by Hannover Rück to retirement, invalid and widowed pensions. The commitments are aligned with the duration of company tenure and the level of salary. This exclusively concerns performance-related pension plans (Defined Benefit Plans). The basis of the valuation is the estimated future salary development of those eligible for a pension. The discounting of benefit entitlements is made by applying the capital market interest rate for the highest rated securities. So-called planned assets do not exist.

#### D.4.3 Market value determination for assets which are not listed on a stock exchange

For the calculation of market values for assets which are not listed on a stock exchange, or whose relevant markets are deemed to be inactive at the point in time of valuation (please also refer to Section D "Assessment of active markets"), we use the following valuation models and methods as an alternative. They represent the standard and recognised methods used for the respective assets, and are used in order to be able to determine a market price in spite of the absence of available valuations from active markets.



Financial instruments	Parameters	Valuation models / methods	
Unlisted plain-vanilla bonds, interest rate swaps	Interest rate curves	Present value method	
Unlisted, structured bonds	Interest rate curve, volatility surfaces	Hull-White, Black-Karasinski, Libor Market Model among others	
Unlisted CDO / CLO	Risk premiums, default rates, prepayment speed and recovery rates	Present value method	
Unlisted equities and participations	Acquisition costs, cash flows, EBIT multiples, book value as applicable	Capitalised earnings method, discounted cash flow method, multiples-based approaches	
Unlisted fixed income, equity and real estate funds	Audited net asset values (NAV)	Net asset value method	
Currency forwards	Interest rate curves, spot and forward rates	Interest rate parity model	
Insurance derivatives	Market values, actuarial parameters, interest rate curve	Present value method	

The major proportion of inventories valued using alternative valuation methods is valued on the basis of the present value method. This is a predominantly assumption-free method, with which the future cash flows of securities are discounted with the use of suitable interest rate curves. These curves are derived from appropriate market data observed on publicly accessible markets. Broadly speaking, this procedure is premised on the assumption generally accepted in the market that price differences for comparable securities listed in transparent markets with regard to risk, term and creditworthiness are predominantly the result of issuance-specific characteristics and lower liquidity, and are thus deemed immaterial with regard to their influence on market value.

Specific assumptions are made in the valuation of CLOs. They relate to prepayment rates and retrieval rates. The prepayment rate describes the scope available for the instrument to repay to the bearer parts of the outstanding nominal amount before maturity. The retrieval rate is the proportion of the nominal amount repaid to the bearer subsequent to proceedings triggered by a potential default. Both parameters are estimated with an industry-standard fixed value. They do, however, have a comparably limited influence on the valuation. The significant valuation parameters here are either directly observable market data, or are derived there from.

If particular structures are embedded into the security such as, for example, termination rights, further valuation models are also utilised such as, for example, the Hull-White Model or the Libor Market Model. The models calculate, for example, the probability of termination rights being exercised with the help of swaption volatilities. No noteworthy assumptions are utilised here either.

The use of models includes different model risks, which can lead to a degree of valuation uncertainty:

- Modelling risk (appropriateness and suitability of the model)
- Data quality risk (incomplete or obsolete data for the model calibration or parameterisation)
- Risk pertaining to the validity of assumptions and estimations.
- Risks in the model implementation



Through a process of regular validation in which a systematic, quantitative and qualitative assessment of the appropriateness of valuation models and methods is undertaken, model risks can be limited. Furthermore, the model results (for items which are predominantly valued using alternative valuation methods) are continuously subject to plausibility checks as part of daily quality assurance processes.

### D.5 Any other information

Other information which has a significant influence on the valuation for solvency purposes are contingent liabilities and other financial obligations with a residual term longer than five years.

Hannover Rück placed two subordinated bonds in the European capital market via its subsidiary Hannover Finance (Luxembourg) S.A. The bonds from the years 2010 and 2012 each have a nominal volume of TEUR 500,000. The bonds benefit of a guarantee on a subordinated basis of Hannover Rück.

Hannover Rück uses pledges for the purposes of collateralising its underwriting obligations against cedants in the form of letters of credit (LoC), which have been issued by various banks. The overall volume amounts to TEUR 2,359,704. The letters of credit concluded by Hannover Rück protect both Hannover Rück directly and also its subsidiaries.

Hannover Rück is obligated under certain circumstances to defend and uphold the rights and obligations of its subsidiaries against third parties, due to novation clauses in reinsurance contracts. The subsidiaries have formed reserves totalling TEUR 5,049,278. During the financial year, the issuance of letters of comfort was waived.

Hannover Rück has submitted guarantees for affiliate companies against third parties totalling TUSD 5,996,400. Additionally guarantees are submitted totalling TGBP 10,000. The term of guarantees is determined by the secured obligations held by affiliate companies. Hannover Rück receives guarantee commissions for this. Furthermore, financial obligations against affiliate companies exist amounting to TUSD 300,000 in total and payment obligations against subsidiaries in South Africa resulting from written primary insurance and reinsurance business.

Hannover Rück receives collateral from its retrocessionaires for the safeguarding of receivables from retroceded business. The provision of collateral by the retrocessionaires takes places in the form of letters of credit (LoCs) and deposits among other forms. For the majority of our retrocessionaires we also function as reinsurer, meaning that in most cases recoverables can potentially be set off against our own liabilities.

Hannover Rück has residual payment obligations totalling TEUR 405,136 for special investments and shares in affiliate companies.

## E. Capital Management

This section presents the main elements of Hannover Rück's capital management.

### E.1 Own Funds

#### E.1.1 Management of own funds

Hannover Rück aims to maintain a capitalisation of at least 180% under Solvency II. In addition, a threshold of 200% is defined. Own funds are managed in such a way that the minimum capitalisation is not undercut in the planning. This is achieved through coordinated planning and management of all own funds components, dividend payments and the risk profile.

The capital management process contains a classification of all own funds components with regard to the Solvency II tiering specifications, with regard to basic and ancillary own funds and an assessment of the availability of the different own funds components.

In general, it is our objective that our hybrid capital instruments correspond with tier 2 category requirements. The timing of each issue takes into account the current market conditions and our medium-term growth objectives. In case of a required replacement of a subordinated bond, the detailed replacement planning process normally begins a year before the regular call date.

Hannover Rück's economic capital model is used for the evaluation of both the quantitatively measurable individual risks and also the overall risk position. The assumptions and calculation methods for the determination of the risk-bearing capacity of the company are recorded in the documentation of the risk model and in regular reports.

### E.1.2 Tiering

The classification of own funds with regard to their ability to cover losses represents a central component of regulatory capital requirements pursuant to Solvency II. The individual components of the own funds will be classified into one of three quality classes ("tiers").

Own fund items classified under tier 1 possess the highest degree of quality, due to the fact that they are permanently available. They equalise verifiably unexpected losses, both during ongoing business operations and in the event of a company liquidation. Tier 2 refers to basic own funds items and ancillary own funds items which possess the ability to equalise losses incurred in the event of a company liquidation. Own fund items, which are not categorised under tier 1 or tier 2, are categorised under tier 3. Tier 3 capital comprises deferred tax assets in accordance with Art. 76 of Delegate Regulation 2015/35. Deferred tax assets and liabilities against territorial authorities are offset and, in the case of a net receivable, reported as an own funds item. In the year under review, Hannover Re reported deferred net tax assets against Canada, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and the Republic of India.

#### E.1.3 Basic own funds

The following table displays the composition of basic own funds held by Hannover Rück as of 31. December 2019.

#### Structure of basic own funds

in TEUR	2019	2018
Tier 1 unrestricted	11,812,933	10,717,073
Ordinary share capital	120,597	120,597
Share premium account	880,608	880,608
Reconciliation reserve	10,811,728	9,715,868
Tier 1 restricted	546,522	538,136
Subordinated own funds	546,522	538,136
Tier 2	1,830,027	1,104,995
Subordinated own funds	1,830,027	1,104,995
Tier 3	19,643	45,612
An amount equal to the value of net deferred tax assets	19,643	45,612
Total	14,209,126	12,405,816

The individual quality classes are subject to legal limitations in their ability to absorb losses. Against this background, available basic own funds items cannot completely be used to cover Hannover Rück's overall risk position. The proportion of basic own funds that can be called upon to cover the overall risk position pursuant to the SCR and MCR is designated as eligible own funds in the following section.

The change in basic own funds is a result of the increasing reconciliation reserve, the issue of a subordinated bond in the period under review, the change in the value of existing subordinated capital and a reduction of the net deferred tax assets position.

The reconciliation reserve results from a change in excess of assets over liabilities and – compared to the previous year – change in foreseeable dividend. All changes in individual balance sheet items are explained in section D and together result in a change of excess of assets over liabilities.

#### Available and eligible own funds

in TEUR	2019	2018
Total available own funds	14,209,126	12,405,816
Total eligible own funds to meet SCR	14,209,126	12,405,816
Total eligible own funds to meet MCR	12,854,964	11,699,890

#### E.1.3.1 Reconciliation from HGB shareholders' capital to Solvency II own funds

The transition from HGB shareholders' capital to Solvency II own funds is presented in the table below.

in TEUR	2019	2018
Shareholders' capital (HGB)	5,258,716	4,467,716
Dividend	-663,284	-633,135
Differences in values and valuations Solvency II to HGB:	11,799,731	10,421,593
Equalisation reserve	3,077,368	2,866,807
Deferred acquisition costs and other intangible assets	-61,751	-65,655
Land, buildings and equipment	32,214	20,866
Shares / investments in affiliates and participations	3,149,909	2,576,935
Fixed-interest securities and other investments	1,172,912	518,360
Assets and liabilities from reinsurance business	4,526,710	4,522,125
Miscellaneous non-technical assets and liabilities	-97,631	-17,846
Deferred taxes on tax differences between Solvency II and HGB	-2,186,037	-1,850,359
Available own funds (Solvency II)	14,209,126	12,405,816

#### E.1.3.2 Ordinary share capital

Ordinary capital of Hannover Rück stands at TEUR 120,597 at date of balance. The shares have been paid up in full. The share capital is divided into 120,597,134 no-par value registered shares which carry both voting and dividend rights. Every share grants the same right to vote and same dividend entitlement. As at the balance sheet date no treasury shares were held by the company.

During the reporting period, no new shares were issued.

The share capital paid in and the corresponding share premium in the capital reserve form the own funds bearing the highest degree of quality, which can be relied upon to equalise losses in the course of business operations.

#### E.1.3.3 Share premium account

The share premium in relation to the share capital of Hannover Rück stands at TEUR 880,608 at date of balance.

The capital reserve is a separate item to which premiums, the amount between the value attained at the point in time of issuance and the value recorded in the share capital, are transferred in accordance with national statutory provisions.

#### E.1.3.4 Reconciliation reserve

The reconciliation reserve pursuant to Solvency II represents an item of basic own funds attributable (in unlimited capacity) to category tier 1. It primarily comprises the excess of assets

over liabilities, adjusted by the ordinary capital, the share premium and shareholder dividend payouts.

At the balance sheet date, the reconciliation reserve was TEUR 10,811,728. The reconciliation increased by TEUR 1,095,860 during the reporting period.

The reconciliation reserve represents reserves (in particular retained earnings) less value adjustments (e.g. ring-fenced funds); it does, moreover, harmonise the differences between the accounting valuation pursuant to the German Commercial Code (HGB) and the valuation pursuant to the Directive 2009/138/EC.

#### E.1.3.5 Subordinated own funds

Hannover Rück held a subordinated debt and two subordinated loans in its portfolio at the balance sheet date, which fulfil the criteria stipulated under Solvency II pertaining to subordinated liabilities, and which thus can be categorised under basic own funds.

#### Subordinated own funds

in TEUR	2019	2018
Subordinated debt	1,279,030	538,136
Subordinated loans	1,097,520	1,104,995
Total	2,376,550	1,643,131

In the reporting period, a new subordinated bond was issued. The issue took place on 9 October 2019. The nominal value is TEUR 750,000 and the bond is clarified as tier 2.

In addition, further subordinated liabilities with equity character exist as of the reporting date:

On 15 September 2014 Hannover Rück raised a subordinated debt with a nominal value of TEUR 500,000 from capital markets. This debt is classified under Solvency II as "Grandfathered restricted tier 1" own funds for a transitional period of a maximum of 10 years.

Hannover Finance (Luxembourg) S.A. raised two subordinated debts with a nominal value totalling TEUR 1,000,000 on the capital markets in 2010 and 2012, and subsequently granted loans to Hannover Rück. These loans are classified under Solvency II as (grandfathered) tier 2 own funds of Hannover Rück.

#### E.1.4 Transferability

In the period under consideration, no issues were identified that restrict the transferability of the capital for the covering of the solvency capital requirements.

### E.2 Solvency Capital Requirement and Minimum Capital Requirement

#### E.2.1 Solvency Capital Requirement per Risk Category

This chapter deals with the Solvency Capital Requirement and its sources. The risk categories of the internal model of Hannover Rück are defined in Chapter E.4.1.4. Capital requirements per risk category are shown in the following.

Hannover Rück is the legal entity heading Hannover Re Group. It holds a number of participations which are included into management applications in a look-through manner, i.e. based on the underlying risk and return profile. Look-through means that the underlying risks are analysed instead of purely looking at the change of the value of the participations. In particular, participations are not analysed as strategic equity investments – as e.g. per Solvency II standard formula.



Solvency Capital Requirement – per risk category in EUR million

# Solvency Capital Requirement (SCR) in TEUR

Solvency Capital Requirement 2019 2018 4,221,301 Underwriting risk - Property & Casualty 3,633,720 Underwriting risk - Life & Health 2,732,988 2,206,374 3,943,049 3,649,419 Market risk Counterparty default risk 419,990 308,132 Operational risk 520.355 562.623 Diversification -4,235,781 -3,530,805 Total risk (pre-tax) 7,601,902 6,829,463 2,096,250 Deferred tax 1,888,571 Total risk (post-tax) 5,505,652 4,940,892

The required capital has been calculated based on the approved internal model. Since year-end 2018, Hannover Rück applies the volatility adjustment according to § 82 VAG. This is intended to mitigate the effect of value fluctuations on the bond market. For year-end 2019, Hannover Rück has received the approval from BaFin for a dynamic modelling of the volatility adjustment. By this the effect of the volatility adjustment is captured in the calculation of the required capital more adequately.

The model is subject to strict internal quality checks and extensive validation. Moreover, the continuous model supervision has not revealed any material limitations in the determination of capital requirements so far. In particular, there are no capital add-ons imposed by the regulator.

Overall, the required capital increased in the course of the year. This was driven principally by the larger business volumes, which have led to an increase in market risks and underwriting risks. In addition, the weaker euro compared to our main currencies contributes to a rise in volumes denominated in foreign currencies and an increase in all risk categories, as does the lower level of interest rates.

The increase in market risk mainly reflects the larger volume of assets under own management mainly due to cash inflows and declined interest rates. In addition, we hold higher volumes of private equity and participations. Further factors are an increased duration and slightly riskier investment in fixed-income securities. An opposing effect results from the first time application of the dynamic volatility adjustment, which leads to a decrease in the spread risk.

The underwriting risks in property and casualty reinsurance increased primarily as a consequence of higher premium and reserve levels as well as larger underwriting capacities for natural perils. The increased volumes are the result of interest rate and exchange rate effects along with business growth as well as the expenditure of large losses and the associated higher reserves. Moreover, in the area of catastrophe risks the modelling approach used for cyber risks was refined, leading to an increase in required capital.

The underwriting risks in life and health reinsurance increased primarily as a consequence of the business growth in the area of longevity and morbidity risks as well as due to declined interest rates. In addition, adjustments made in the calibration of mortality risks gave rise to an increase in capital requirements.

The increase in counterparty default risks can be attributed to a higher volume of receivables due from ceding companies and retrocessionaires as well as changes in credit ratings.

The decrease in operational risks can be attributed above all to an updated expert assessment regarding the impact of individual scenarios.

The loss-absorbing effect of taxes remained stable. The slight increase in the diversification effect is a consequence of the diversified business growth and the associated risk structure.

The following table displays the Solvency Capital Requirement and the ratio of eligible own funds to SCR taking into account tiering restrictions.

#### Ratio of eligible own funds to Solvency Capital Requirement

in TEUR	2019	2018
Eligible own funds	14,209,126	12,405,816
SCR	5,505,652	4,940,892
Ratio of eligible own funds to SCR	258%	251%



#### E.2.2 Minimum Capital Requirement

The following table displays the Minimum Capital Requirement and the ratio of eligible own funds to MCR taking into account tiering restrictions.

#### Ratio of eligible own funds to Minimum Capital Requirement

in TEUR	2019	2018
Eligible own funds	12,854,964	11,699,890
MCR	2,477,543	2,223,401
Ratio of eligible own funds to MCR	519%	<b>526%</b>

The MCR increases due to the higher SCR (reasons are given above). The reason is the upper cap of the MCR to 45% of SCR.

# E.3 Use of the duration-based equity risk sub-module in the calculation of the Solvency Capital Requirement

Germany did make no use of the option to allow the use of a duration-based equity risk sub-module.

Consequently, Hannover Rück does not use a duration-based equity risk sub-module in the calculation of the Solvency Capital Requirement.

### E.4 Differences between the standard formula and any internal model used

#### E.4.1 The internal model

Hannover Rück received approval from the regulatory authorities to calculate its solvency requirements using a partial internal capital model with effect from the entry into force of Solvency II on 1 January 2016. The capital requirements for underwriting risk P&C and L&H, market risk and counterparty default risk are determined according to the internal model, the capital requirements for operational risks were calculated according to the Solvency II standard formula. In March 2018, Hannover Rück additionally received permission from the Federal Financial Supervisory Authority (BaFin) to calculate the operational risk using the internal model retroactively from year-end reporting 2017 onwards and thus has a full internal model.

This section provides further information regarding the internal capital model.

#### E.4.1.1 Introduction

The quantitative risk management of Hannover Rück provides a standardised framework for the assessment and management of all risks facing our undertaking and capital position. In this context, the internal model is our key instrument. It is a stochastic enterprise model, covering all subsidiaries and business areas of Hannover Rück.

The central key figure in risk and company management is the economic capital, which is evaluated according to market-consistent valuation principles and the basis for calculation of the Solvency II capital.



The internal model of Hannover Rück reflects all risks influencing the development of the economic capital. These risks are classified into underwriting, market, counterparty default and operational risks. For each of these risk categories, we have determined a series of risk factors for which we define a probability distribution. Risk factors are, as for instance, economic indicators, like interest rates, exchange rates and inflation rates, as well as insurance-specific indicators such as the mortality rates in a specific age group of our insurance portfolio in a certain country, or the number of natural disasters in a certain region and the insured loss per disaster.

We use publicly accessible and historical data to specify the probability distributions of risk factors. In addition, we use industry specific and internal (re-)insurance data of Hannover Rück. The judgement of internal and external experts supplements this process. The suitability of probability distributions is subject to regular review by our specialist departments and verified in conjunction with the regular company-wide application of the capital model and allocation of costs of capital. Hannover Rück calculates the required capital using the Value at Risk (VaR) reflecting the changes in economic value over a period of one year with a confidence level of 99.97%. This is equivalent to the target to limit the ruin probability over a horizon of one year to 0.03%. The internal target capitalisation of Hannover Rück is significantly larger than that to a confidence level of 99.5% as required by Solvency II.

The internal capital model uses state of the art techniques of insurance and financial mathematics. In case of underwriting risks, we draw on a comprehensive history of internal data to estimate probability distributions, e.g., for reserving risk. In the context of natural catastrophe risks, we use external models that we adjusted in the course of detailed internal reviews to represent our risk profile adequately. For Life and Health reinsurance we determine long-term cash flows for different scenarios. The determination of scenarios and probability distributions is based on internal data for all mentioned risks. The internal data base is enriched with parameters set by experts. These parameters are of importance in particular in the area of extreme events that have not been observed by now.

The aggregation of single risks takes into account dependencies between risk factors. Dependencies arise, e.g., during financial crises, which affect several market segments at the same time. Furthermore, market phenomena such as pricing cycles can cause dependencies over time. We generally assume that extreme events do not occur all simultaneously. The absence of complete dependency is denoted as diversification. Hannover Rück's business model is based i.a. on establishing a preferably well-balanced portfolio such that a significant diversification effect is achieved and the capital can be used efficiently. Diversification effects exist between reinsurance contracts, divisions, business segments and risks. Given the capital needs of our business segments, divisions and on their contribution to the diversification effect, we determine the costs of capital that have to be achieved per single business units.

#### E.4.1.2 Basic principles

A key purpose of the capital model of Hannover Rück relates to the calculation of the required and available capital for Hannover Rück. The principles outlined below are the manifestation of Hannover Rück's risk capacity and how it is consistently measured within a quantitative framework.

- Target variable: Our main target variable for the calculation of risk based capital is the deviation of the net asset value (or own funds) from its expected value.
- Time horizon: For calculating the required capital a one year time horizon is considered.
- Risk measure: We use two statistics to measure and allocate risk capital, namely the Valueat-Risk (VaR) and the Expected Shortfall (ES).

- Ongoing business operations: We operate on the premise of existing business and a goingconcern assumption.
- New business assumptions: We consider one year of new business. This assumption holds for all lines of business.
- Stochastic simulation: The capital model of Hannover Rück is based on stochastic simulations, i.e. we generate discrete approximations for the probability distribution of our target variables.
- Consolidation method: The capital model of Hannover Rück comprises all business units by using the consolidation method. Deduction and aggregation as defined under Solvency II as an alternative method is not applied.

The capital model uses a stochastic simulation model for the purposes of implementing these principles, which combines random variables using the company-specific dependency structure.

#### E.4.1.3 Main applications

Hannover Rück considers its internal capital model a key component of its enterprise risk management system to analyse its overall risk position, to quantify risks and to determine the economic capital required to meet those risks.

Main applications are:

- Analysis of the financial position
- Assessment of the overall required capital and monitoring of key risk metrics
- Capital consumption by each risk category
- Capital allocation for pricing and performance measurement
- Risk budgeting, limit allocation and monitoring
- Strategic asset allocation
- Assessment of risk mitigation strategies
- Assessment of new business

#### E.4.1.4 Scope of the model

Hannover Rück's risk landscape comprises the main risk categories underwriting risks (life and non-life), market risks, counterparty default risks, operational risks and other risks (see chapter "C. Risk Profile").

The risk categories addressed by the internal model of Hannover Rück using a quantitative model are the categories underwriting risk life, underwriting risk non-life, market risk, counterparty default risk and operational risk. These risks and their interactions are accounted for in the presentation of target variables through the application of stochastic simulation models. Concentration risk is taken into account in the calculations of required capital for each risk category.

Hannover Rück is the legal entity heading Hannover Re Group. It holds a number of participations, which are included into management applications in a look-through manner, i.e. based on the underlying risk and return profile. Regarding the structure of Hannover Re Group see chapter "A.1.4 Group structure".

# E.4.2 Calculation techniques for the purposes of integrating results into the standard formula

With the approval of the internal model for operational risk, Hannover Rück uses a full internal model. In consequence, there are no results of standard formula modules which have to be integrated in the internal model.

#### E.4.2.1 Type and suitability of data

Hannover Rück has a comprehensive internal control system in place to ensure quality and timeliness of data. The specific data used in the internal model is documented in the data requirements for the different modules and interfaces. All data used in the internal model is subject to the data standards for the internal model. This set-up is appropriate to provide for timely data that is free of material errors.

Hannover Rück utilises the relevant historical company data, in order to calibrate the model – above all for the underwriting risk. Generally speaking, company data relating to insurance performance within non-life is available for more than 30 years. This is deemed sufficiently historical information. However, due to the particular characteristics of early underwriting years, e.g. low premium volume, changing business segmentation or non-representative market segments, only portions of this data are used as part of the internal model calibration.

Internal company data, above all for the model validation, is used for underwriting risk pertaining to life and health insurance, due to the fact that only a limited number of significant (and thus rare) deviations are available that are suitable for the calibration of extreme events.

Long-term market data is used for the calibration of the market and counterparty risk model.

The operational risk model is based on information retrieved from a self-assessment process with experts from all relevant units and departments. Wherever possible available data and additional information are used. Given the limited history of operational risk events as well as the low frequency and high severity character of some operational risks, Hannover Rück is convinced that input parameters for the SCR calculation cannot be solely derived by quantitative methods.

In general, Hannover Rück relies on data that is used in other business applications, too, as often as appropriate to ensure consistent use of information within the company. Examples are the technical provisions which are calculated as part of the Solvency II balance sheet process and data items used in the accounting process under IFRS, thereby providing an anchor to other established reporting processes. Thus, many data items are subject to multiple quality checks and internal as well as external review.

#### E.4.3 Comparison between the internal model and the standard formula

The standard formula is designed to fit a typical European (or EEA) primary insurer. As a consequence, mainly European data has been used to calibrate the standard formula.

There are many aspects which make Hannover Rück quite different from a typical European primary insurer, in particular, its access to global diversification across regions, markets, cedents and all lines of business. The difference in diversification is the driving force of differences between

the standard formula and the internal model for life, health and non-life underwriting risk. It also has some influence on counterparty and market risk.

The standard formula offers a detailed module for the quantification of EU natural catastrophe risk. Due to its focus it does offer a very broad, premium-based approximation for non-EU and non-proportional natural catastrophe risk, only. Hannover Rück assumes more than 70% of its natural catastrophe risk outside the EU and thus has a detailed internal model for such risks.

The standard formula is designed for a single primary insurer and thus has no module to recognise diversification between different primary insurers. The latter is an important feature of Hannover Rück's internal model and founded on Hannover Rück's internal data analysis.

The standard formula allows for appropriate recognition of some but not all reinsurance structures. For example multi-line covers are not fully effective. The internal model is able to recognise all retrocession structures currently implemented by Hannover Rück.

Technically, the internal model is a stochastic approach while the standard formula is a factorbased (deterministic) approach. The concept for underlying risk factors is in many areas similar, e.g. for market and counterparty risk, but in general more detailed in Hannover Rück's internal model. Hannover Rück's internal model allows for bottom-up, non-linear dependency structures within and between market, underwriting, operational and counterparty risk.

### E.5 Non-compliance with the Minimum Capital Requirement and noncompliance with the Solvency Capital Requirement

Both solvency and minimum capital requirements – with and without application of the volatility adjustment - were complied with at all times during the period under consideration.

### E.6 Any other information

Other information that has a significant influence on capital management is not available.



### Abbreviations and glossary

Advanced Solutions: Structured and tailor-made reinsurance solutions to assist our clients with their capital management, provide solvency relief or protection against strain of frequency losses.

- **AF:** Actuarial function
- BaFin: Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht, Federal Financial Supervisory Authority
- **BEL:** Best Estimate Liability
- **CDO:** Collateralised Debt Obligation
- **CEO:** Chief Executive Officer
- **CFO:** Chief Financial Officer
- **CLO:** Collateralised Loan Obligation
- **CMS:** Compliance Management System
- **EBIT:** Earnings before interest and taxes
- **EEA:** European Economic Area
- **EIOPA:** European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority
- **EPIFP:** Expected Profit included in Future Premiums
- E+S Rück: E+S Rückversicherung AG, Hannover
- FWH: Funds withheld
- GA: Group Auditing, internal audit of the Hannvor Re Group
- GLS: Group Legal Services, legal division of the Hannover Re Group
- Hannover Rück: Hannover Rück SE, Hannover, Germany
- HDI: HDI Haftpflichtverband der Deutschen Industrie V.a.G., Hannover, Germany
- HGB: Handelsgesetzbuch, German Commercial Code
- Home Office: The expression "Home Office" comprises Hannover Rück and E+S Rück.
- IAS: International Accounting Standard
- ICS: Internal Control System
- **IFRS:** International Financial Reporting Standards
- L&H: Life and Health
- MCR: Minimum Capital Requirement
- **ORSA:** Own Risk and Solvency Assessment



**P&C:** Property and Casualty

**QRT:** Quantitative Reporting Template

**RechVersV:** Verordnung über die Rechnungslegung von Versicherungsunternehmen (Versicherungsunternehmens-Rechnungslegungsverordnung), Insurance accounting regulation

**Risk appetite:** Indicates how much risk a company is willing to take to achieve the company's goals. The risk appetite is an important part of the risk strategy.

**RM:** Risk margin

**RMF:** Risk Management Function

**SCR:** Solvency Capital Requirement

SII: Solvency II

Talanx: Talanx AG, Hannover

**TP:** Technical provisions

**US GAAP:** United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

**VAG:** Gesetz über die Beaufsichtigung der Versicherungsunternehmen (Versicherungsaufsichtsgesetz), Insurance Supervision Act

VaR: Value-at-Risk

WHO: World Health Organisation

hannover re<sup>®</sup>

### **Quantitative Reporting Templates**

All values are shown in TEUR if not otherwise stated.

Values below TEUR 0.5 are displayed as "0". Empty cells represent the fact that Hannover Rück has no value to state.

Additional disclosure according to Art. 192 (2) of the Delegated Regulation 2015/35

Hannover Rück has collateral arrangements with a total value well below 60% of total assets. The threshold of 60% is defined in Art. 192 (2) of the Delegated Regulation 2015/35. This information is relevant to calculate the counterparty default risk with respect to Hannover Rück in the Solvency II standard formula.

#### S.02.01.02: Balance sheet

S.02.01.02: Balance sheet, page 1		Solvency II
Assets		C0010
Intangible assets	R0030	
Deferred tax assets	R0040	129,622
Pension benefit surplus	R0050	
Property, plant & equipment held for own use	R0060	65,431
Investments (other than assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts)	R0070	36,340,042
Property (other than for own use)	R0080	15,638
Holdings in related undertakings, including participations	R0090	10,949,630
Equities	R0100	5,193
Equities - listed	R0110	5,193
Equities - unlisted	R0120	0
Bonds	R0130	23,067,654
Government Bonds	R0140	12,581,914
Corporate Bonds	R0150	9,808,831
Structured notes	R0160	155,640
Collateralised securities	R0170	521,268
Collective Investments Undertakings	R0180	1,888,266
Derivatives	R0190	52,864
Deposits other than cash equivalents	R0200	360,796
Other investments	R0210	
Assets held for index-linked and unit-linked contracts	R0220	
Loans and mortgages	R0230	
Loans on policies	R0240	
Loans and mortgages to individuals	R0250	
Other loans and mortgages	R0260	
Reinsurance recoverables from:	R0270	5,442,967
Non-life and health similar to non-life	R0280	5,139,675
Non-life excluding health	R0290	4,808,009
Health similar to non-life	R0300	331,666
Life and health similar to life, excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked	R0310	303,292
Health similar to life	R0320	279,779
Life excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked	R0330	23,513
Life index-linked and unit-linked	R0340	
Deposits to cedants	R0350	7,177,254
Insurance and intermediaries receivables	R0360	732,203
Reinsurance receivables	R0370	36,253
Receivables (trade, not insurance)	R0380	453,908
Own shares (held directly)	R0390	
Amounts due in respect of own fund items or initial fund called up but not yet paid in	R0400	
Cash and cash equivalents	R0410	376,850
Any other assets, not elsewhere shown	R0420	82,339
Total assets	R0500	50,836,868

Liabilities C0010   Technical provisions – non-life R0510 21,855,401   Technical provisions calculated as a whole R0530 21,855,401   Best Estimate R0540 19,732,113   Risk margin R0550 459,396   Technical provisions calculated as a whole R0550 459,396   Technical provisions calculated as a whole R0570 1,625,620   Risk margin R0580 1,625,620   Risk margin R0590 38,272   Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked) R0600 5,600,637   Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked) R0610 1,244,313   Technical provisions calculated as a whole R0620 4,356,324   Best Estimate R0620 1,041,564   Risk margin R0640 202,749   Technical provisions calculated as a whole R0660 3,536,483   Best Estimate R0670 3,536,483   Risk margin R0660 1,041,564   Technical provisions calculated as a whole R0700 3	S.02.01.02: Balance sheet, page 2		Solvency II
Technical provisions - non-life (excluding health)R052020,191,509Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0530R0530Best EstimateR054019,732,113Risk marginR0550459,396Technical provisions - health (similar to non-life)R05601,663,892Technical provisions - calculated as a wholeR057020,217Best EstimateR05801,625,620Risk marginR05605,600,637Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)R06101,224,313Technical provisions - health (similar to life)R06101,244,313Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R06201,041,564Risk marginR06301,041,564202,749Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R06603,536,483Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R0660819,841Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linkedR0690296,581Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linkedR0690296,581Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linkedR07002939Dest EstimateR0710295,6423,536,483Technical provisions - lindex-linked and unit-linkedR0760145,397Technical provisions - lindex-linked and unit-linkedR0760145,397Technical provisions - lindex-linked and unit-linkedR0760145,397Technical provisions - lindex-linked and unit-linked	Liabilities		C0010
Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0530Best EstimateR0540Risk marginR0550Technical provisions - health (similar to non-life)R05601,663,892Technical provisions calculated as a wholeBest EstimateR0550Risk marginR0550Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)R0600Technical provisions - health (similar to life)R0600Technical provisions - health (similar to life)R0600Technical provisions - health (similar to life)R0600Best EstimateR0620Rest EstimateR06301,041,564R0640Rost Provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R0660Best EstimateR0660Best EstimateR0660Best EstimateR0660Risk marginR0660Best EstimateR0670Assk marginR0680Best EstimateR0670Best EstimateR0670Best EstimateR0710Provisions - index-linked and unit-linkedR0680Best EstimateR0720939Contingent liabilitiesRotrol Provisions calculated as a wholeR0720Best EstimateR0720Provisions calculated as a wholeR0720Best EstimateR0720939Contingent liabilitiesRotrol Provisions calculated as a wholeR0720Best EstimateR0720Rotrol Provisions calculated as a wholeR0720Best Estim		R0510	21,855,401
Best EstimateR054019,732,113Risk marginR0550459,386Technical provisions - health (similar to non-life)R05501.663,892Technical provisions - local provisions calculated as a wholeR0570R0550Best EstimateR05801.625,620Risk marginR05801.625,620Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)R06101,244,313Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR06201.041,564Best EstimateR06301.041,564202,749Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0640202,749Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R06604.366,324Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R06604.366,324Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linkedR06603.536,483Risk marginR06608.99,8412.95,681Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linkedR06502.96,581Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linkedR07009.39Dest EstimateR07102.95,6429.35Risk marginR07209.391.24,339Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR07009.35Dest EstimateR07001.45,3971.20,670Best EstimateR07001.45,3971.20,670Roston benefit obligationsR07601.45,3971.38,488Deferred tax liabilitiesR07801.389,4681.389,468			20,191,509
Risk margin R0550 459,396   Technical provisions - health (similar to non-life) R0560 1,663,892   Technical provisions calculated as a whole R0570 1   Best Estimate R0580 1,625,620   Risk margin R0650 38,272   Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked) R0610 5,600,637   Technical provisions - lealth (similar to life) R0610 1,244,313   Technical provisions calculated as a whole R0620 1,041,564   Best Estimate R0640 202,749   Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked) R0640 202,749   Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked) R0640 202,749   Technical provisions - lidex-linked and unit-linked R0650 436,334   Risk margin R0670 3,536,483   Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linked R0650 296,581   Technical provisions calculated as a whole R0700 295,642   Risk margin R0740 1,777   Provisions other than technical provis	Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0530	
Technical provisions - health (similar to non-life)R05601,663,892Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR05701,625,620Best EstimateR059038,272Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)R06101,625,620Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)R06101,244,313Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR06201,041,564Best EstimateR06301,041,564Risk marginR06504,356,324Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R0650Best EstimateR0660202,749Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R0660Best EstimateR06703,536,483Risk marginR0680819,841Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0670Best EstimateR0710296,581Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0700Best EstimateR0710295,642Risk marginR0720939Contingent liabilitiesR0750120,670Provisions other than technical provisionsR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR07103,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659Reinsurance payablesR08101,389,468Insurance a intermediaries payablesR0820452,982Reinsurance payablesR08502,376,550Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0860	Best Estimate		19,732,113
Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0570Best EstimateR05801,625,620Risk marginR069038,272Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)R06101,244,313Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR06201,244,313Best EstimateR06301,041,564Risk marginR0650202,749Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R06504,356,324Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R06504,356,324Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R06504,356,324Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R06504,356,324Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R06504,356,324Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linkedR06703,536,483Risk marginR06703,536,483819,841Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0710296,581Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0710295,642Risk marginR0720933206Dest EstimateR0710295,642Risk marginR0760145,397Provisions other than technical provisionsR0760145,397Deposits from reinsurersR07703,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR07901,349,468Insurance aint			
Best EstimateR05801,625,620Risk marginR059038,272Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)R06101,244,313Technical provisions - health (similar to life)R06101,244,313Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR06201,041,564Best EstimateR0640202,749Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R0640202,749Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R06504,356,324Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R0660819,841Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linkedR0660819,841Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR07008849,841Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0700939Contingent liabilitiesR0710295,642Risk marginR0720939Contingent liabilitiesR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0750145,397Defored tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR07802,315,659DerivativesR07801,389,468Rusurance & intermediaries payablesR08101,389,468Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR08101,389,468Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR08502,376,550Subordinated liabilitiesR08502,376,550Payables (trade, not insur			1,663,892
Risk marginR059038,272Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)R06005,600,637Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR06201,244,313Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR06201,041,564Best EstimateR06301,041,564Risk marginR06504,356,324Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R0650Best EstimateR06604,356,324Technical provisions - lide (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R0660Best EstimateR06703,536,483Risk marginR0680819,841Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linkedR0690Pest EstimateR0710296,581Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linkedR0700Best EstimateR0710295,642Risk marginR0720939Contingent liabilitiesR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0760145,397Deposits from reinsurersR07703,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR08001,349,468Insurance & intermediaries payablesR08101,389,468Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820452,982Reinsurance & intermediaries payablesR0860127,321Subordinated liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR0860Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0860127,321Subordinated liabilities other than debts owed to credit instituti			
Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)R06005,600,637Technical provisions - health (similar to life)R06101,244,313Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0620Best EstimateR0630Technical provisions - life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R0650Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0650Best EstimateR0660Best EstimateR0660Best EstimateR0660Best EstimateR0670Jacks marginR0680Technical provisions - index-linked and unit-linkedR0680Best EstimateR0700Best EstimateR0700Contingent liabilitiesR0710Provisions on calculated as a wholeR0700Best EstimateR0710Provisions on the than technical provisionsR0740Provisions other than technical provisionsR0760Pension benefit obligationsR0760Defored tax liabilitiesR0780DerivativesR0780Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0880Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0880Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0880Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0860Subordinated liabilities not elsewhere shownR0880Subordinated liabilities not elsewhere shownR0880Subordinated liabilities not elsewhere shownR0890Subordinated liabilitiesR0800Subordinated liabilitiesR0800Subordinated liabiliti	Best Estimate	R0580	1,625,620
Technical provisions - health (similar to life)R06101,244,313Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0620Best EstimateR0630Risk marginR0640202,749Technical provisions – life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R0650Best EstimateR0660Best EstimateR0660Best EstimateR0660Best EstimateR0660Best EstimateR0660Best EstimateR0670Best EstimateR0690296,581Technical provisions calculated as a wholeBest EstimateR0710Best EstimateR0710Best EstimateR0710Porvisions calculated as a wholeR0710Best EstimateR0710Porvisions calculated as a wholeR0710Porvisions calculated as a wholeR0710Post EstimateR0710295,642R0720Risk marginR0730Provisions other than technical provisionsR0760145,397Deposits from reinsurersDeposits from reinsurersR0760Deferred tax liabilitiesR0780Deferred tax liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR0810Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR0820Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR0820Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR0860Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0860	Risk margin	R0590	38,272
Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0620Best EstimateR0630Risk marginR0650Technical provisions – life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R0650Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0660Best EstimateR0670Risk marginR0680Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linkedR0680Best EstimateR0670Start MarginR0680Rotical provisions – index-linked and unit-linkedR0680Best EstimateR0710Penchical provisions – index-linked and unit-linkedR0680Best EstimateR0710Penchical provisions – index-linked and unit-linkedR0690Best EstimateR0710Pencincal provisions calculated as a wholeR0710Best EstimateR0710Pencincal provisions of a wholeR0710Definitions other than technical provisionsR0750Pension benefit obligationsR0750Defored tax liabilitiesR0780PerivativesR0790DerivativesR0800Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsFinancial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR08101,389,468R0820R0820Reinsurance a payablesReinsurance bayablesR0840Roise A intermediaries payablesRoise A intermediaries payablesRoise A intermediaries payablesRoise A intermediaries payablesRoise A intermediaries payablesR	Technical provisions - life (excluding index-linked and unit-linked)	R0600	5,600,637
Best EstimateR06301,041,564Risk marginR0640202,749Technical provisions – life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R06504,356,324Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR066080660Best EstimateR06703,536,483Risk marginR0680819,841Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linkedR0690296,581Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR070080700Best EstimateR0710295,64280700Risk marginR0720939939Contingent liabilitiesR07401,777Provisions other than technical provisionsR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0760145,397Deposits from reinsurersR0760145,397DebrivativesR07802,315,659DerivativesR080017,477Detis owed to credit institutionsR08101,389,468Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0830415,965Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840127,321Subordinated liabilities on in Basic Own FundsR08602,376,550Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR088083,625Any other liabilities in Basic Own FundsR088083,625Total liabilities in Basic Own FundsR088083,625Total liabilities in Basic Own FundsR088083,625Total liabilities in Basic Own FundsR088083,625Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own Funds	Technical provisions - health (similar to life)	R0610	1,244,313
Risk marginR0640202,749Technical provisions – life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R06504,356,324Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0660819,841Best EstimateR0680819,841Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linkedR0690296,581Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0710295,642Best EstimateR0720939Contingent liabilitiesR07401,777Provisions other than technical provisionsR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0760145,397Deforred tax liabilitiesR07703,141,498Defired tax liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR0800Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR0820452,982R0820452,982Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840127,321Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR08602,376,550Subordinated liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,824,007Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,841,007	Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0620	
Technical provisions – life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)R06504,356,324Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR066080660Best EstimateR06703,536,483Risk marginR0680819,841Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linkedR0690296,581Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0700295,642Best EstimateR0710295,6423,303Contingent liabilitiesR0720939Contingent liabilitiesR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0750120,670Defored tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR07802,315,659DerivativesR080017,477Debts owed to credit institutionsR08001,389,468Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820452,982Reinsurance payablesR0830415,965Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840127,321Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR08502,376,550Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR08502,376,550Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR080083,625Total liabilitiesR080083,625Total liabilitiesR080083,625	Best Estimate	R0630	1,041,564
Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0660Best EstimateR06703,536,483Risk marginR0680819,841Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linkedR0690296,581Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR07008000Best EstimateR0710295,642Risk marginR0720939Contingent liabilitiesR0720939Contingent liabilitiesR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0750120,670Deposits from reinsurersR07703,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR07802,315,659DerivativesR080011,389,468Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820452,982Reinsurance payablesR0830415,965Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840127,321Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR08702,376,550Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR083083,625Total liabilitiesR083083,625	Risk margin	R0640	202,749
Best EstimateR06703,536,483Risk marginR0680819,841Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linkedR0690296,581Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR07008090Best EstimateR0710295,642Risk marginR0720939Contingent liabilitiesR0720939Contingent liabilitiesR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0760145,397Deposits from reinsurersR07703,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR07802,315,659DerivativesR07801,389,468Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0800452,982Reinsurance payablesR0830415,965Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840127,321Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0800R0800Subordinated liabilities, not elsewhere shownR0800R0800Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR080083,625Total liabilitiesR080083,625	Technical provisions – life (excluding health and index-linked and unit-linked)	R0650	4,356,324
Risk marginR0680819,841Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linkedR0690296,581Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0700295,642Best EstimateR0710295,642Risk marginR07401,777Provisions other than technical provisionsR07401,777Provisions other than technical provisionsR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0760145,397Deposits from reinsurersR07703,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR079017,477Debts owed to credit institutionsR08101,389,468Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820452,982Reinsurance payablesR0830415,965Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840127,321Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR08702,376,550Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,625Total liabilitiesR088083,625	Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0660	
Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linkedR0690296,581Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0700R0710295,642Best EstimateR0710295,642939Contingent liabilitiesR0720939Contingent liabilitiesR07401,777Provisions other than technical provisionsR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0760145,397Deposits from reinsurersR07703,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR079017,477Debts owed to credit institutionsR08001,389,468Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820452,982Reinsurance payablesR0840127,321Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR08602,376,550Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR08702,376,550Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Best Estimate	R0670	3,536,483
Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0700Best EstimateR0710295,642Risk marginR0720939Contingent liabilitiesR07401,777Provisions other than technical provisionsR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0760145,397Deposits from reinsurersR07703,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR079017,477Debts owed to credit institutionsR08001389,468Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820452,982Reinsurance payablesR0840127,321Subordinated liabilities on tin Basic Own FundsR0860127,321Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR08702,376,550Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,625Total liabilitiesR088083,625	Risk margin	R0680	819,841
Technical provisions calculated as a wholeR0700Best EstimateR0710295,642Risk marginR0720939Contingent liabilitiesR07401,777Provisions other than technical provisionsR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0760145,397Deposits from reinsurersR07703,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR079017,477Debts owed to credit institutionsR08001389,468Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820452,982Reinsurance payablesR0840127,321Subordinated liabilities on tin Basic Own FundsR0860127,321Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR08702,376,550Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,625Total liabilitiesR088083,625	Technical provisions – index-linked and unit-linked	R0690	296,581
Risk marginR0720939Contingent liabilitiesR07401,777Provisions other than technical provisionsR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0760145,397Deposits from reinsurersR07703,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR079017,477Debts owed to credit institutionsR08001,389,468Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR0820452,982Reinsurance & intermediaries payablesR0830415,965Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840127,321Subordinated liabilitiesR08502,376,550Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR0860R0870Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007		R0700	
Contingent liabilitiesR07401,777Provisions other than technical provisionsR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0760145,397Deposits from reinsurersR07703,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR079017,477Debts owed to credit institutionsR08001,389,468Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820452,982Reinsurance payablesR0830415,965Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840127,321Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR08602,376,550Subordinated liabilities, not elsewhere shownR08702,376,550Any other liabilitiesR08702,376,550Subordinated liabilitiesR08702,376,550Any other liabilitiesR08702,376,550Subordinated liabilitiesR08702,376,550Any other liabilitiesR088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Best Estimate	R0710	295,642
Provisions other than technical provisionsR0750120,670Pension benefit obligationsR0760145,397Deposits from reinsurersR07703,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR079017,477Debts owed to credit institutionsR0800Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR0810Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820A52,982Reinsurance payablesR0840127,321Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0860Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR0870Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR0880R088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Risk margin	R0720	939
Pension benefit obligationsR0760145,397Deposits from reinsurersR07703,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR079017,477Debts owed to credit institutionsR0800Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR0810Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820At5,965R0830Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0850Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR0870Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR0880R088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Contingent liabilities	R0740	1,777
Deposits from reinsurersR07703,141,498Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR079017,477Debts owed to credit institutionsR0800Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR0810Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820Reinsurance payablesR0830Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0860Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR0870Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR0880R088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Provisions other than technical provisions	R0750	120,670
Deferred tax liabilitiesR07802,315,659DerivativesR079017,477Debts owed to credit institutionsR0800Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR0810Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820Reinsurance payablesR0830Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0860Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0870Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR0880R088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Pension benefit obligations	R0760	145,397
DerivativesR079017,477Debts owed to credit institutionsR0800Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR0810Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820Reinsurance payablesR0830Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0860Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR0870Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR0880R088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Deposits from reinsurers	R0770	3,141,498
Debts owed to credit institutionsR0800Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR0810Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820Reinsurance payablesR0830Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840Subordinated liabilitiesR0850Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0860Subordinated liabilities, not elsewhere shownR0870R088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Deferred tax liabilities	R0780	2,315,659
Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutionsR08101,389,468Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820452,982Reinsurance payablesR0830415,965Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840127,321Subordinated liabilitiesR08502,376,550Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0860100Subordinated liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Derivatives	R0790	17,477
Insurance & intermediaries payablesR0820452,982Reinsurance payablesR0830415,965Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840127,321Subordinated liabilitiesR08502,376,550Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR08601000Subordinated liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007		R0800	
Reinsurance payablesR0830415,965Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840127,321Subordinated liabilitiesR08502,376,550Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR08601000Subordinated liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Financial liabilities other than debts owed to credit institutions	R0810	1,389,468
Payables (trade, not insurance)R0840127,321Subordinated liabilitiesR08502,376,550Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0860Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR08702,376,550Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Insurance & intermediaries payables	R0820	452,982
Subordinated liabilitiesR08502,376,550Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR08600Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR08702,376,550Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Reinsurance payables	R0830	415,965
Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own FundsR0860Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR0870Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR0880R088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Payables (trade, not insurance)	R0840	127,321
Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own FundsR08702,376,550Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Subordinated liabilities	R0850	2,376,550
Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shownR088083,625Total liabilitiesR090038,341,007	Subordinated liabilities not in Basic Own Funds	R0860	
Total liabilities R0900 38,341,007	Subordinated liabilities in Basic Own Funds		2,376,550
	Any other liabilities, not elsewhere shown	R0880	83,625
Excess of assets over liabilities R1000 12,495,861	Total liabilities	R0900	38,341,007
	Excess of assets over liabilities	<b>R1000</b>	12,495,861

### S.05.01.02: Premiums, claims and expenses by line of business ("Cover")

S.05.01.02: Cover, page 1			Ľ				and reinsuran portional reins		5	
								Fire and		
				Workers'	Motor		Marine.	other		
		Medical	Income	compen-	vehicle		aviation and	damage to	General	Credit and
		expense	protection	sation	liability	Other motor	transport	property	liability	suretyship
	_	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance
	-	C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070	C0080	C0090
Premiums written										
Gross - Direct Business	R0110									
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0120	11,777	186,593	99,855	1,055,481	1,508,462	487,942	3,646,817	1,390,452	841,734
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0130									
Reinsurers' share	R0140	312	29,900	52,997	399,443	639,146	321,817	1,793,914	426,672	235,798
Net	R0200	11,465	156,693	46,858	656,039	869,316	166,125	1,852,903	963,780	605,937
Premiums earned										
Gross - Direct Business	R0210									
Gross - Proportional	R0220	8,509	191,482	101,150	1,051,350	1,484,770	495,824	3,412,383	1,197,777	840,223
reinsurance accepted	R0220	8,309	191,402	101,150	1,051,550	1,404,770	490,024	3,412,303	1,197,777	040,223
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0230									
Reinsurers' share	R0240	-1,293	31,394	53,929	397,427	620,944	328,381	1,711,926	375,246	233,335
Net	R0300	9,802	160,088	47,221	653,923	863,825	167,444	1,700,457	822,531	606,889
Claims incurred										
Gross - Direct Business	R0310									
Gross - Proportional	R0320	7,662	105,225	65,631	754,061	1,030,145	465,197	2,552,271	855,503	482,933
reinsurance accepted	1(0520	7,002	103,223	03,031	734,001	1,030,143	403,197	2,332,271	055,505	402,933
Gross - Non-proportional	R0330									
reinsurance accepted										
Reinsurers' share	R0340	2,808	14,322	57,526	285,875	407,164	133,451	1,122,989	240,126	152,200
Net	R0400	4,854	90,904	8,105	468,186	622,980	331,746	1,429,283	615,377	330,733

S.05.01.02: Cover, page 2		Line of Business for: non-life insurance and reinsurance obligations (direct business and accepted proportional reinsurance)										
				Workers'	Motor		Marine.	Fire and other				
		Medical	Income		vehicle		aviation and	damage to	General	Credit and		
		expense	protection	compen- sation	liability	Other motor	transport	property	liability	suretyship		
		insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance		
		C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070	C0080	C0090		
Changes in other technical												
provisions												
Gross - Direct Business	R0410											
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0420		-134				-6	-10	-5			
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0430											
Reinsurers' share	R0440		0				-1	-2	-1			
Net	R0500		-134				-5	-9	-4			
Expenses incurred	R0550	6,148	61,972	19,387	184,454	263,787	35,866	682,716	340,582	243,486		
Other expenses	<b>R1200</b>											
Total expenses	R1300											

S.05.01.02: Cover, page 3	insurar obligation	Business for: ace and reinsu s (direct busi roportional re	urance ness and	accept	rance	Total			
		Laval		Miscella-			Marina		
		Legal expenses		neous financial			Marine, aviation,		
		insurance	Assistance	loss	Health	Casualty	transport	Property	
		C0100	C0110	C0120	C0130	C0140	C0150	C0160	C0200
Premiums written									
Gross - Direct Business	R0110								
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0120	32,583	1,324	131,833					9,394,853
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0130				153,701	1,024,477	247,668	1,842,231	3,268,077
Reinsurers' share	R0140	4,833	12	18,501	2,132	6,120	49,532	204,679	4,185,807
Net	R0200	27,750	1,312	113,333	151,569	1,018,356	198,136	1,637,552	8,477,122
Premiums earned									
Gross - Direct Business	R0210								
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0220	32,099	1,576	129,796					8,946,940
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0230				156,545	992,705	248,833	1,804,951	3,203,032
Reinsurers' share	R0240	4,781	8	18,172	2,132	6,120	49,796	200,856	4,033,155
Net	R0300	27,318	1,569	111,624	154,412	986,584	199,037	1,604,094	8,116,818

S.05.01.02: Cover, page 4	insuran obligation	Business for: ace and reinsu s (direct busi roportional re	urance ness and	accept	Total				
		Logol		Miscella-			Marine,		
		Legal expenses		neous financial			aviation,		
		insurance	Assistance	loss	Health	Casualty	transport	Property	
		C0100	C0110	C0120	C0130	C0140	C0150	C0160	C0200
Claims incurred									
Gross - Direct Business	R0310								
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0320	34,830	945	65,989					6,420,393
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0330				91,753	685,236	-52,008	1,201,663	1,926,644
Reinsurers' share	R0340	5,756	15	8,913	91	4,882	74,167	49,349	2,559,635
Net	R0400	29,073	930	57,076	91,662	680,354	-126,175	1,152,314	5,787,402
Changes in other technical provisions									
Gross - Direct Business	R0410								
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0420								-155
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0430								
Reinsurers' share	R0440								-3
Net	R0500								-152
Expenses incurred	R0550	10,369	616	47,831	39,891	254,387	40,081	281,081	2,512,654
Other expenses	<b>R1200</b>								
Total expenses	R1300								2,512,654

S.05.01.02: Cover, page 5			Line of Bu	siness for: life	e insurance o	bligations			surance ations	Total
		Health	Insurance with profit	Index-linked and unit- linked	Other life	Annuities stemming from non- life insurance contracts and relating to health insurance	Annuities stemming from non- life insurance contracts and relating to insurance obligations other than health insurance	Health	Life	
		insurance	participation	insurance	insurance	obligations	obligations	reinsurance	reinsurance	
		C0210	C0220	C0230	C0240	C0250	C0260	C0270	C0280	C0300
Premiums written										
Gross	R1410							1,822,917	3,587,022	5,409,940
Reinsurers' share	R1420							537,176	746,599	1,283,775
Net	R1500							1,285,741	2,840,424	4,126,165
Premiums earned										
Gross	R1510							1,732,426	3,555,612	5,288,038
Reinsurers' share	R1520							452,975	725,329	1,178,304
Net	R1600							1,279,451	2,830,284	4,109,734
Claims incurred										
Gross	R1610							1,272,270	3,081,125	4,353,395
Reinsurers' share	R1620							310,159	750,078	1,060,237
Net	R1700							962,111	2,331,048	3,293,158
Changes in other technical										
provisions										
Gross	R1710							-85,045	38,720	-46,325
Reinsurers' share	R1720							-23,590	154,835	131,245
Net	R1800							-61,455	-116,115	-177,570
Expenses incurred	R1900							231,315	504,343	735,658
Other expenses	<b>R2500</b>									
Total expenses	<b>R2600</b>									735,658

### S.05.02.01: Premiums, claims and expenses by country ("Country")

S.05.02.01: Country, page 1		Home country	Top 5 co		nount of gross I-life obligatio	s premiums w ns	ritten) –	Total Top 5 and home country
		C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070
	R0010		CN	FR	GB	IE	US	
		C0080	C0090	C0100	C0110	C0120	C0130	C0140
Premiums written								
Gross - Direct Business	R0110							
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0120	269,986	823,524	337,808	965,805	873,175	2,618,102	5,888,399
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0130	4,106	23,369	145,659	355,384	14,108	1,657,543	2,200,168
Reinsurers' share	R0140	1,096,380	3,937	255	44,655	2,127,267	10,839	3,283,334
Net	R0200	-822,288	842,956	483,212	1,276,533	-1,239,985	4,264,805	4,805,234
Premiums earned								
Gross - Direct Business	R0210							
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0220	263,200	720,975	333,354	902,004	752,725	2,525,962	5,498,221
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0230	3,401	23,234	146,949	351,684	15,063	1,609,888	2,150,219
Reinsurers' share	R0240	1,071,910	3,940	255	44,581	2,013,638	10,919	3,145,244
Net	R0300	-805,310	740,269	480,048	1,209,108	-1,245,850	4,124,930	4,503,196
Claims incurred								
Gross - Direct Business	R0310							
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0320	172,637	509,900	190,703	801,396	579,549	1,691,528	3,945,714
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0330	648	10,553	42,140	147,278	14,485	911,766	1,126,869
Reinsurers' share	R0340	719,939	1,604	301	46,026	1,379,965	-13,481	2,134,354
Net	R0400	-546,654	518,849	232,542	902,649	-785,931	2,616,775	2,938,229
Changes in other technical provisions								
Gross - Direct Business	R0410							
Gross - Proportional reinsurance accepted	R0420	-154		-1				-155
Gross - Non-proportional reinsurance accepted	R0430							
Reinsurers' share	R0440	-3						-3
Net	R0500	-151		-1				-152
Expenses incurred	R0550	-239,635	221,707	192,804	346,874	-408,426	1,239,141	1,352,465
Other expenses	<b>R1200</b>							
Total expenses	R1300							1,352,465

S.05.02.01: Country, page 2		Home country	Home Top 5 countries (by amount of gross premiums written) – country life obligations									
		C0150	C0160	C0170	C0180	C0190	C0200	home country C0210				
	R1400		AUS	BRB	CN	FR	GB					
		C0220	C0230	C0240	C0250	C0260	C0270	C0280				
Premiums written												
Gross	R1410	5,769	405,535	138,570	941,772	782,759	1,310,701	3,585,107				
Reinsurers' share	R1420	4,719		306,531	59,395	0		370,645				
Net	R1500	1,050	405,535	-167,961	882,378	782,759	1,310,701	3,214,461				
Premiums earned												
Gross	R1510	5,777	405,535	138,570	844,187	775,867	1,310,701	3,480,638				
Reinsurers' share	R1520	4,719		306,531	59,395	0		370,645				
Net	R1600	1,058	405,535	-167,961	784,792	775,867	1,310,701	3,109,992				
Claims incurred												
Gross	R1610	3,103	372,699	27,097	637,719	512,789	1,297,387	2,850,793				
Reinsurers' share	R1620	3,176		290,241	214,636	-2,794		505,258				
Net	R1700	-73	372,699	-263,144	423,083	515,583	1,297,387	2,345,534				
Changes in other technical provisions												
Gross	R1710		6,166		17,465	-115,702	19,506	-72,564				
Reinsurers' share	R1720	3		-2,177	132,353			130,179				
Net	R1800	-3	6,166	2,177	-114,887	-115,702	19,506	-202,743				
Expenses incurred	<b>R1900</b>	47,377	650,718	100,345	139,945	158,226	53,195	1,149,806				
Other expenses	R2500											
Total expenses	R2600							1,149,806				
· · ·												

### S.12.01.02: Life and Health SLT Technical Provisions ("TP Life")

TP Life, page 1				ed and unit-linked insurance		
		Insurance with profit participation		Contracts without options and guarantees	Contracts with options or guarantees	
		C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	
_Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0010					
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for	R0020					
expected losses due to counterparty default associated to TP calculated as a whole	110020					
Technical provisions calculated as a sum of BE and RM						
Best Estimate						
Gross Best Estimate	R0030					
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default	R0080					
Best estimate minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total	R0090					
Risk Margin	R0100					
Amount of the transitional on Technical Provisions						
Technical Provisions calculated as a whole	R0110					
Best estimate	R0120					
Risk margin	R0130					
Technical provisions - total	<b>R0200</b>					



TP Life, page 2		0	Other life insurance		
			Contracts without options and guarantees	Contracts with options or guarantees	
		C0060	C0070	C0080	
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0010				
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default associated to TP calculated as a whole	R0020				
Technical provisions calculated as a sum of BE and RM					
Best Estimate					
Gross Best Estimate	R0030				
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default	R0080				
Best estimate minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total	R0090				
Risk Margin	R0100				
Amount of the transitional on Technical Provisions					
Technical Provisions calculated as a whole	R0110				
Best estimate	R0120				
Risk margin	R0130				
Technical provisions - total	R0200				

TP Life, page 3		Annuities stemming from non-life insurance contracts and relating to insurance obligation other than health insurance obligations	Accepted reinsurance	Total (Life other than health insurance, incl. Unit-Linked)
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0010	C0090	C0100	C0150
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default associated to TP calculated as a whole	R0020			
Technical provisions calculated as a sum of BE and RM				
Best Estimate				
Gross Best Estimate	R0030		3,832,125	3,832,125
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default	R0080		23,513	23,513
Best estimate minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total	R0090		3,808,612	3,808,612
Risk Margin	R0100		820,780	820,780
Amount of the transitional on Technical Provisions				
Technical Provisions calculated as a whole	R0110			
Best estimate	R0120			
Risk margin	R0130			
Technical provisions - total	<b>R0200</b>		4,652,905	4,652,905



TP Life, page 4	Health in	ousiness)		
			Contracts without options and guarantees	Contracts with options or guarantees
		C0160	C0170	C0180
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0010			
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default associated to TP calculated as a whole	R0020			
Technical provisions calculated as a sum of BE and RM				
Best Estimate				
Gross Best Estimate	R0030			
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default	R0080			
Best estimate minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total	R0090			
Risk Margin	R0100			
Amount of the transitional on Technical Provisions				
Technical Provisions calculated as a whole	R0110			
Best estimate	R0120			
Risk margin	R0130			
Technical provisions - total	<b>R0200</b>			

TP Life, page 5		Annuities stemming from non-life insurance contracts and relating to health insurance obligations	Health reinsurance (reinsurance accepted)	Total (Health similar to life insurance)
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0010	C0190	C0200	C0210
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default associated to TP calculated as a whole	R0020			
Technical provisions calculated as a sum of BE and RM				
Best Estimate				
Gross Best Estimate	R0030		1,041,564	1,041,564
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default	R0080		279,779	279,779
Best estimate minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total	R0090		761,785	761,785
Risk Margin	R0100		202,749	202,749
Amount of the transitional on Technical Provisions				
Technical Provisions calculated as a whole	R0110			
Best estimate	R0120			
Risk margin	R0130			
Technical provisions - total	<b>R0200</b>		1,244,313	1,244,313

### S.17.01.02: Non-Life Technical Provisions

S.17.01.02: TP Non-Life, page		Direct business and accepted proportional reinsurance										
		Medical expense insurance <b>C0020</b>	Income protection insurance <b>C0030</b>	Workers' compen- sation insurance <b>C0040</b>	Motor vehicle liability insurance <b>C0050</b>	Other motor insurance C0060	Marine, aviation and transport insurance C0070	Fire and other damage to property insurance C0080	General liability insurance <b>C0090</b>	Credit and suretyship insurance <b>C0100</b>		
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0010											
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default associated to TP calculated as a whole	R0050											
Technical provisions calculated as a sum of BE and RM												
Best estimate												
Premium provisions												
Gross	R0060	3,268	69,960	5,068	113,516	184,457	148,129	890,065	345,151	235,676		
Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default	R0140	2,041	6,463	5,178	38,406	59,504	39,177	262,993	136,981	94,981		
Net Best Estimate of Premium Provisions	R0150	1,227	63,496	-111	75,110	124,954	108,952	627,072	208,170	140,695		

### S.17.01.02: TP Non-Life, page

2		Direct business and accepted proportional reinsurance									
		Medical expense insurance <b>C0020</b>	Income protection insurance <b>C0030</b>	Workers' compen- sation insurance <b>C0040</b>	Motor vehicle liability insurance <b>C0050</b>	Other motor insurance <b>C0060</b>	Marine, aviation and transport insurance <b>C0070</b>	Fire and other damage to property insurance C0080	General liability insurance <b>C0090</b>	Credit and suretyship insurance <b>C0100</b>	
Claims provisions											
Gross	R0160	27,369	181,993	161,994	895,886	756,834	860,448	2,675,667	2,521,155	1,096,192	
Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default	R0240	3,450	23,358	287,190	524,840	291,611	377,056	1,195,113	1,067,826	339,109	
Net Best Estimate of Claims Provisions	R0250	23,919	158,635	-125,196	371,046	465,224	483,393	1,480,554	1,453,329	757,083	
Total Best estimate - gross	R0260	30,637	251,952	167,062	1,009,402	941,292	1,008,578	3,565,732	2,866,306	1,331,867	
Total Best estimate - net	R0270	25,146	222,131	-125,307	446,156	590,177	592,345	2,107,626	1,661,499	897,777	
Risk margin	R0280	886	4,452	4,447	27,894	20,170	21,339	75,352	68,357	23,173	
Amount of the transitional on Technical Provisions											
Technical Provisions calculated as a whole	R0290										
Best estimate	R0300										
Risk margin	R0310										

S.17.01.02: TP Non-Life, page 3		Direct business and accepted proportional reinsurance								
				Workers'	Motor		Marine,	Fire and other		
		Medical	Income	compen-	vehicle		aviation and	damage to	General	Credit and
		expense	protection	sation	liability	Other motor	transport	property	liability	suretyship
		insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance	insurance
		C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070	C0080	C0090	C0100
<b>Technical provisions - total</b>										
Technical provisions - total	R0320	31,524	256,404	171,508	1,037,296	961,461	1,029,916	3,641,084	2,934,664	1,355,041
Recoverable from reinsurance contract/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default - total	R0330	5,491	29,821	292,368	563,246	351,114	416,233	1,458,106	1,204,808	434,090
Technical provisions minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total	R0340	26,032	226,583	-120,860	474,050	610,347	613,684	2,182,978	1,729,856	920,951
S.17.01.02: TP Non-Life, page 4			isiness and a rtional reinsu		Accepted non-proportional reinsurance					
---	-------	-----------	---------------------------------	-----------	---------------------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------	
						New www.exe	Non-pro- portional		Total Non-Life	
				Miscella-	Non-propor-	Non-propor- tional	marine, aviation and	Non-propor- tional	obligation	
		Legal		neous	tional health	casualty	transport	property		
		expenses		financial	reinsu-	reinsu-	reinsu-	reinsu-		
		insurance	Assistance	loss	rance	rance	rance	rance		
		C0110	C0120	C0130	C0140	C0150	C0160	C0170	C0180	
Technical provisions calculated as a whole	R0010									
Total Recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default associated to TP as a whole	R0050									
Technical provisions calculated as a sum of BE and RM										
Best estimate										
Premium provisions										
Gross	R0060	3,478	-1,505	26,317	23,071	340,584	23,579	116,635	2,527,448	
Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default	R0140	130	13	3,892	1	-3,676	-540	6,963	652,507	
Net Best Estimate of Premium Provisions	R0150	3,348	-1,517	22,425	23,070	344,260	24,119	109,672	1,874,942	

S.17.01.02: TP Non-Life, page 5			isiness and a rtional reinsu		Accepted non-proportional reinsurance				
		Legal expenses insurance	Assistance	Miscella- neous financial loss	Non-propor- tional health reinsu- rance	Non-propor- tional casualty reinsu- rance	Non-pro- portional marine, aviation and transport reinsu- rance	Non-propor- tional property reinsu- rance	Total Non- Life obliga- tion
		C0110	C0120	C0130	C0140	C0150	C0160	C0170	C0180
Claims provisions									
Gross	R0160	34,033	-3,867	106,603	1,152,898	5,423,957	714,916	2,224,206	18,830,286
Total recoverable from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default	R0240	2,619	-388	19,899	3,984	-31,756	123,754	259,502	4,487,168
Net Best Estimate of Claims Provisions	R0250	31,414	-3,479	86,703	1,148,914	5,455,713	591,162	1,964,704	14,343,117
Total Best Estimate - gross	R0260	37,511	-5,371	132,919	1,175,969	5,764,541	738,495	2,340,842	21,357,734
Total Best Estimate - net	R0270	34,762	-4,996	109,128	1,171,984	5,799,973	615,281	2,074,376	16,218,059
Risk margin	R0280	1,108	28	3,486	28,486	137,666	18,808	62,014	497,667
Amount of the transitional on Technical Provisions									
Technical Provisions calculated as a whole	R0290								
Best Estimate	R0300								
Risk margin	R0310								
Technical provisions - total									
Technical provisions - total	R0320	38,619	-5,344	136,405	1,204,456	5,902,207	757,303	2,402,856	21,855,401
Recoverable from reinsurance contract/SPV and Finite Re after the adjustment for expected losses due to counterparty default - total	R0330	2,749	-375	23,791	3,985	-35,432	123,214	266,466	5,139,675
Technical provisions minus recoverables from reinsurance/SPV and Finite Re - total	R0340	35,870	-4,969	112,614	1,200,471	5,937,639	634,089	2,136,391	16,715,726

### S.19.01.21: Non-life insurance claims

Accident year / Underwriting year 1/2 Z0020

# Gross Claims Paid (non-cumulative) (absolute amount)

S.19.01.2	1: page 1		Development year									
	Year	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10&+
		C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050	C0060	C0070	C0080	C0090	C0100	C0110
Prior	R0100											23,611,912
N-9	R0160	547,565	-124,625	660,471	234,088	132,744	185,382	146,131	102,123	148,179	8,708	
N-8	R0170	701,181	1,237,903	725,962	413,821	144,677	158,476	149,683	90,588	37,445		
N-7	R0180	934,114	1,170,830	642,598	214,001	150,450	186,350	142,894	143,813			
N-6	R0190	837,216	1,109,067	559,412	243,408	179,429	155,130	111,245				
N-5	R0200	799,019	1,190,570	543,902	243,150	190,963	261,683					
N-4	R0210	1,122,176	1,155,924	607,184	302,207	191,101						
N-3	R0220	1,192,635	1,297,701	713,888	324,743							
N-2	R0230	1,386,293	1,931,586	705,894								
N-1	R0240	1,746,964	2,340,758									
Ν	R0250	2,273,025										

S.19.01.21: page 1		In current year	Sum of years (cumu-lative)
		C0170	C0180
Prior	R0100	23,611,912	23,611,912
N-9	R0160	8,708	2,040,767
N-8	R0170	37,445	3,659,737
N-7	R0180	143,813	3,585,050
N-6	R0190	111,245	3,194,908
N-5	R0200	261,683	3,229,288
N-4	R0210	191,101	3,378,593
N-3	R0220	324,743	3,528,967
N-2	R0230	705,894	4,023,773
N-1	R0240	2,340,758	4,087,721
Ν	R0250	2,273,025	2,273,025
Total	R0260	30,010,327	56,613,741

#### Gross undiscounted Best Estimate Claims Provision

(absoulte amount)

S.19.01.2	1: page 2	Development year										
	Year	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10&+
		C0200	C0210	C0220	C0230	C0240	C0250	C0260	C0270	C0280	C0290	C0300
Prior	R0100											9,549,509
N-9	R0160							1,127,802	905,209	735,961	628,629	
N-8	R0170						1,296,110	1,189,062	936,333	856,003		
N-7	R0180					1,483,121	1,381,204	1,105,115	950,646			
N-6	R0190				1,713,263	1,515,471	1,208,641	1,023,013				
N-5	R0200			2,052,915	1,885,972	1,430,099	1,192,796					
N-4	R0210		2,718,364	2,381,763	1,760,905	1,599,044						
N-3	R0220	2,300,641	3,101,743	2,205,977	2,017,507							
N-2	R0230	2,336,995	3,270,140	3,040,522								
N-1	R0240	3,171,365	4,407,096									
Ν	R0250	1,453,110										

S.19.01.2	1: page 2	Year end (dis- counted data) C0360			
Prior	R0100	2,365,858			
N-9	R0160	570,688			
N-8	R0170	788,377			
N-7	R0180	874,052			
N-6	R0190	946,280			
N-5	R0200	1,104,958			
N-4	R0210	1,484,890			
N-3	R0220	1,879,494			
N-2	R0230	2,857,512			
N-1	R0240	4,169,699			
Ν	R0250	1,270,618			
Total	<b>R0260</b>	18,312,426			

### S.22.01.21: Impact of long term guarantees measures and transitionals

S.22.01.21: Impact of long term guarantees measures and transitionals		Amount with Long Term Guarantee measures and transitionals	Impact of transitional on technical provisions	Impact of transitional on interest rate	Impact of volatility adjustment set to zero	Impact of matching adjustment set to zero
		C0010	C0030	C0050	C0070	C0090
Technical provisions	R0010	27,752,619			320,697	
Basic own funds	R0020	14,209,126			-363,552	
Eligible own funds to meet Solvency Capital Requirement	R0050	14,209,126			-363,552	
Solvency Capital Requirement	R0090	5,505,652			293,640	
Eligible own funds to meet Minimum Capital Requirement	R0100	12,854,964			-338,637	
Minimum Capital Requirement	R0110	2,477,543			132,138	



### S.23.01.01: Own funds

S.23.01.01: Own funds, page 1		Total	Tier 1 - unrestricted	Tier 1 - restricted	Tier 2	Tier 3
		C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050
Basic own funds before deduction for participations in other financial sector as foreseen in article 68 of Delegated Regulation 2015/35						
Ordinary share capital (gross of own shares)	R0010	120,597	120,597			
Share premium account related to ordinary share capital	R0030	880,608	880,608			
Initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own - fund	R0040					
item for mutual and mutual-type undertakings						
Subordinated mutual member accounts	R0050					
Surplus funds	R0070					
Preference shares	R0090					
Share premium account related to preference shares	R0110					
Reconciliation reserve	R0130	10,811,728	10,811,728			
Subordinated liabilities	R0140	2,376,550		546,522	1,830,027	
An amount equal to the value of net deferred tax assets	R0160	19,643				19,643
Other own fund items approved by the supervisory authority as basic own funds not specified above	R0180					
Own funds from the financial statements that should not be						
represented by the reconciliation reserve and do not meet the criteria						
to be classified as Solvency II own funds						
Own funds from the financial statements that should not be represented						
by the reconciliation reserve and do not meet the criteria to be classified	R0220					
as Solvency II own funds						
Deductions						
Deductions for participations in financial and credit institutions	R0230					
Total basic own funds after deductions	<b>R0290</b>	14,209,126	11,812,933	546,522	1,830,027	19,643

S.23.01.01: Own funds, page 2		Total	Tier 1 - unrestricted	Tier 1 - restricted	Tier 2	Tier 3
		C0010	C0020	C0030	C0040	C0050
Ancillary own funds						
Unpaid and uncalled ordinary share capital callable on demand	R0300					
Unpaid and uncalled initial funds, members' contributions or the equivalent basic own fund item for mutual and mutual - type undertakings, callable on demand	R0310					
Unpaid and uncalled preference shares callable on demand	R0320					
A legally binding commitment to subscribe and pay for subordinated liabilities on demand	R0330					
Letters of credit and guarantees under Article 96(2) of the Directive 2009/138/EC	R0340					
Letters of credit and guarantees other than under Article 96(2) of the Directive 2009/138/EC	R0350					
Supplementary members calls under first subparagraph of Article 96(3) of the Directive 2009/138/EC	R0360					
Supplementary members calls - other than under first subparagraph of Article 96(3) of the Directive 2009/138/EC	R0370					
Other ancillary own funds	R0390					
Total ancillary own funds	<b>R0400</b>					
Available and eligible own funds						
Total available own funds to meet the SCR	R0500	14,209,126	11,812,933	546,522	1,830,027	19,643
Total available own funds to meet the MCR	R0510	14,189,483	11,812,933	546,522	1,830,027	
Total eligible own funds to meet the SCR	R0540	14,209,126	11,812,933	546,522	1,830,027	19,643
Total eligible own funds to meet the MCR	R0550	12,854,964	11,812,933	546,522	495,509	
SCR	R0580	5,505,652				
MCR	<b>R0600</b>	2,477,543				
Ratio of Eligible own funds to SCR	<b>R0620</b>	2.5808				
Ratio of Eligible own funds to MCR	<b>R0640</b>	5.1886				

### S.23.01.01: Own funds, page 3 / Reconciliation reserve

		C0060
Reconciliation reserve		
Excess of assets over liabilities	R0700	12,495,861
Own shares (held directly and indirectly)	R0710	
Foreseeable dividends, distributions and charges	R0720	663,284
Other basic own fund items	R0730	1,020,849
Adjustment for restricted own fund items in respect of matching adjustment portfolios and ring fenced funds	R0740	
Reconciliation reserve	<b>R0760</b>	10,811,728
Expected profits		
Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Life business	R0770	3,010,825
Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP) - Non-life business	R0780	
Total Expected profits included in future premiums (EPIFP)	R0790	3,010,825



### S.25.03.21: Solvency Capital Requirement – for undertakings on Full Internal Model

Unique number of component	Components description	Calculation of the Solvency Capital Requirement
C0010	C0020	C0030
101	Market risk according to IM	3,943,049
102	Counterparty default risk according to IM	419,990
103	Life underwriting risk according to IM	2,732,988
104	Non-life underwriting risk according to IM	4,221,301
105	Operational risk according to IM	520,355
107	LAC TP according to IM	
108	LAC DT according to IM	-2,096,250

Coloulation of Columny Conital Deguirement		C0400
Calculation of Solvency Capital Requirement		C0100
Total undiversified components	R0110	9,741,432
Diversification	R0060	-4,235,781
Capital requirement for business operated in accordance with Art. 4 of Directive 2003/41/EC (transitional)	R0160	
Solvency capital requirement excluding capital add-on	<b>R0200</b>	5,505,652
Capital add-ons already set	R0210	
Solvency capital requirement	<b>R0220</b>	5,505,652
Other information on SCR		
Amount/estimate of the overall loss-absorbing capacity of technical provisions	R0300	
Amount/estimate of the overall loss-absorbing capacity ot deferred taxes	R0310	-2,096,250
Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for remaining part	R0410	
Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirements for ring fenced funds	R0420	
Total amount of Notional Solvency Capital Requirement for matching adjustment portfolios	R0430	
Diversification effects due to RFF nSCR aggregation for article 304	R0440	

# S.28.01.01: Minimum Capital Requirement - Only life or only non-life insurance or reinsurance activity

#### Linear formula component for non-life insurance and reinsurance obligations

		C0010
MCR <sub>NL</sub> Result	R0010	3,527,274

as a whole
Medical expense insurance and proportional reinsurance <b>R0020</b> 25,146 11,522
Income protection insurance and proportional reinsurance <b>R0030</b> 222,131 155,987
Workers' compensation insurance and proportional reinsurance <b>R0040</b> 47,106
Motor vehicle liability insurance and proportional reinsurance R0050 446,156 663,251
Other motor insurance and proportional reinsurance <b>R0060</b> 590,177 870,678
Marine, aviation and transport insurance and R0070 592,345 165,841
proportional reinsurance
Fire and other damage to property insurance and <b>R0080</b> 2,107,626 1,841,847 proportional reinsurance
General liability insurance and proportional reinsurance R0090 1,661,499 962,360
Credit and suretyship insurance and proportional reinsurance R0100 897,777 605,229
Legal expenses insurance and proportional reinsurance R0110 34,762 27,734
Assistance and proportional reinsurance R0120 1,306
Miscellaneous financial loss insurance and proportional
reinsurance R0130 109,128 113,046
Non-proportional health reinsurance R0140 1,171,984 152,931
Non-proportional casualty reinsurance R0150 5,799,973 1,030,607
Non-proportional marine, aviation and transport reinsurance R0160 615,281 199,441
Non-proportional property reinsuranceR01702,074,3761,685,083

#### Linear formula component for life insurance and reinsurance obligations

		C0040
MCR <sub>L</sub> Result	R0200	849,647

#### Total capital at risk for all life (re)insurance obligations

S.28.01.01: MCR, page 2		Net (of reinsurance / SPV) best estimate and TP calculated as a whole	Net (of reinsurance / SPV) total capital at risk
Obligations with profit participation - guaranteed benefits	R0210	C0050	C0060
Obligations with profit participation - future discretionary benefits	R0220		
Index-linked and unit-linked insurance obligations	R0230	295,642	
Other life (re)insurance and health (re)insurance obligations	R0240	4,274,756	
Total capital at risk for all life (re)insurance obligations	R0250		1,082,582,271

#### **Overall MCR calculation**

		C0070
Linear MCR	R0300	4,376,921
SCR	R0310	5,505,652
MCR cap	R0320	2,477,543
MCR floor	R0330	1,376,413
Combined MCR	R0340	2,477,543
Absolute floor of the MCR	R0350	3,600
Minimum Capital Requirement	R0400	2,477,543

Published by

Hannover Rück SE

Karl-Wiechert-Allee 50

30625 Hannover

Germany

www.hannover-re.com